arXiv:1703.07073v1 [math.OA] 21 Mar 2017

CONVERGENCE OF HEISENBERG MODULES OVER QUANTUM 2-TORI FOR THE MODULAR GROMOV-HAUSDORFF PROPINQUITY

FRÉDÉRIC LATRÉMOLIÈRE

ABSTRACT. The modular Gromov-Hausdorff propinquity is a distance on classes of modules endowed with quantum metric information, in the form of a metric form of a connection and a left Hilbert module structure. This paper proves that the family of Heisenberg modules over quantum two tori, when endowed with their canonical connections, form a continuous family for the modular propinquity.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 1
2. Background on Quantum 2-tori and Heisenberg modules 7
3. A continuous fields of C^*-Hilbert norms 16
4. The action of the Heisenberg group on Heisenberg modules 24
5. Seminorms from Lie group actions 29
6. A D-norm from a connection on Heisenberg modules 37
7. A continuous field of D-norms 47
8. Convergence 55
References 68

1. INTRODUCTION

The modular Gromov-Hausdorff propinquity [18] is a distance on modules over C*-algebras endowed with some quantum metric information, designed to advance our project of constructing an analytic framework for the study of classes of C*-algebras as geometric objects. While convergence for compact quantum metric has been an active area of our research with many developments, built on top of our noncommutative analogue of the Gromov-Hausdorff metric called the dual propinquity [19, 14, 11, 16, 15, 13, 1, 17], a natural and crucial question in noncommutative metric geometry concerned the behavior of modules over convergent sequences of quantum metric spaces. Indeed, modules encode much geometric information and are key ingredients for theories in mathematical physics, and thus

Date: March 22, 2017.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 46L89, 46L30, 58B34.

Key words and phrases. Noncommutative metric geometry, Gromov-Hausdorff convergence, Monge-Kantorovich distance, Quantum Metric Spaces, Lip-norms, D-norms, Hilbert modules, noncommutative connections, noncommutative Riemannian geometry, unstable K-theory.

for our research program to advance toward its goal, it is essential to develop a metric geometric theory for modules over C*-algebras. Remarkably, there seems to be no classical model to work from for such a new distance. We propose our answer, called the modular Gromov-Hausdorff propinquity, as a deep extension of the quantum propinquity in [18], and this paper provides the first deep example of convergence for our new metric. We proved in [13] that quantum tori form a continuous family for the quantum propinquity, and we now prove that Heisenberg modules over quantum two-tori also form continuous families for our new modular propinquity. As all finitely generated, projective modules over quantum two tori are sums of Heisenberg modules and free modules, and free modules were handled in [18], we thus provide in this paper a detailed picture of the metric geometry of the class of finitely generated, projective modules over quantum two-tori.

Noncommutative metric geometry [4, 23, 24] studies noncommutative generalizations of Lipschitz algebras, i.e. pairs $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathsf{L})$ of a unital C*-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ and a seminorm L defined on some dense subset of the self-adjoint part $\mathfrak{sa}(\mathfrak{A})$ of $\mathfrak{A}$, such that the associated Monge-Kantorovich metric defined on the state space $\mathscr{S}(\mathfrak{A})$ of $\mathfrak{A}$ by:

$$\mathsf{mk}_{\mathsf{L}} : \varphi, \psi \in \mathscr{S}(\mathfrak{A}) \mapsto \sup\{|\varphi(a) - \psi(a)| : a \in \mathfrak{sa}(\mathfrak{A}), \mathsf{L}(a) \leqslant 1\}$$

metrizes the weak* topology on $\mathscr{S}(\mathfrak{A})$. These pairs are called quantum compact metric spaces, and were characterized by Rieffel as those pairs $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathsf{L})$ of a unital C*-algebra and a densely defined seminorm L on $\mathfrak{sa}(\mathfrak{A})$ such that $\{a \in \mathfrak{sa}(\mathfrak{A}) : \mathsf{L}(a) \leqslant 1, \mu(a) = 0\}$ is totally bounded, for any and all choice of a state μ of $\mathfrak{A}$. We note that in this paper, we will simply assume that a seminorm is infinite outside of its domain, to ease our notations.

An F-quasi-Leibniz quantum compact metric space $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathsf{L})$ is a quantum compact metric space whose seminorm L is lower semi-continuous and satisfy some form of the Leibniz inequality involving the Jordan product $\circ$ and the commutator $\{,\}$ on $\mathfrak{sa}(\mathfrak{A})$. Thus, we say that F is *admissible* when $F : [0,\infty)^4 \to [0,\infty)$ is weakly increasing for the product order on $[0,\infty]^4$ and $F(x,y,z,w) \geqslant xz + yw$. We say that L is F-quasi-Leibniz when:

$$\max\{\mathsf{L}(a \circ b), \mathsf{L}(\{a,b\})\} \leqslant F(\|a\|_{\mathfrak{A}}, \|b\|_{\mathfrak{B}}, \mathsf{L}(a), \mathsf{L}(b)),$$

where $a \circ b = \frac{ab+ba}{2}$ and $\{a,b\} = \frac{ab-ba}{2i}$. In the case where $F : x,y,z,w \mapsto xw + yz$, then $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathsf{L})$ is called a Leibniz quantum compact metric space. These quasi-Leibniz quantum compact metric spaces are the building blocks for our Gromov-Hausdorff propinquity [19, 14, 11, 13], as the quasi-Leibniz property allows us to obtain a noncommutative analogue of the Gromov-Hausdorff distance with the desired coincidence property: distance zero implies *-isomorphism.

At the core of our geometry for modules is quantum metric information, encoded on a module via the combination of left Hilbert structure and a special kind of norm which generalizes the idea of a connection. The prototype for such a structure is the module ΓV of continuous sections of a vector bundle V over a compact Riemannian manifold M with metric g, endowed with a hermitian metric h and some associated metric connection ∇. For any two $\omega, \eta \in \Gamma V$, we then set $\langle \omega, \eta \rangle_V : x \in M \mapsto \int_X h_x(\omega_x, \eta_x)\, d\mathrm{Vol}(x)$ where Vol is the volume

form over M for g, which turns ΓV into a $C(M)$-left Hilbert module. We also define, for all $\omega \in \mathscr{M}$, the norm $\mathsf{D}(\omega)$ as the operator norm for the operator $\nabla\omega : X \in \Gamma(TM) \mapsto \nabla_X\omega \in \Gamma V$ — noting that the space of vector fields ΓTM of M has a norm induced by the metric g. Our general definition for a metrized quantum vector bundle abstracts this picture. We first choose a form of the Leibniz property, to allow for greater generality (see [13, 1] for examples of such generalized relations). This choice involves three functions forming an admissible triplet (see [18, Definition 3.6]). A triple (F, G, H) is *admissible* when:

(1) $F : [0,\infty)^4 \to [0,\infty)$ is admissible,
(2) $G : [0,\infty)^3 \to [0,\infty)$ is weakly increasing for the product order on $[0,\infty)^3$ and for all $x, y, z \geqslant 0$, we have $(x+y)z \leqslant G(x,y,z)$,
(3) $H : [0,\infty)^2 \to [0,\infty)$ is weakly increasing for the product order on $[0,\infty)^2$ while $2xy \leqslant H(x,y)$ for all $x, y \geqslant 0$.

With our choice for the form of the Leibniz relation we shall use, we can define a metrized quantum vector bundle as follows:

Definition 1.1 ([18, Definition 3.8])**.** A (F, G, H)*–metrized quantum vector bundle* $(\mathscr{M}, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{\mathscr{M}}, \mathsf{D}, \mathfrak{A}, \mathsf{L})$ consists of:

(1) a F–quasi-Leibniz quantum compact metric space $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathsf{L})$ called the base space,
(2) a $\mathfrak{A}$-left Hilbert module $(\mathscr{M}, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{\mathscr{M}})$,
(3) a norm D defined on a dense subspace of $\mathscr{M}$ such that $\mathsf{D}(\omega) \geqslant \sqrt{\langle\omega,\omega\rangle_{\mathscr{M}}}$ for all $\omega \in \mathscr{M}$, and such that the set:
$$\{\omega \in \mathscr{M} : \mathsf{D}(\omega) \leqslant 1\}$$
is compact in $\mathscr{M}$,
(4) for all $a \in \mathfrak{sa}(\mathfrak{A})$ and for all $\omega \in \mathscr{M}$, we have:
$$\mathsf{D}_{\mathscr{M}}(a\omega) \leqslant G\left(\|a\|_{\mathfrak{A}}, \mathsf{L}_{\mathfrak{A}}(a), \mathsf{D}_{\mathscr{M}}(\omega)\right),$$
which we call the *inner quasi-Leibniz inequality* for $\mathsf{D}_{\mathscr{M}}$,
(5) for all $\omega, \eta \in \mathscr{M}$, we have:
$$\max\left\{\mathsf{L}_{\mathfrak{A}}\left(\Re\langle\omega,\eta\rangle_{\mathscr{M}}\right), \mathsf{L}_{\mathfrak{A}}\left(\Im\langle\omega,\eta\rangle_{\mathscr{M}}\right)\right\} \leqslant H\left(\mathsf{D}_{\mathscr{M}}(\omega), \mathsf{D}_{\mathscr{M}}(\eta)\right),$$
which we call the *modular quasi-Leibniz inequality* for $\mathsf{D}_{\mathscr{M}}$.

We refer to [18] for a discussion of these objects. [18, Example 3.10] shows that the prototype of a hermitian vector bundle over a compact Riemannian manifold, as sketched above, is indeed an example of a metrized quantum vector bundle. We however emphasize that metrized quantum vector bundles contain their base space, so that convergence for such modules will imply convergence of their base space. As a convention in this paper, we will always imply that (F, G, H) is an admissible triple when used in the expression "(F, G, H)–metrized quantum vector bundle". We also note that a *Leibniz* metrized quantum vector bundle is associated to the functions:
$$F : x, y, z, w \mapsto xw + yz,\ G : x, y, z \mapsto (x+y)z \text{ and } H : x, y \mapsto 2xy.$$
We will work with Leibniz metrized quantum vector bundles in this paper.

We recall from [18] the type of morphisms between metrized quantum vector bundles which we will use in this paper. We begin with the definition we employ for module morphisms, which is designed to accommodate different base spaces.

Definition 1.2 ([18, Definition 3.5])**.** Let $(\mathscr{M}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathscr{M}})$ and $(\mathscr{N}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathscr{N}})$ be two left Hilbert modules over, respectively, two C*-algebras $\mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{B}$. A *module morphism* (Θ, θ) is given by a a *-morphism $\theta : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{B}$, and a $\mathbb{C}$-linear map $\Theta : \mathscr{M} \to \mathscr{N}$, such that for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $\omega, \eta \in \mathscr{M}$, we have:

(1) $\Theta(a\omega) = \theta(a)\Theta(\omega)$,
(2) $\langle \Theta(\omega), \Theta(\eta) \rangle_{\mathscr{N}} = \langle \omega, \eta \rangle_{\mathscr{M}}$.

The module morphism (Θ, θ) is *unital* when θ is a unital *-morphism.

We thus have the following notion of morphism of metrized quantum vector bundles, designed to encompass the entirety of the underlying structure. In particular, the modular propinquity will be null exactly when two metrized quantum vector bundles will be isometric in the sense of the following definition.

Definition 1.3 ([18, Definition 3.18])**.** Let:

$$\Omega_{\mathfrak{A}} = (\mathscr{M}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathscr{M}}, \mathsf{D}_{\mathscr{M}}, \mathfrak{A}, \mathsf{L}_{\mathfrak{A}}) \text{ and } \Omega_{\mathfrak{B}} = (\mathscr{N}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathscr{N}}, \mathsf{D}_{\mathscr{N}}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathsf{L}_{\mathfrak{B}})$$

be two metrized quantum vector bundles. A *morphism* (Θ, θ) from $\Omega_{\mathfrak{A}}$ to $\Omega_{\mathfrak{B}}$ is a unital module morphism (Θ, θ) such that:

(1) θ is continuous from $(\mathrm{dom}\,(\mathsf{L}_{\mathfrak{A}}), \mathsf{L}_{\mathfrak{A}})$ to $(\mathrm{dom}\,(\mathsf{L}_{\mathfrak{B}}), \mathsf{L}_{\mathfrak{B}})$, i.e. there exists $C > 0$ such that $\mathsf{L}_{\mathfrak{B}} \circ \theta \leqslant C\mathsf{L}_{\mathfrak{A}}$ on $\mathrm{dom}\,(\mathsf{L}_{\mathfrak{A}})$,
(2) Θ is continuous from $(\mathrm{dom}\,(\mathsf{D}_{\mathscr{M}}), \mathsf{D}_{\mathscr{M}})$ to $(\mathrm{dom}\,(\mathsf{D}_{\mathscr{N}}), \mathsf{D}_{\mathscr{N}})$, i.e. there exists $M > 0$ such that for all $\omega \in \mathscr{M}$ we have $\mathsf{D}_{\mathscr{N}}(\Theta(\omega)) \leqslant M\mathsf{D}_{\mathscr{M}}(\omega)$.

Such a *morphisms* is an *epimorphism* when both θ and Θ are surjective, and a monomorphism when both θ and Θ are both monomorphisms.

A *isomorphism* is thus given by a morphism (Θ, θ) where θ is a *-isomorphism, Θ is a bijection and $(\Theta^{-1}, \theta^{-1})$ is a morphism from $\Omega_{\mathfrak{B}}$ onto $\Omega_{\mathfrak{A}}$.

We constructed in [18] a metric, called the modular Gromov-Hausdorff propinquity, on the class of (F, G, H)–metrized quantum vector bundle s for any choice of admissible triple (F, G, H). The construction of the modular Gromov-Hausdorff propinquity is involved, though for practical purposes, the key ingredient to understand is the notion of a modular bridge, which encodes the idea of a kind of noncommutative metric embedding of metrized quantum vector bundles. A modular bridge includes a bridge between the quantum base spaces, and some additional information related to the modules.

Definition 1.4. Let $\Omega_{\mathfrak{A}} = (\mathscr{M}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathscr{M}}, \mathsf{D}_{\mathscr{M}}, \mathfrak{A}, \mathsf{L}_{\mathfrak{A}})$ and $\Omega_{\mathfrak{B}} = (\mathscr{N}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathscr{N}}, \mathsf{D}_{\mathscr{N}}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathsf{L}_{\mathfrak{B}})$ be two metrized quantum vector bundles. A *modular bridge*

$$\gamma = (\mathfrak{D}, x, \pi_{\mathfrak{A}}, \pi_{\mathfrak{B}}, (\omega_j)_{j \in J}, (\eta_j)_{j \in J})$$

from $\Omega_{\mathfrak{A}}$ to $\Omega_{\mathfrak{B}}$ is given by:

(1) a unital C*-algebra $\mathfrak{D}$,
(2) an element $x \in \mathfrak{D}$, called the pivot of γ, such that:

$$\mathscr{S}_1(\mathfrak{D}|\omega) := \{\varphi \in \mathscr{S}(\mathfrak{D}) | \forall a \in \mathfrak{sa}\,(\mathfrak{D}) \quad \varphi(a\omega) = \varphi(\omega a) = \varphi(a)\}$$

is not empty,
(3) two unital *-monomorphisms $\pi_{\mathfrak{A}} : \mathfrak{A} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ and $\pi_{\mathfrak{B}} : \mathfrak{B} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{D}$,
(4) an index set J and two families $(\omega_j)_{j\in J} \in \mathscr{M}^J$, $(\eta_j)_{j\in J}) \in \mathscr{N}^J$ such that for all $j \in J$, we have $\mathsf{D}_{\mathscr{M}}(\omega_j) \leqslant 1$ and $\mathsf{D}_{\mathscr{N}}(\eta_j) \leqslant 1$.

The modular Gromov-Hausdorff distance is constructed from numbers attached to modular bridges. There are two numbers related to modules, and two more which come from the bridge between the quantum base spaces. The numbers related to the base space are simply inherited from our construction of the quantum propinquity [19], to which we refer for an explanation of their meaning.

Notation 1.5. The Hausdorff distance over the hyperspace of closed subsets of a metric space (X, d) is denoted by Haus_d, and if X is a normed vector space with norm $\|\cdot\|_X$, the Hausdorff distance for the metric induced by $\|\cdot\|_X$ is simply denoted by $\mathsf{Haus}_{\|\cdot\|_X}$.

Definition 1.6. Let $\Omega_{\mathfrak{A}} = (\mathscr{M}, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{\mathscr{M}}, \mathsf{D}_{\mathscr{M}}, \mathfrak{A}, \mathsf{L}_{\mathfrak{A}})$ and $\Omega_{\mathfrak{B}} = (\mathscr{N}, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{\mathscr{N}}, \mathsf{D}_{\mathscr{N}}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathsf{L}_{\mathfrak{B}})$ be two metrized quantum vector bundles and let:

$$\gamma = (\mathfrak{D}, x, \pi_{\mathfrak{A}}, \pi_{\mathfrak{B}}, (\omega_j)_{j\in J}, (\eta_j)_{j\in J})$$

be a bridge from $\Omega_{\mathfrak{A}}$ to $\Omega_{\mathfrak{B}}$.

(1) The *bridge seminorm* $\mathsf{bn}_\gamma(\cdot,\cdot)$ of γ is the seminorm defined on $\mathfrak{A} \oplus \mathfrak{B}$ by setting, for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $b \in \mathfrak{B}$:

$$\mathsf{bn}_\gamma(a, b) = \|\pi_{\mathfrak{A}}(a)x - x\pi_{\mathfrak{B}}(b)\|_{\mathfrak{D}}.$$

(2) The *basic reach* of γ is the nonnegative number:

$$\varrho_\flat(\gamma) = \max\left\{ \sup_{\substack{a\in\mathfrak{sa}(\mathfrak{A})\\ \mathsf{L}_{\mathfrak{A}}(a)\leqslant 1}} \inf_{\substack{b\in\mathfrak{sa}(\mathfrak{B})\\ \mathsf{L}_{\mathfrak{B}}(b)\leqslant 1}} \mathsf{bn}_\gamma(a,b), \sup_{\substack{b\in\mathfrak{sa}(\mathfrak{B})\\ \mathsf{L}_{\mathfrak{B}}(b)\leqslant 1}} \inf_{\substack{a\in\mathfrak{sa}(\mathfrak{A})\\ \mathsf{L}_{\mathfrak{A}}(a)\leqslant 1}} \mathsf{bn}_\gamma(a,b) \right\}.$$

(3) The *height* of γ is the nonnegative number:

$$\varsigma(\gamma) = \max\Big\{ \mathsf{Haus}_{\mathsf{mk}_{\mathsf{L}_{\mathfrak{A}}}}(\mathscr{S}(\mathfrak{A}), \{\varphi\circ\pi_{\mathfrak{A}} : \varphi \in \mathscr{S}_1(\mathfrak{D}))\}, \\ \mathsf{Haus}_{\mathsf{mk}_{\mathsf{L}_{\mathfrak{B}}}}(\mathscr{S}(\mathfrak{B}), \{\varphi\circ\pi_{\mathfrak{B}} : \varphi \in \mathscr{S}_1(\mathfrak{D}))\}\Big).$$

The data from modules enter in the following measurements associated with a modular bridge:

Definition 1.7. Let $\Omega_{\mathfrak{A}} = (\mathscr{M}, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{\mathscr{M}}, \mathsf{D}_{\mathscr{M}}, \mathfrak{A}, \mathsf{L}_{\mathfrak{A}})$ and $\Omega_{\mathfrak{B}} = (\mathscr{N}, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{\mathscr{N}}, \mathsf{D}_{\mathscr{N}}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathsf{L}_{\mathfrak{B}})$ be two metrized quantum vector bundles and let:

$$\gamma = (\mathfrak{D}, x, \pi_{\mathfrak{A}}, \pi_{\mathfrak{B}}, (\omega_j)_{j\in J}, (\eta_j)_{j\in J})$$

be a bridge from $\Omega_{\mathfrak{A}}$ to $\Omega_{\mathfrak{B}}$.

(1) The modular reach γ is:

$$\varrho^\sharp(\gamma) = \max\left\{ \mathsf{bn}_\gamma\left(\langle\omega_j,\omega_k\rangle_{\mathscr{M}}, \langle\eta_j,\eta_k\rangle_{\mathscr{N}}\right) : j,k \in J \right\}.$$

(2) Let $\mathsf{k}_{\mathsf{D}_{\mathscr{M}}}$ be the metric defined on $\mathscr{M}$ by:

$$\mathsf{k}_{\mathsf{D}_{\mathscr{M}}} : \omega, \eta \in \mathscr{M} \mapsto \sup \left\{ |\langle \omega - \eta, \xi \rangle_{\mathscr{M}}| : \xi \in \mathscr{M}, \mathsf{D}_{\mathscr{M}}(\xi) \leqslant 1 \right\}.$$

and similarly for $\mathsf{k}_{\mathsf{D}_{\mathscr{N}}}$. The imprint of γ is:

$$\varpi(\gamma) = \max\Big\{ \mathsf{Haus}_{\mathsf{k}_{\mathsf{D}_{\mathscr{M}}}}(\{\omega_j : j \in J\}, \{\omega \in \mathscr{M} : \mathsf{D}_{\mathscr{M}}(\omega) \leqslant 1\}, \mathsf{Haus}_{\mathsf{k}_{\mathsf{D}_{moduleN}}}(\{\eta_j : j \in J\}, \{\eta \in \mathscr{N} : \mathsf{D}_{\mathscr{N}}(\eta) \leqslant 1\}\Big\}.$$

(3) The reach of γ is:

$$\varrho(\gamma) = \max\left\{ \varrho_\flat(\gamma), \varrho^\sharp(\gamma) + \varpi(\gamma) \right\}.$$

(4) The *length* of γ is:

$$\lambda(\gamma) = \max\left\{ \varsigma(\gamma), \varrho(\gamma) \right\}.$$

We summarize the key ingredients needed for our work with the following characterization of the modular Gromov-Hausdorff propinquity:

Theorem-Definition 1.8. *There exists a class function Λ^{mod} which, to any pair of (F, G, H)–metrized quantum vector bundles $\Omega_{\mathfrak{A}}$, $\Omega_{\mathfrak{B}}$, associated a nonnegative number $\Lambda^{\mathsf{mod}}(\Omega_{\mathfrak{A}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{B}})$, such that:*

(1) $\Lambda^{\mathsf{mod}}(\Omega_{\mathfrak{A}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{B}}) < \infty$,
(2) $\Lambda^{\mathsf{mod}}(\Omega_{\mathfrak{A}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{B}}) \leqslant \lambda(\gamma)$ *for any modular bridge γ from $\Omega_{\mathfrak{A}}$ to $\Omega_{\mathfrak{B}}$,*
(3) $\Lambda^{\mathsf{mod}}(\Omega_{\mathfrak{A}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{B}}) = \Lambda^{\mathsf{mod}}(\Omega_{\mathfrak{B}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{A}})$,
(4) $\Lambda^{\mathsf{mod}}(\Omega_{\mathfrak{A}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{B}}) \leqslant \Lambda^{\mathsf{mod}}(\Omega_{\mathfrak{A}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{D}}) + \Lambda^{\mathsf{mod}}(\Omega_{\mathfrak{D}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{A}})$ *for any (F, G, H)–metrized quantum vector bundle $\Omega_{\mathfrak{D}}$,*
(5) $\Lambda^{\mathsf{mod}}(\Omega_{\mathfrak{A}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{B}}) = 0$ *if and only if $\Omega_{\mathfrak{A}}$ and $\Omega_{\mathfrak{B}}$ are isomorphic as metrized quantum vector bundles.*
(6) Λ^{mod} *is the largest class function satisfying all the above conditions.*

The notable properties of our metric is that it is indeed null only between fully quantum isometric modules, and satisfy the triangle inequality. Upper bounds for the propinquity between two metrized quantum vector bundles can be computed using modular bridges. In this paper, we will indeed construct bridges between Heisenberg modules, which will provide us with our main continuity result.

Our principal example of convergence for the modular propinquity is given by Heisenberg modules over quantum tori. Finitely generated projective modules over irrational rotation algebras can be described, up to module isomorphism, as either free — a case with which we dealt in [18] — or constructed through a projective representation of $\mathbb{R}^2$, as shown in [20]. The latter type of modules were introduced by Connes [3] and provided the background for the study of noncommutative geometry of quantum tori. The construction of these modules was later extended by Rieffel to all quantum tori in [21], where they provide a large class of (though in general, not all) projective finitely generated modules over quantum tori. As projective representations of $\mathbb{R}^2$ are in fact obtained from representations of the Heisenberg group, the modules constructed from these representations are called *Heisenberg modules* in [21], and we shall follow this terminology. In fact, the Heisenberg group action will prove essential to our construction.

Heisenberg modules over quantum 2-tori provide natural examples of metrized quantum vector bundles, whose D-norms are constructed from a noncommutative connection built from the action of the Heisenberg group. In particular, they are naturally endowed with a Hilbert module structure, and a connection was constructed in [3] from the infinitesimal representation of the Heisenberg Lie algebra. These connections were proven to solve the Yang-Mills problem for quantum tori [6].

Once we bring Heisenberg modules within the realm of our modular propinquity, we become capable of discussing the problem of convergence of such modules. Heisenberg modules are parametrized by the quantum torus acting on them and by a pair of integers p, q which, in particular, relate to a projective representation of the finite group of the form $\mathbb{Z}_q^2$ where $\mathbb{Z}_q = \mathbb{Z} \Big/ q\mathbb{Z}$. We shall prove in this section, as our main application of the modular propinquity for this paper, that for a fixed pair p, q of integers, and thus in particular, for a fixed projective representation of some $\mathbb{Z}_q$, the family of Heisenberg modules over varying quantum tori, form a continuous family for the modular propinquity.

We begin this paper with some background on quantum 2-tori and Heisenberg modules. We then define our D-norms candidates, and establish their basic properties. The first difficulty we address is to prove that our D-norm candidates have compact balls in the C^*-Hilbert norm. Then, we prove that our D-norms form a continuous family of norms. This step involves proving that the norms of the Heisenberg modules also form a continuous field of norms.

We then use all these ingredients to prove our main result on the continuity of families of Heisenberg modules for the modular propinquity, thus establishing that the modular propinquity is a candidate for our noncommutative metric geometric framework on modules.

2. Background on Quantum 2-tori and Heisenberg modules

Quantum 2-tori are the twisted convolution C*-algebras of $\mathbb{Z}^2$. The projective finitely generated modules over quantum tori have been extensively studied, and next to the free modules, the most important class of projective, finitely generated modules over a quantum torus are the Heisenberg modules. This subsection introduces these modules, as well as the notations we will use throughout this section regarding quantum tori.

Twisted group C*-algebras are defined by twisting the convolution product over a locally compact group by a representative of a continuous 2-cocycle of the group.

Notation 2.1. For any $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, we define the skew bicharacter of $\mathbb{R}^2$:

$$\mathrm{e}_\theta : ((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \longmapsto \exp\left(i\pi\theta(x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1)\right). \tag{2.0.1}$$

By [9], any 2-cocycle of $\mathbb{Z}^2$ is cohomologous to the restriction of a skew bicharacter e_θ to $\mathbb{Z}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^2$ for some $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. We shall use the same notation for e_θ and its restriction to $\mathbb{Z}^2$.

Moreover, for any $\theta, \vartheta \in \mathbb{R}$, the skew bicharacters e_θ and e_ϑ of $\mathbb{Z}^2$ are cohomologous if and only if $\theta \equiv \vartheta \mod 1$. We note that, as skew bicharacters of $\mathbb{R}^2$, they are cohomologous if and only if $\theta = \vartheta$.

We define the twisted convolution products on $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)$, where we use the following notation.

Notation 2.2. For any (nonempty) set E and any $p \in [1, \infty)$, the set $\ell^p(E)$ is the set of all absolutely p-summable complex valued functions over E, endowed with the norm:

$$\|\xi\|_{\ell^p(E)} = \left(\sum_{x \in E} |\xi(x)|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

for all $\xi \in \ell^p(E)$.

We write δ_n the function which is 1 at n and 0 otherwise; this function is an element of $\ell^p(E)$ for all p.

Moreover, if $p = 2$ then $(\ell^2(E), \|\cdot\|_{\ell^2(E)})$ is a Hilbert space, where the inner product $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_{\ell^2(E)} = \sum_{x \in E} \xi(x)\overline{\eta(x)}$ for all $\xi, \eta \in \ell^2(E)$.

We now define:

Definition 2.3. Let $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and e_θ be defined by Expression (2.0.1). The *twisted convolution product* $*_\theta$ is defined for all $f, g \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^2$:

$$f *_\theta g(n) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^2} f(m) g(n-m) \mathrm{e}_\theta(m, n).$$

The *adjoint* of any $f \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ is defined for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ by:

$$f^*(n) = \overline{f(-n)}.$$

One checks easily that $\left(\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2), *_\theta, \cdot^*\right)$ is a *-algebra. In particular, the adjoint operation is an isometry of $\left(\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2), \|\cdot\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)}\right)$. We now wish to construct its enveloping C*-algebra. To do so, we shall choose a natural faithful *-representation of $\left(\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2), *_\theta, \cdot^*\right)$ on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)$. This representation was a key ingredient in the construction of bridges between quantum tori in our work in [12] on convergence of quantum tori for the quantum propinquity, and thus will re-appear in a similar role in this section.

Notation 2.4. If $T : E \to F$ is a continuous linear map between two normed spaces, we write its norm as $|||T|||_F^E$. When $E = F$, we simply write $|||T|||_F$.

Theorem 2.5 ([26])**.** *Let $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. We define, for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $\xi \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)$, the function:*

$$U_\theta^n \xi : m \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mapsto \mathrm{e}_\theta(m, n) \xi(m - n).$$

The map $n \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mapsto U_\theta^n$ is a unitary e_θ-projective representation of $\mathbb{Z}^2$, i.e. $U_\theta^n U_\theta^m = \mathrm{e}_\theta(n, m) U_\theta^{n+m}$ for all $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}^2$.

If, for all $f \in \left(\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2), *_\theta, \cdot^*\right)$, we define:

$$\pi_\theta(f) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2} f(n) U_\theta^n$$

which is a bounded operator on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ with:

$$|||\pi_\theta(f)|||_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)} \leqslant \|f\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)},$$

*then π_θ is a faithful *-representation of $(\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2), *_\theta, *)$.*

Proof. It is a standard computation to check that $n \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mapsto U^n_\theta$ is a e_θ-projective unitary representation of $\mathbb{Z}^2$, and that for all $f, g \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)$:

$$\pi_\theta(f *_\theta g) = \pi_\theta(f)\pi_\theta(g),$$

and $\pi_\theta(f^*) = (\pi_\theta(f))^*$. As proven in [26], this representation is also faithful. □

Thus, we may define a C*-norm on $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ by setting:

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\theta} = |||\pi_\theta(f)|||_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)}$$

for all $f \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)$. We thus can define quantum 2-tori.

Definition 2.6. The *quantum* 2*-torus* $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ is the completion of $(\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2), *_\theta, \cdot^*)$ for the norm $|||\pi_\theta(\cdot)|||_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)}$.

As per our general convention, the norm on $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ is denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}_\theta}$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$.

Remark 2.7. Let $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. By construction, $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ is identified with a dense *-subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}_\theta$, and we shall employ this identification all throughout this paper. With this identification, we also note that for all $f \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ we have $\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\theta} \leqslant \|f\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)}$, a fact which we will use repeatedly in the next section.

We take one derogation to the convention of using the same symbol for an element of $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ and its counter part in a given quantum torus, because the following notation is at once common and convenient.

Notation 2.8. Let $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. The element $\delta_{1,0}$ is denoted by u_θ and the element $\delta_{0,1}$ is denoted by v_θ when regarded as elements of $\mathcal{A}_\theta$.

We now introduce a canonical action of $\mathbb{T}^2$ on quantum 2-tori. The dual action of $\mathbb{T}^2$ on quantum 2-tori provides, by transport of structure, the geometry of the quantum 2-tori. We shall discuss this matter at greater length in our next section. Our focus, of course, will be on the metric structure of quantum tori induced by the dual action and continuous length functions on $\mathbb{T}^2$, as first constructed in [23] as the prototype for compact quantum metric spaces.

Theorem-Definition 2.9. [26] *For all $z = (z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{T}^2$ there exists a unique *-automorphism β^z_θ of $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ such that, for any $f \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ and $(n, m) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, we have:*

$$\beta^z_\theta f(n, m) = z_1^n z_2^m f(n, m).$$

The map $z \in \mathbb{T}^2 \mapsto \beta^z_\theta$ is a strongly continuous action of $\mathbb{T}^2$ on $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ called the dual action. *Moreover:*

$$\left\{a \in \mathcal{A}_\theta : \forall z \in \mathbb{T}^2 \quad \beta^z(a) = a\right\} = \mathbb{C}1_{\mathcal{A}_\theta}.$$

An action of a group on a unital C*-algebra whose fixed point algebra is reduced to the scalar multiples of the unit, such as the dual action of $\mathbb{T}^2$ on $\mathcal{A}_\theta$, is called *ergodic*. In [3], this dual action, combined with the fact that $\mathbb{T}^2$ is a Lie group, was used to define a quantized differential calculus on quantum tori [5] which proved to be the start of noncommutative geometry. In [23], the dual action, combined with a choice of a continuous length function on $\mathbb{T}^2$, provided the first example of an L-seminorm and started the program within which the current paper participate.

We now turn to the class of modules to which we shall apply our new modular propinquity. We construct these modules following [3] using the universal property of quantum 2-tori, which we now recall.

Proposition 2.10 ([26])**.** *Let $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. If U, V are two unitary operators on some Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}$ such that $UV = \exp(2i\pi\theta)VU$ for some $\theta \in [0,1)$, then there exists a *-morphism $\varpi : \mathcal{A}_\theta \to \mathfrak{B}(\mathscr{H})$ such that $\varpi(u_\theta) = U$ and $\varpi_\theta(v_\theta) = V$. The range of ϖ is $C^*(U,V)$.*

We note that one may construct an enveloping C*-algebra of $(\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2), *_\theta, \cdot^*)$ with the universal property described in Proposition (2.10) by choosing as a C*-norm of some element f, the supremum of the norm of $\pi(f)$ where π ranges over *all* *-representations of $(\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2), *_\theta, \cdot^*)$. The completion of $(\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2), *_\theta, \cdot^*)$ with this norm would be the *full* twisted convolution C*-algebra of $\mathbb{Z}^2$ for e_θ. However, as $\mathbb{Z}^2$ is Abelian, it is an amenable group, which implies that the full norm is in fact equal to the C*-norm obtained from our special representation π_θ. Thus amenability is in essence behind Proposition (2.10).

Another way to state Proposition (2.10) is that, for any $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, if ς is some projective representation of $\mathbb{Z}^2$ on some Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}$ for some multiplier of $\mathbb{Z}^2$ cohomologous to e_θ, then $\mathscr{H}$ is a module over $\mathcal{A}_\theta$. Indeed, Proposition (2.10) gives us a *-morphism ϖ from $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ to the C*-algebra $\mathfrak{B}(\mathscr{H})$ of all bounded linear operators on $\mathscr{H}$, with $\varpi(u_\theta) = \varsigma^{1,0}$ and $\varpi(v_\theta) = \varsigma^{0,1}$. Thus $\mathscr{H}$ is a $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ module.

With this observation in mind, we now turn to the construction of some particular projective representations of $\mathbb{Z}^2$. The idea, found in [3] and explicited in [21], is to take the tensor product of a projective representation of $\mathbb{R}^2$, restricted to $\mathbb{Z}^2$, and a finite dimensional projective representation of $\mathbb{Z}_q$ for some $q \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. By adjusting the choice of the multipliers associated with each projective representation, we get the desired module structure.

Projective representations of $\mathbb{R}^2$ are naturally related to the representations of the Heisenberg group, and we will make important use of this fact in our work. We thus begin with setting our notations for the Heisenberg group.

Convention 2.11. The vector space $\mathbb{C}^d$ is endowed by default with its standard inner product $\langle (z_1,\ldots,z_d), (y_1,\ldots,y_d)\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^d} = \sum_{j=1}^d z_j\overline{y_j}$, whose associated norm is denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{C}^d}$.

Notation 2.12. The Heisenberg group is the Lie group given by:

$$\mathbb{H}_3 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & u \\ 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : x,y,u \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

We shall identify $\mathbb{H}_3$ with $\mathbb{R}^3$ via the natural map $(x,y,u) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & u \\ 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, which is a Lie group isomorphism once we equip $\mathbb{R}^3$ with the multiplication:

$$(x_1,y_1,u_1)(x_2,y_2,u_2) = (x_1+x_2, y_1+y_2, u_1+u_2+x_1y_2)$$

for all $(x_1,y_1,u_1),(x_2,y_2,u_2) \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

The importance of the Heisenberg group for quantum mechanics [7] may be gleaned by looking at its Lie algebra, which is given by:

$$\mathbb{h} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x & u \\ 0 & 0 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : x,y,u \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

which is a 2-nilpotent Lie algebra. We easily compute that for all $x,y,u \in \mathbb{R}^3$:

$$\exp \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x & u \\ 0 & 0 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & u+\frac{1}{2}xy \\ 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.0.2}$$

This expression for the exponential will be important for our construction. Note that the exponential map is both injective and surjective.

We now set:

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We easily check that $[P,Q] = T = -[Q,P]$ while other other commutators between P, Q and T are null, and $\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{P,Q,T\} = \mathbb{h}$.

We note that in particular, T is central, and thus the relations defining $\mathbb{h}$ from the basis $\{P,Q,T\}$ are the structural equations of quantum mechanics — the canonical commutation relation, as proposed by Heisenberg, in order to express the uncertainty principle between two conjugate observables. We refer to [7] for a detailed analysis of the Heisenberg group and its connections to the Moyal product, pseudo-differential calculus, and more fascinating topics.

Thus the study of the irreducible representations of $\mathbb{H}_3$ provide the irreducible representations of the canonical commutation relations. We first note that:

$$\mathbb{H}_3 \Big/ \{(0,0,u) : u \in \mathbb{R}\} = \mathbb{R}^2$$

is Abelian, and thus we get a collection of trivial, one-dimensional representations of $\mathbb{H}_3$ by simply lifting the irreducible representations of $\mathbb{R}^2$.

The nontrivial irreducible representations of the Heisenberg group are, up to unitary equivalence, given by one of the following:

$$\alpha^{x,y,u}_{\eth,1}\xi : s \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto \exp(2i\pi(\eth u + sx))\xi(s+\eth y) \tag{2.0.3}$$

form some $\eth \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. We note that they all are infinite dimensional.

Let $\eth \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. For all $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and for all $\xi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, set:

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma^{x,y}_{\eth,1}\xi &= \alpha^{\exp_{\mathbb{H}_3}(xP+yQ)}_{\eth,1}\xi \\ &= \alpha^{x,y,\frac{xy}{2}}_{\eth,1}\xi : s \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto \exp(i\pi\eth xy + 2i\pi sx)\xi(s+\eth y).\end{aligned}$$

The map $\sigma^{x,y}_{\eth,1}$ is a unitary on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ for all $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Moreover, for all (x_1, y_1), $(x_2, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, we note that:

$$\sigma^{x_1,y_1}_{\eth,1}\sigma^{x_2,y_2}_{\eth,1} = \mathrm{e}_{\eth}((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2))\sigma^{x_1+x_2,y_1+y_2}_{\eth,1},$$

i.e. $\sigma_{\eth,1}$ is a projective representation of $\mathbb{R}^2$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ for the bicharacter $\mathrm{e}_{\eth}$, namely the *Schrödinger representation* of "Plank constant" $\eth$. Moreover, every nontrivial irreducible unitary projective representation of $\mathbb{R}^2$ is unitarily equivalent to one of $\sigma_{1,\eth}$ for some $\eth \neq 0$ (by nontrivial, we mean associated with a nontrivial cocycle).

We introduce one more notation which will prove very useful in defining our D-norm on Heisenberg modules. If $d \in \mathbb{N}$ with $d > 0$, we define the following unitarry operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$:

$$\alpha^{x,y,u}_{\eth,d} = \alpha^{x,y,u}_{\eth,1} \otimes \mathrm{id} \text{ and } \sigma^{x,y}_{\eth,d} = \sigma^{x,y}_{\eth,1} \otimes \mathrm{id}$$

for all $x, y, u \in \mathbb{R}$, where id is the identity map on $\mathbb{C}^d$. We trivially check that $\alpha_{\eth,d}$ is a unitary representation of $\mathbb{H}_3$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$, while $\sigma_{\eth,d}$ is a $\mathrm{e}_{\eth}$-projective representation of $\mathbb{R}^2$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$. Moreover, we also check immediately that $\alpha^{x,y,0}_{\eth,d} = \sigma^{x,y}_{\eth,d}$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

We now turn to the projective representations of $\mathbb{Z}^2_q$, where $q \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. We first note that, for any $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, the skew bicharacter $\mathrm{e}_{\frac{p}{q}}$ of $\mathbb{Z}^2$ induces a skew bicharacter of $\mathbb{Z}^2_q$ — which we keep denoting by $\mathrm{e}_{\frac{p}{q}}$. By [9], any multiplier of $\mathbb{Z}^2_q$ is cohomologous to $\mathrm{e}_{\frac{p}{q}}$ for some $p \in \mathbb{N}$.

For our purpose, we will thus get, up to unitary equivalence, every possible finite dimensional unitary projective representations of the groups $\mathbb{Z}^2_q$ for arbitrary $q \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ by considering the following family.

Notation 2.13. Let $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $q \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z} \mapsto [n] \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ be the canonical surjection. Let:

$$u_{p,q} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & \\ \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } v_{p,q} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & z & & & \\ & & z^2 & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & z^{q-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

with $z = \exp\left(\frac{2i\pi p}{q}\right)$. Since $u^q_{p,q} = v^q_{p,q} = 1$, the map:

$$\rho_{p,q,1} : (z, w) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_q \mapsto \rho^{z,w}_{p,q,1} = u^n_{p,q}v^m_{p,q} \text{ where } [n] = z \text{ and } [m] = w$$

is well-defined. An easy computation shows that $\rho_{p,q,1}$ is a projective action of $\mathbb{Z}^2_q$.

For all $d \in q\mathbb{N}$, $d > 0$, we now set:

$$\rho^{n,m}_{p,q,d} = \rho^{n,m}_{p,q,1} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\frac{d}{q}}$$

where $\mathrm{id}_{\frac{d}{q}}$ is the identity map on $\mathbb{C}^{\frac{d}{q}}$.

We remark that $\rho_{p,q,d}$ acts on $\mathbb{C}^d$, i.e. we parametrized ρ by the dimension of the space on which it acts rather than the multiplicity of $\rho_{p,q,1}$, as it will make our notations much simpler.

If p and q are relatively prime, the representation $\rho_{p,q,1}$ is irreducible, with range the entire algebra of $q \times q$ matrices — it is in fact, the only irreducible $\mathrm{e}_{\frac{p}{q}}$-projective representation of $\mathbb{Z}_q^2$ up to unitary equivalence. Thus in general, any finite dimensional unitary representation of $\mathbb{Z}_q^2$ is unitarily equivalent to some $\rho_{l,r,d}$ for some $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, $d \in r\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, with $l = 0$ and $r = 1$ or l, r relatively prime.

In order to construct the inner product on the Heisenberg modules, we shall need to first work on a space of well-behaved functions inside the Hilbert space $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ on which quantum tori will act. This space will consist of the Schwarz functions.

Definition 2.14. Let E be a finite dimensional vector space. A function $f : \mathbb{R} \to E$ is a *E-valued Schwarz function over* $\mathbb{R}$ when it is infinitely differentiable on $\mathbb{R}$ and, for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and all polynomial $p \in \mathbb{R}[X]$, we have:

$$\lim_{t \to \pm\infty} \left\| p(t) f^{(j)}(t) \right\|_E = 0.$$

The space of all E-valued Schwarz functions over $\mathbb{R}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{S}(E)$.

We note that if $f \in \mathcal{S}(E)$ for some finite dimensional space E, then in particular, $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ for all $p \in [1, \infty]$, since for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $M > 0$ such that $\|f(s)\|_E \leqslant \frac{M}{1+|s|^j}$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Indeed, by assumption, $\lim_{s \to \pm\infty} \|(1 + s^j) f(s)\|_E = 0$. Thus for some $K > 0$ we have $\|f(s)\| \leqslant \frac{1}{1+|s|^j}$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|s| > K$. On the other hand, since f is continuous on $[-K, K]$, it is a bounded. Let $M = \max\{|f(s)| : s \in [-K, K]\}$. As $s \in [-K, K] \mapsto \frac{1}{1+|s|^j}$ is continuous as well as strictly positive, it is bounded below by some $m > 0$. Thus $\|f(s)\|_E \leqslant \frac{\max\{1, Mm^{-1}\}}{1+|s|^j}$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

We now implement the scheme which we described a few paragraphs above to construct modules over quantum tori. We refer to the mentioned works of Connes and Rieffel for the details and justification behind the following construction.

Theorem-Definition 2.15 ([3], [20], [6]). *Let $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $q \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. Let $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, $q \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, and let $d \in q\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. The* Heisenberg module *$\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}$ is the module over $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ defined as follows.*

Let $\rho_{p,q,d}$ be the projective action of $\mathbb{Z}_q^2$ with cocycle $\mathrm{e}_{\frac{p}{q}}$, consisting of the sum of $\frac{d}{q}$ copies of the unique, up to unitary equivalence, irreducible representation with the same cocycle. Up to unitary conjugation, we assume that $\rho_{p,q,d}$ acts on $\mathbb{C}^d$.

Let:

$$\eth = \theta - \frac{p}{q}.$$

Let $\alpha_{\eth,1}$ be the action of the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}_3$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ given by Expression (2.0.3).

For $(n,m) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, denoting the class of n and m in $\mathbb{Z}\Big/q\mathbb{Z}$, respectively, by $[n]$ and $[m]$, we set:

$$\varpi^{n,m}_{p,q,\eth,d} = \alpha^{n,m,0}_{\eth,1} \otimes \rho^{[n],[m]}_{p,q}.$$

For all $n,m \in \mathbb{Z}$, the map $\varpi^{n,m}_{p,q,\eth,d}$ is a unitary of $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$, and moreover $\varpi_{p,q,\eth,d}$ is an e_θ-projective representation of $\mathbb{Z}^2$.

By universality, the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$ is a module over $\mathcal{A}_\theta$, with, in particular, for all $f \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ and $\xi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}^d) = L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$:

$$f\xi = \sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{Z}} f(n,m) \varpi^{n,m}_{p,q,\eth,d} \xi.$$

Let $\mathscr{S}^{p,q,d}_\theta = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C}^d) \subseteq L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$. For all $\xi, \omega \in \mathscr{S}^{p,q,d}_\theta$, define $\langle \xi, \omega \rangle_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_\theta}$ as the function in $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ given by:

$$\langle \xi, \omega \rangle_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_\theta} : (n,m) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \longmapsto \left\langle \varpi^{n,m}_{p,q,\eth,d} \xi, \omega \right\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes E}.$$

The Heisenberg module *$\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_\theta$ is the completion of $\mathscr{S}^{p,q,d}_\theta$ for the norm associated with the $\mathcal{A}_\theta$-inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_\theta}$.*

We note that $\mathscr{S}^{p,q,d}_\theta$ is not closed under the action of $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ but it is closed under the action of the subalgebra:

$$\{f \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2) : \forall p \in \mathbb{R}[X,Y] \quad \lim_{n,m \to \pm\infty} p(n,m) f(n,m) = 0\}$$

of $(\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2), *_\theta, \cdot^*)$, often referred to as the smooth quantum torus. We will not use this observation later on, though it is notable that the completion of $\mathscr{S}^{p,q,d}_\theta$ is indeed a $\mathcal{A}_\theta$-module.

We make some formulas explicit for clarity. We continue with the notations in Theorem-Definition (2.15). We let:

$$W_1 = \rho^{1,0}_{p,q,d} \text{ and } W_2 = \rho^{0,1}_{p,q,d}.$$

We thus have, in particular:

$$W_1 W_2 = \exp\left(\frac{2ip\pi}{q}\right) W_2 W_1.$$

We also denote by u_θ and v_θ the elements $\delta_{1,0}$ and $\delta_{0,1}$ of $\mathcal{A}_\theta$.

We then note that for all $\xi \in \mathscr{S}^\rho_\theta$:

$$u_\theta \xi : s \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto W_1 \xi(s + \eth)$$

and

$$v_\theta \xi : s \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto W_2 \exp(2i\pi s) \xi(s).$$

We observe that indeed, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}$ is hermitian. Indeed for all $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}^2$:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \xi, \omega \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}(n,m) &= \left\langle \omega^{n,m}_{p,q,\eth,d} \xi, \omega \right\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})\otimes\mathbb{C}^d} \\ &= \left\langle \xi, \omega^{-n,-m}_{p,q,\eth,d} \omega \right\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})\otimes\mathbb{C}^d} \\ &= \overline{\langle \omega, \xi \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}}(-n,-m) \\ &= \left(\langle \omega, \xi \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} \right)^*. \end{aligned} \tag{2.0.4}$$

Moreover, for all $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\xi, \omega \in \mathscr{S}_\theta^\rho$, we have:

$$\langle \xi, \omega \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}(n,m) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle W_1^n W_2^m \xi(s + \eth m), \omega(s) \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^d} \exp(2i\pi n s)\, ds.$$

Now, $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ carries a unique tracial state tr, given for all $f \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ by $\mathrm{tr}(f) = f(0)$. In particular:

$$\mathrm{tr}\left(\langle \xi, \omega \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} \right) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle \xi(s), \omega(s) \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^d}\, ds,$$

so $\|\xi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})\otimes\mathbb{C}^d} \leqslant \|\xi\|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}$. In particular, $\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}$ is a dense subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$.

Last, we note that if $\rho_{p,q,d} = \rho_{l,r,m}$ for some $p, l \in \mathbb{Z}$, $q, r \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, $d \in q\mathbb{N}$ and $m \in r\mathbb{N}$, with $d, m > 0$, we may not conclude that $p = l$, $q = r$ and $d = m$ unless we assume that p, q are relatively prime and l, r are relatively prime, or $p = l = 0$ and $q = r = 1$. Consequently, p, q, d is not uniquely determined by the isomorphism class of $\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}$ unless we assume relative primality of p and q. This will not be an issue for our work.

In this section, we introduced many representations of various groups, and the notations will be important all throughout this paper. We believe it may be convenient for the reader if we summarize these notations.

Notation 2.16. The following table summarizes all the group (projective) representations and related objects which will be used in this work.

Notation	Parameter(s)	Meaning
e_θ	$\theta \in \mathbb{R}$	the skew bicharacter defined by Expr. (2.0.1) on $\mathbb{R}^2$, identified with its quotient map on $\mathbb{Z}^2$, and even $\mathbb{Z}_q^2$ when $q\theta \in \mathbb{Z}$
$\rho_{p,q,d}$	$p \in \mathbb{Z}$, $q \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$; $d \in q\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$	direct sum of $\frac{d}{q} \in \mathbb{N}$ copies of the $\mathrm{e}_{\frac{p}{q}}$-projective representation $\rho_{p,q,1}$ of $\mathbb{Z}_q^2$ given in Notation (2.13)
$\alpha_{\eth,1}$	$\eth \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$	$\alpha_{\eth,1}^{x,y,u}\xi : s \mapsto \exp(2i\pi(\eth u + xs))\xi(s + \eth y)$ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C})$ and $(x,y,u) \in \mathbb{H}_3$, see Notation (2.12)
$\alpha_{\eth,d}$	$\eth \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}, d > 0$	$\alpha_{\eth,1} \otimes \mathrm{id}$ where id is the identity of $\mathbb{C}^d$, see Notation (2.12)
$\sigma_{\eth,d}$	$\eth \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}, d > 0$	$\sigma_{\eth,d}^{x,y} = \alpha_{\eth,d}^{x,y,\frac{xy}{2}}$ for all $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, see Notation (2.12)
$\varpi_{p,q,\eth,d}$	$p,q \in \mathbb{N}$; $d \in q\mathbb{N} > 0$, $\eth \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$	$\sigma_{\eth,1} \otimes \rho_{p,q,d}$ as in Theorem (2.15)
β_θ	$\theta \in \mathbb{R}$	the dual action of $\mathbb{T}^2$ on $\mathcal{A}_\theta = C^*(\mathbb{Z}^2, \mathrm{e}_\theta)$ as in Theorem (2.9)
$U_\theta : n,m \mapsto U_\theta^{n,m}$	$\theta \in \mathbb{R}$	the e_θ-projective representation of $\mathbb{Z}^2$ on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ defined in Theorem (2.5)
π_θ	$\theta \in \mathbb{R}$	the representation of $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ extending the integrated version of U_θ as in Theorem (2.5)

The purpose of this section is to show that for a fixed choice of $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, $q \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, and $d \in q\mathbb{N}$, $d > 0$, the function $\theta \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}$ is continuous for the modular propinquity. A first step in this direction is to check that the collection of Heisenberg modules form a continuous family of normed spaces.

3. A continuous fields of C^*-Hilbert norms

All Heisenberg modules are completions of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C}^d)$ for some $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $d > 0$. For a fixed d, it thus becomes possible to ask whether the various C^*-Hilbert norms $\|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}$, as θ varies in $\mathbb{R}$, form a continuous family.

To this end, we establish a succession of lemmas whose primary goal is to provide us with estimates on the Heisenberg modules' C^*-Hilbert norms in terms of the norm of $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)$. While the Heisenberg modules' C^*-Hilbert norms are in general delicate to work with as they involve the no-less abstract quantum tori norms, the $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ norm, which dominates all of the quantum tori norms, is much more

amenable to computations. For our purpose, we will take full advantage of the regularity of Schwarz functions, which will enable us to apply various analytic tools to derive our desired result.

The first step is a lemma which provides a first upper bound to the $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ norm of the difference between certain Heisenberg module inner products.

Lemma 3.1. *If $\theta, \vartheta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, $q \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, $d \in q\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, and if ω, η and ξ are C^2 functions from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{C}^d$ such that for all $f \in \{\omega, \eta, \xi\}$:*

(1) all of f, f' and f'' are integrable on $\mathbb{R}$,
(2) $\lim_{t\to\pm\infty} f(t) = \lim_{t\to\pm\infty} f'(t) = \lim_{t\to\pm\infty} f''(t) = 0$,

then, writing $\eth_\theta = \theta - \frac{p}{q}$ and $\eth_\vartheta = \vartheta - \frac{p}{q}$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \langle \omega, \eta \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} - \langle \xi, \eta \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}} \right\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)} \\
&\quad \leqslant \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{4\pi^2 n^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \left\| \omega''(t + \eth_\theta m) - \xi''(t + \eth_\vartheta m) \right\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} \|\eta(t)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} \, dt + \right. \\
&\qquad + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \left\| \omega'(t + \eth_\theta m) - \xi'(t + \eth_\vartheta m) \right\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} \|\eta'(t)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} \, dt \\
&\qquad\quad \left. + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \|\omega(t + \eth_\theta m) - \xi(t + \eth_\vartheta m)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} \|\eta''(t)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} \, dt \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We begin with the observation that for all $(n, m) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle \omega, \eta \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}(n, m) - \langle \xi, \eta \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}}(n, m) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left\langle \rho_{p,q,d}^{[n],[m]} \omega(t + \eth_\theta m), \eta(t) \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^d} \exp(2i\pi nt) \, dt \\
&\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left\langle \rho_{p,q,d}^{[n],[m]} \xi(t + \eth_\vartheta m), \eta(t) \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^d} \exp(2i\pi nt) \, dt \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\left\langle \rho_{p,q,d}^{[n],[m]} \omega(t + \eth_\theta m), \eta(t) \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^d} - \left\langle \rho_{p,q,d}^{[n],[m]} \xi(t + \eth_\vartheta m), \eta(t) \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^d} \right) \exp(2i\pi nt) \, dt \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left\langle \rho_{p,q,d}^{[n],[m]} \left(\omega(t + \eth_\theta m) - \xi(t + \eth_\vartheta m) \right), \eta(t) \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^d} \exp(2i\pi nt) \, dt.
\end{aligned}$$

For all $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, the function:

$$f_{n,m} : t \mapsto \left\langle \rho_{p,q,d}^{[n],[m]} \omega(t + \eth_\theta m) - \xi(t + \eth_\vartheta m), \eta(t) \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^d}$$

has a first and continuous second derivative which are integrable, and:

$$\lim_{t\to\pm\infty} f_{n,m}(t) = \lim_{t\to\pm\infty} f'_{n,m}(t) = \lim_{t\to\pm\infty} f''_{n,m}(t) = 0.$$

We consequently may apply integration by part and obtain, for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left\langle \rho_{p,q,d}^{[n],[m]} \omega(t + \eth_\theta m) - \xi(t + \eth_\vartheta m), \eta(t) \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^d} \exp(2i\pi nt) \, dt$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_{n,m}(t) \exp(2i\pi nt)\, dt \\
&= -\int_{\mathbb{R}} f'_{n,m}(t) \frac{\exp(2i\pi nt)}{2i\pi n}\, dt \\
&= -\int_{\mathbb{R}} f''_{n,m}(t) \frac{\exp(2i\pi nt)}{4\pi^2 n^2}\, dt.
\end{aligned}$$

We compute trivially that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
f''_{n,m}(t) = &\left\langle \rho^{[n],[m]}_{p,q,d} \left(\omega''(t + \eth_\theta m) - \xi''(t + \eth_\vartheta m)\right), \eta(t) \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^d} \\
&+ 2\left\langle \rho^{[n],[m]}_{p,q,d} \left(\omega'(t + \eth_\theta m) - \xi'(t + \eth_\vartheta m)\right), \eta'(t) \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^d} \\
&+ \left\langle \rho^{[n],[m]}_{p,q,d} \left(\omega(t + \eth_\theta m) - \xi(t + \eth_\vartheta m)\right), \eta''(t) \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^d}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus using Cauchy-Schwarz and since $\rho^{[n],[m]}_{p,q,d}$ is a unitary, we thus conclude:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \langle \omega, \eta \rangle_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_\theta} - \langle \xi, \eta \rangle_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_\vartheta} \right\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)} \\
&= \sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left\langle \rho^{[n],[m]}_{p,q,d} \left(\omega(t + \eth_\theta m) - \xi(t + \eth_\vartheta m)\right), \eta(t) \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^d} \exp(2i\pi nt)\, dt \right| \\
&\leqslant \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\left|f''_{n,m}(t)\right|}{4\pi^2 n^2}\, dt \\
&\leqslant \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{4\pi^2 n^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|\omega''(t + \eth_\theta m) - \xi''(t + \eth_\vartheta m)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} \|\eta(t)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d}\, dt \right. \\
&\quad + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|\omega'(t + \eth_\theta m) - \xi'(t + \eth_\vartheta m)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} \|\eta'(t)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d}\, dt \\
&\quad \left. + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|\omega(t + \eth_\theta m) - \xi(t + \eth_\vartheta m)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} \|\eta''(t)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d}\, dt \right) \\
&= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{4\pi^2 n^2} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \|\omega''(t + \eth_\theta m) - \xi''(t + \eth_\vartheta m)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} \right) \|\eta(t)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d}\, dt \right. \\
&\quad + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \|\omega'(t + \eth_\theta m) - \xi'(t + \eth_\vartheta m)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} \right) \|\eta'(t)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d}\, dt \\
&\quad \left. + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \|\omega(t + \eth_\theta m) - \xi(t + \eth_\vartheta m)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} \right) \|\eta''(t)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d}\, dt \right] \text{ by Tonelli's theorem.}
\end{aligned}$$

This concludes our lemma. □

Our next lemma focuses on the type of estimates given in Lemma (3.1), and gives a sufficient condition for these upper bounds to converge to 0 when various parameters are allowed to converge to appropriate values.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $d > 0$. Let $\overline{\mathbb{N}} = \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ be the one point compactification of $\mathbb{N}$.*

If $(\omega_k)_{k \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}}$ and $(\eta_k)_{k \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}}$ are two families of C^2-functions from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{C}^d$ and $(\eth_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of nonzero real numbers converging to some $\eth_\infty \neq 0$ such that:

(1) $(t,k) \in \mathbb{R} \times \overline{\mathbb{N}} \mapsto \omega_k(t)$ *and* $(t,k) \in \mathbb{R} \times \overline{\mathbb{N}} \mapsto \eta_k(t)$ *are jointly continuous,*
(2) there exists $M > 0$ *such that for all* $k \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$ *and* $t \in \mathbb{R}$*:*

$$\max\left\{\|\omega_k(t)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d}, \|\eta_k(t)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d}\right\} \leqslant \frac{M}{1+t^2},$$

then:

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{4\pi^2 n^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \|\omega_k(t+\eth_k m) - \omega_\infty(t+\eth_\infty m)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} \|\eta_k(t)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d}\, dt = 0. \tag{3.0.1}$$

Proof. First, we observe that Expression (3.0.1) is left unchanged if we replace $\eth_k$ with $-\eth_k$ for all $k \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$, thanks to the summation over $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Consequently, we may assume without loss of generality that $\eth_\infty > 0$ and assume that $\eth_k > 0$ for all $k \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$ (since $(\eth_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to $\eth_\infty \neq 0$, we must have that $\eth_k$ and $\eth_\infty$ have the same sign for k larger than some $K \in \mathbb{N}$; we thus can truncate our sequence to start at K and flip all the signs if necessary to work with positive values).

With this in mind, since $(\eth_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is positive and converges to $\eth_\infty > 0$, there exists $0 < \eth_- < \eth_+$ such that for all $k \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$, we have $\eth_k \in [\eth_-, \eth_+]$.

We shall employ the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem. To this end, we introduce the following function to serve as our upper bound. For all $t \in \mathbb{R}, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ we set:

$$\mathrm{b}(t,m) = \begin{cases} \frac{M}{1+(t+m\eth_-)^2} \text{ if } m > 0 \text{ and } m \geqslant \frac{-t}{\eth_-} \text{ or } m < 0 \text{ and } m \leqslant \frac{-t}{\eth_-}, \\ \frac{M}{1+(t+m\eth_+)^2} \text{ if } m > 0 \text{ and } m \leqslant \frac{-t}{\eth_+} \text{ or } m < 0 \text{ and } m \geqslant \frac{-t}{\eth_+}, \\ M \text{ if } m = 0 \text{ or } \frac{-t}{m} \in (\eth_-, \eth_+). \end{cases} \tag{3.0.2}$$

For a fixed $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we note that:

$$\mathrm{b}(t,m) \sim_{m\to\pm\infty} \frac{M}{\eth_-^2 m^2},$$

so $\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{b}(t,m) < \infty$. Moreover, by construction, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\eth \in [\eth_-, \eth_+]$, we have:

$$\frac{M}{1+(t+\eth m)^2} \leqslant \mathrm{b}(t,m).$$

Therefore, using our hypothesis, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$ and $\eth \in [\eth_-, \eth_+]$:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\omega_k(t+m\eth) - \omega_\infty(t+m\eth_\infty)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} &\leqslant \frac{M}{1+(t+m\eth)^2} + \frac{M}{1+(t+m\eth_\infty)^2} \\ &\leqslant 2\mathrm{b}(t,m). \end{aligned}$$

Thus for a fixed $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we may apply Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem to conclude:

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \|\omega_k(t+m\eth_k) - \omega_\infty(t+m\eth_\infty)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} = 0, \tag{3.0.3}$$

since $(t,k) \in \mathbb{R} \times \overline{\mathbb{N}} \mapsto \omega_k(t)$ is jointly continuous.

We now make another observation. For any fixed $\eth > 0$ and $k \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$, The function:

$$t \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \|\omega_k(t+\eth m)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d}$$

is $\eth$-periodic.

If $t \in [0, \eth_+]$, $k \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$ and $\eth \in [\eth_-, \eth_+]$, then since:

$$\|\omega_k(t + \eth m)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} \leqslant \sup_{x \in [0, \eth_+]} \mathrm{b}(x, m)$$

while, as can easily be checked:

$$\sup_{x \in [0, \eth_+]} \mathrm{b}(x, m) \sim_{m \to \pm\infty} \frac{M}{\eth_-^2 m^2},$$

we conclude that the series:

$$\left((t, k, \eth) \in \mathbb{R} \times \overline{\mathbb{N}} \times [\eth_-, \eth_+] \mapsto \sum \|\omega_k(t + \eth m)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d}\right)_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$$

converges uniformly to its limit on $[0, \eth_+] \times \overline{\mathbb{N}} \times [\eth_-, \eth_+]$. In particular:

$$(t, k, \eth) \in [0, \eth_+] \times \overline{\mathbb{N}} \times [\eth_-, \eth_+] \mapsto \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\omega_k(t + \eth m)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d}$$

is continuous on a compact domain and so it is bounded. Let $C > 0$ such that for all $(t, k, \eth) \in [0, \eth_+] \times \overline{\mathbb{N}} \times [\eth_-, \eth_+]$, we have:

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\omega_k(t + \eth m)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} \leqslant C.$$

We conclude that $t \mapsto \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\omega_k(t - \eth_k m)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d}$ is bounded by C on $\mathbb{R}$, since it is an $\eth_k$-periodic function with $\eth_k \leqslant \eth_+$, for all $k \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$.

We thus have that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$:

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\omega_k(t + m\eth_k) - \omega_\infty(t + m\eth_\infty)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} \|\eta_k(t)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} \leqslant 2C \|\eta_k(t)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} \leqslant \frac{2CM}{1 + t^2}. \tag{3.0.4}$$

Now $t \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto \frac{2CM}{1+t^2}$ is integrable over $\mathbb{R}$. Once again, we apply Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, and we conclude from Expression (3.0.3) that:

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\omega_k(t + m\eth_k) - \omega_\infty(t + m\eth_\infty)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} \|\eta_k(t)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} \, dt = 0. \tag{3.0.5}$$

Last, using Inequality (3.0.4) again, we note that for all $k \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\omega_k(t + m\eth_k) - \omega_\infty(t + m\eth_\infty)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} \|\eta_k(t)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} \, dt \leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{2CM}{1 + t^2} \, dt$$

and thus for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$:

$$\frac{1}{4\pi^2 n^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\omega(t + m\eth_k) - \omega(t + m\eth_\infty)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} \|\eta(t)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} \, dt \leqslant \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{2CM}{1+t^2} \, dt}{4\pi^2 n^2},$$

with $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{2CM}{1+t^2} \, dt}{4\pi^2 n^2} < \infty$; hence we may apply Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem once more to conclude from Expression (3.0.5):

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{4\pi^2 n^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\omega_k(t + m\eth_k) - \omega_\infty(t + m\eth_\infty)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} \|\eta_k(t)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} \, dt = 0.$$

This concludes our lemma. □

Remark 3.3. One may check that Lemma (3.1) and Lemma (3.2) together prove that if $p,q \in \mathbb{N}$, $\xi,\omega \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C}^d)$, for any $d \in q\mathbb{N}$ with $d > 0$, and if $\theta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \left\{ \frac{p}{q} \right\}$, then $\langle \xi, \omega \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)$. It is a well-known fact (indeed a basic fact for the very construction of Heisenberg modules) though maybe not apparent from Theorem-Definition (2.15) without consulting such sources as [20].

We now bring together Lemma (3.1) and Lemma (3.2) to obtain a first result of continuity on the Heisenberg module inner products, albeit using the $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ norm. This is the core result of this section, and it is phrased at a somewhat higher level of generality that what is needed for the proof of continuity of the family of Heisenberg C^*-Hilbert norms. Indeed, this level of generality will prove useful twice later in this paper: when proving that the Heisenberg group representations $\alpha_{\eth,d}$ define *strongly continuous* actions on Heisenberg modules, and when establishing that our prospective D-norms on Heisenberg modules will also form a continuous family of norms.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $p,q \in \mathbb{N}$ with $q > 0$ and $d \in q\mathbb{N}$ with $d > 0$. If $(\xi_k)_{k\in\overline{\mathbb{N}}}$ is a family of $\mathbb{C}^d$-valued C^2-functions such that:*

(1) there exists $M > 0$ such that for all $k \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\max\left\{ \|\xi_k(t)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d}, \|\xi_k'(t)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d}, \|\xi_k''(t)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} \right\} \leqslant \frac{M}{1+t^2},$$

(2) $(t,k) \in \mathbb{R} \times \overline{\mathbb{N}} \mapsto \xi_k(t)$ is continuous,

and if $(\theta_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence converging to θ_∞ such that $\theta_k - \frac{p}{q} \neq 0$ for all $k \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$, then we have:

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \left\| \langle \xi_k, \xi_k \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_{\theta_k}^{p,q,d}} - \langle \xi_\infty, \xi_\infty \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_{\theta_\infty}^{p,q,d}} \right\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)} = 0.$$

Proof. To fix notations, for all $k \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$, we set $\eth_k = \theta_k - \frac{p}{q}$. Note that $(\eth_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of nonzero real numbers converging to $\eth_\infty \neq 0$.

We shall prove our result from the following inequality.

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \langle \xi_k, \xi_k \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_{\theta_k}^{p,q,d}} - \langle \xi_\infty, \xi_\infty \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_{\theta_\infty}^{p,q,d}} \right\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)} \\ \leqslant &\left\| \langle \xi_k, \xi_k \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_{\theta_k}^{p,q,d}} - \langle \xi_k, \xi_\infty \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_{\theta_\infty}^{p,q,d}} \right\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)} + \left\| \langle \xi_k, \xi_\infty \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_{\theta_\infty}^{p,q,d}} - \langle \xi_\infty, \xi_\infty \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_{\theta_\infty}^{p,q,d}} \right\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.0.6}$$

We begin with the first term of the right hand side of Inequality (3.0.6). We observe, using Expression (2.0.4) and the fact that the adjoint operation is an isometry for $\|\cdot\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)}$, that:

$$\left\| \langle \xi_k, \xi_k \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_{\theta_k}^{p,q,d}} - \langle \xi_k, \xi_\infty \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_{\theta_\infty}^{p,q,d}} \right\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)} = \left\| \langle \xi_k, \xi_k \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_{\theta_k}^{p,q,d}} - \langle \xi_\infty, \xi_k \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_{\theta_\infty}^{p,q,d}} \right\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)}.$$

By Lemma (3.1), we then have for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\left\| \langle \xi_k, \xi_k \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_{\theta_k}^{p,q,d}} - \langle \xi_\infty, \xi_k \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_{\theta_\infty}^{p,q,d}} \right\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)} \tag{3.0.7}$$

$$\leqslant \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{4\pi^2 n^2}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \left\|\xi_k''(t+\eth_k m)-\xi_\infty''(t+\eth_\infty m)\right\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} \|\xi_k(t)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d}\, dt + \right.$$
$$+ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \left\|\xi_k'(t+\eth_k m)-\xi_\infty'(t+\eth_\infty m)\right\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} \|\xi_k'(t)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d}\, dt$$
$$\left. + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \|\xi_k(t+\eth_k m)-\xi_\infty(t+\eth_\infty m)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} \|\xi_k''(t)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d}\, dt\right).$$

Our assumptions allow us to apply Lemma (3.2) to each term in the right hand side of Inequality (3.0.7) to conclude that:

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \left\| \langle \xi_k, \xi_k\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_{\theta_k}^{p,q,d}} - \langle \xi_k, \xi_\infty\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_{\theta_\infty}^{p,q,d}} \right\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)} = 0.$$

We handle the second term of Inequality (3.0.6) in a similar manner. By Lemma (3.1), we have:

$$\left\| \langle \xi_k, \xi_\infty\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_{\theta_\infty}^{p,q,d}} - \langle \xi_\infty, \xi_\infty\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_{\theta_\infty}^{p,q,d}} \right\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)}$$
$$\leqslant \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{4\pi^2 n^2}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \left\|\xi_k''(t+\eth_\infty m)-\xi_\infty''(t+\eth_\infty m)\right\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} \|\xi_\infty(t)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d}\, dt + \right.$$
$$+ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \left\|\xi_k'(t+\eth_\infty m)-\xi_\infty'(t+\eth_\infty m)\right\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} \|\xi_\infty'(t)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d}\, dt$$
$$\left. + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \|\xi_k(t+\eth_\infty m)-\xi_\infty(t+\eth_\infty m)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} \|\xi_\infty''(t)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d}\, dt\right).$$

Once again, by the assumptions on $(\xi_k)_{k\in\overline{\mathbb{N}}}$ allow us to apply Lemma (3.2) to conclude:

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \left\| \langle \xi_k, \xi_\infty\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_{\theta_\infty}^{p,q,d}} - \langle \xi_\infty, \xi_\infty\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_{\theta_\infty}^{p,q,d}} \right\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)} = 0,$$

and thus from Inequality (3.0.6), our lemma is proven. □

We now conclude this section with the proof that indeed, Heisenberg C^*-Hilbert norms form continuous families of norms for a fixed projective representation of some $\mathbb{Z}_q^2$.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $d \in q\mathbb{N}$ with $d > 0$. Let $(\xi)_{k\in\overline{\mathbb{N}}}$ be a family in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C}^d)$ such that $(k,t) \in \overline{\mathbb{N}} \times \mathbb{R} \mapsto \xi_k(t)$ is (jointly) continuous and there exists $M > 0$ such that $\|\xi_k^{(s)}(t)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} \leqslant \frac{M}{1+t^2}$ for all $k \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $s \in \{0,1,2\}$.*

If $(\theta_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence in $\mathbb{R}$ converging to θ_∞ and such that $\theta_k - \frac{p}{q} = 0$ for all $k \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$, then:

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \|\xi_k\|_{\mathscr{H}_{\theta_k}^{p,q,d}} = \|\xi_\infty\|_{\mathscr{H}_{\theta_\infty}^{p,q,d}}.$$

Proof. For each $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, we set $\eth_k = \theta_k - \frac{p}{q} \neq 0$.

We first compute:

(3.0.8)

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \|\xi_k\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_{\theta_k}} - \|\xi_\infty\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_{\theta_\infty}} \right| &= \left| \left\| \langle \xi_k, \xi_k \rangle_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_{\theta_k}} \right\|_{\mathcal{A}_{\theta_k}} - \left\| \langle \xi_\infty, \xi_\infty \rangle_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_{\theta_\infty}} \right\|_{\mathcal{A}_{\theta_\infty}} \right| \\
&\leqslant \left| \left\| \langle \xi_k, \xi_k \rangle_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_{\theta_k}} \right\|_{\mathcal{A}_{\theta_k}} - \left\| \langle \xi_\infty, \xi_\infty \rangle_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_{\theta_\infty}} \right\|_{\mathcal{A}_{\theta_k}} \right| \\
&\quad + \left| \left\| \langle \xi_\infty, \xi_\infty \rangle_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_{\theta_\infty}} \right\|_{\mathcal{A}_{\theta_k}} - \left\| \langle \xi_\infty, \xi_\infty \rangle_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_{\theta_\infty}} \right\|_{\mathcal{A}_{\theta_\infty}} \right| \\
&\leqslant \left\| \langle \xi_k, \xi_k \rangle_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_{\theta_k}} - \langle \xi_\infty, \xi_\infty \rangle_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_{\theta_\infty}} \right\|_{\mathcal{A}_{\theta_k}} \\
&\quad + \left| \left\| \langle \xi_\infty, \xi_\infty \rangle_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_{\theta_\infty}} \right\|_{\mathcal{A}_{\theta_k}} - \left\| \langle \xi_\infty, \xi_\infty \rangle_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_{\theta_\infty}} \right\|_{\mathcal{A}_{\theta_\infty}} \right| \\
&\leqslant \left\| \langle \xi_k, \xi_k \rangle_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_{\theta_k}} - \langle \xi_\infty, \xi_\infty \rangle_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_{\theta_\infty}} \right\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)} \\
&\quad + \left| \left\| \langle \xi_\infty, \xi_\infty \rangle_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_{\theta_\infty}} \right\|_{\mathcal{A}_{\theta_k}} - \left\| \langle \xi_\infty, \xi_\infty \rangle_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_{\theta_\infty}} \right\|_{\mathcal{A}_{\theta_\infty}} \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

We now apply Lemma (3.4) to conclude that:

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \left\| \langle \xi_k, \xi_k \rangle_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_{\theta_k}} - \langle \xi_\infty, \xi_\infty \rangle_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_{\theta_\infty}} \right\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)} = 0.$$

Now, for any $f \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)$, the function $\theta \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\theta}$ is continuous by [22, Corollary 2.7]. Hence, using Remark (3.3):

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \left| \left\| \langle \xi_\infty, \xi_\infty \rangle_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_{\theta_\infty}} \right\|_{\mathcal{A}_{\theta_k}} - \left\| \langle \xi_\infty, \xi_\infty \rangle_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_{\theta_\infty}} \right\|_{\mathcal{A}_{\theta_\infty}} \right| = 0.$$

Thus, we conclude from Inequality (3.0.8) that:

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \|\xi_k\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_{\theta_k}} = \|\xi_\infty\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_{\theta_\infty}}$$

which, by continuity of the square root, proves our lemma. □

Corollary 3.6. *Let $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $d \in q\mathbb{N}$ with $d > 0$. Let $\xi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C}^d)$. If $(\theta_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence in $\mathbb{R}$ converging to θ_∞ and such that $\theta_k - \frac{p}{q} = 0$ for all $k \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$, then:*

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \|\xi\|_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_{\theta_k}} = \|\xi\|_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_{\theta_\infty}}.$$

Proof. We apply Proposition (3.5) to the family $k \in \overline{\mathbb{N}} \mapsto \xi$. We note that since ξ is a Schwarz function, our assumptions are met. □

We shall return to Lemma (3.4) and its applications in two subsequent sections. The first such occurrence is in fact in the next section, where we establish all the basic results we will need on the Heisenberg group actions on Heisenberg modules.

Lemma (3.4) will be the key ingredient to proving these actions will be strongly continuous.

4. The action of the Heisenberg group on Heisenberg modules

Heisenberg modules may be endowed with a metrized quantum vector bundle structure over quantum 2-tori using a D-norm built from a Lie group action and inspired by the construction of [23], albeit involving a projective action of a locally compact group, which will not act via isometries of the D-norm. These changes will introduce new difficulties which we will handle in the next few sections. As a first step, we study the actions of the Heisenberg group on Heisenberg modules.

One motivation for the results in this section is to establish the properties which will meet the hypothesis of the main results in our next section, from which our D-norm will emerge. We also note that the actions $\alpha_{\eth,d}$, for all $\eth \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and $d \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, is a strongly continuous action by isometries of $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$, but we need these results to be proven for the Heisenberg C^*-Hilbert norms, which dominate the norm of $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$.

We shall use the same hypotheses for a series of lemmas and our main definition in this section, and thus we group them in the following.

Hypothesis 4.1. Let $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, $q \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, and let $d \in q\mathbb{N}$ with $d > 0$. Let $\theta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \left\{ \frac{p}{q} \right\}$. We write $\eth = \theta - \frac{p}{q}$.

We shall employ the notations of Theorem-Definition (2.15) and of Notation (2.16).

We begin with two lemmas which will prove that $\mathbb{H}_3 2$ acts via isometries of the norm of the Heisenberg modules on the subspace of Schwarz functions — where we have an explicit formula for our inner product — and thus can indeed be extended to the entire module.

Lemma 4.2. *We assume Hypothesis (4.1). For all $(x, y, u) \in \mathbb{H}_3$, if $z_1 = \exp(-2i\pi\eth x)$ and $z_2 = \exp(2i\pi\eth y)$, and if $\xi, \omega \in \mathscr{S}_\theta^{p,q,d}$, then:*

$$\left\langle \alpha^{x,y,u}_{\eth,d}(\xi), \alpha^{x,y,u}_{\eth,d}(\omega) \right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} = \beta_\theta^{z_1,z_2}\left(\langle \xi, \omega \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} \right).$$

Proof. Let $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. We compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle \varpi^{n,m}_{p,q,\eth,d} \alpha^{x,y,u}_{\eth,d} \xi, \alpha^{x,y,u}_{\eth,d} \omega \right\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})\otimes\mathbb{C}^d} \\
&\qquad = \left\langle \left(\sigma^{n,m}_{\eth,1} \alpha^{x,y,u}_{\eth,1} \otimes \rho^{[n],[m]}_{p,q,d} \right) \xi, \alpha^{x,y,u}_{\eth,d} \omega \right\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})\otimes\mathbb{C}^d} \\
&\qquad = \left\langle \left(\alpha^{(x,y,u)^{-1}}_{\eth,1} \alpha^{n,m,0}_{\eth,1} \alpha^{x,y,u}_{\eth,1} \otimes \rho^{[n],[m]}_{p,q,d} \right) \xi, \omega \right\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})\otimes\mathbb{C}^d} \\
&\qquad = \left\langle \exp(2i\pi\eth(xm - yn)) \left(\sigma^{n,m}_{\eth,1} \otimes \rho^{[n],[m]}_{p,q,d} \right) \xi, \omega \right\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})\otimes\mathbb{C}^d} \\
&\qquad = z_1^n z_2^m \left\langle \varpi^{n,m}_{p,q,\eth,d} \xi, \omega \right\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})\otimes\mathbb{C}^d}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using the fact that $\beta_\theta^{z_1,z_2}$ is a *-morphism, and writing $u_\theta = \delta_{1,0}$ and $v_\theta = \delta_{0,1}$, we conclude:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle \alpha^{x,y,u}_{\eth,d}(\xi), \alpha^{x,y,u}_{\eth,d}(\omega)\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} &= \sum_{n,m\in\mathbb{Z}} \left\langle \varpi^{n,m}_{p,q,\eth,d}\alpha^{x,y,u}_{\eth,1}\xi, \alpha^{x,y,u}_{\eth,1}\omega\right\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})\otimes\mathbb{C}^d} u_\theta^n v_\theta^m \\
&= \sum_{n,m\in\mathbb{Z}} z_1^n z_2^m \left\langle \varpi^{n,m}_{p,q,\eth,d}\xi, \omega\right\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})\otimes\mathbb{C}^d} u_\theta^n v_\theta^m \\
&= \sum_{n,m\in\mathbb{Z}} \left\langle \varpi^{n,m}_{p,q,\eth,d}\xi, \omega\right\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})\otimes\mathbb{C}^d} \beta_\theta^{z_1,z_2}\left(u_\theta^n v_\theta^m\right) \\
&= \beta_\theta^{z_1,z_2}\left(\sum_{n,m\in\mathbb{Z}} \left\langle \varpi^{n,m}_{p,q,\eth,d}\xi, \omega\right\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})\otimes\mathbb{C}^d} u_\theta^n v_\theta^m\right) \\
&= \beta_\theta^{z_1,z_2}\left(\left\langle \xi,\omega\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

To ease our notations in this section, we set:

Notation 4.3. For all $(x,y)\in\mathbb{R}^2$ and $\eth > 0$, we define:

$$v_\eth(x,y) = (\exp(-2i\pi\eth x), \exp(2i\pi\eth y)) \in \mathbb{T}^2.$$

We now show that the Heisenberg group acts by isometries for the C^*-Hilbert norm.

Lemma 4.4. *We assume Hypothesis (4.1). For all $(x,y,u)\in\mathbb{H}_3$, the map $\alpha^{x,y,u}_{\eth,d}$ is an isometry of $\left(\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}\right)$.*

Proof. Let $(x,y,u)\in\mathbb{H}_3$ and $\xi\in\mathscr{S}_\theta^{p,q,d}$. We compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\|\alpha^{x,y,u}_{\eth}\xi\right\|^2_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} &= \left\|\left\langle \alpha^{x,y,u}_{\eth,d}\xi, \alpha^{x,y,u}_{\eth,d}\xi\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}\right\|_{\mathcal{A}_\theta} \\
&= \left\|\beta_\theta^{v_r(x,y)}\langle\xi,\xi\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}\right\|_{\mathcal{A}_\theta} \text{ by Lemma (4.2),} \\
&= \left\|\langle\xi,\xi\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}\right\|_{\mathcal{A}_\theta} \\
&= \|\xi\|^2_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes our proof. □

Notation 4.5. We use the notations of Hypothesis (4.1). The action $\alpha_{\eth,d}$ of $\mathbb{H}_3$ on $\mathscr{S}_\theta^{p,q,d}$ may thus be extended to $\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}$ by extending by continuity $\alpha^{x,y,u}_{\eth,d}$ for all $(x,y,u)\in\mathbb{H}_3$; we shall keep the notation of this extension as $\alpha_{\eth,d}$. We note that it also acts via isometry on $\left(\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}\right)$.

We also use the same notation for $\sigma_{\eth,d}$ extended as $\alpha^{\cdot,\cdot,0}_{\eth,d}$ to $\left(\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}\right)$.

The actions of the Heisenberg group on Heisenberg modules is by morphism modules, in the sense of Definition (1.2). This result will play a role in the proof that our D-norm satisfies the modular version of the Leibniz inequality.

Lemma 4.6. *We assume Hypothesis (4.1). For all $a \in \mathcal{A}_\theta$, $\xi \in \mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}$ and $(x,y,u) \in \mathbb{H}_3$, then:*

$$\alpha_{\eth,d}^{x,y,u}(a\xi) = \beta_\theta^{v_\eth(x,y)}(a)\alpha_{\eth,d}^{x,y,u}(\xi).$$

Proof. Let $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\xi \in \mathscr{S}_\theta^{p,q,d}$. We compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{\eth,d}^{x,y,u}(u_\theta^n v_\theta^m \xi) &= \alpha_{\eth,d}^{x,y,u}\varpi_{p,q,\eth,d}^{n,m}\xi \\
&= \left(\alpha_{\eth,d}^{x,y,u}\alpha_{\eth,d}^{n,m,0} \otimes \rho_{p,q,d}^{[n],[m]}\right)\xi \\
&= \exp(2i\pi(xm-yn))\left(\alpha_{\eth,d}^{n,m,0}\alpha_{\eth,d}^{x,y.u} \otimes \rho_{p,q,\eth,d}^{[n],[m]}\right)\xi \\
&= \exp(2i\pi(xm-yn))\varpi_{p,q,\eth,d}^{n,m}\alpha_{\eth,d}^{x,y,u}\xi \\
&= \beta_\theta^{v_r(x,y)}(u_\theta^n v_\theta^m)\alpha_{\eth,d}^{x,y,u}\xi.
\end{aligned}$$

Since β_θ is an action by *-morphisms, we conclude that for all $a \in \mathcal{A}_\theta$:

$$\alpha_{\eth,d}^{x,y,u}(a\xi) = \beta_\theta^{z_1,z_2}(a)\alpha_{\eth,d}^{x,y,u}(\xi) \tag{4.0.1}$$

as desired. The lemma is concluded by extending Equality (4.0.1) to $\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}$ by continuity. □

An important corollary of Lemma (4.6) is as follows:

Corollary 4.7. *We assume Hypothesis (4.1). For all $a \in \mathcal{A}_\theta$, $\xi \in \mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}$ and $(x,y,u) \in \mathbb{H}_3$, we observe that:*

$$\left\|\alpha_{\eth,d}^{x,y,u}(a\xi)\right\|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} \leqslant \|a\|_{\mathcal{A}_\theta}\|\xi\|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}.$$

Proof. Let $a \in \mathcal{A}_\theta$, $\xi \in \mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}$ and $(x,y,u) \in \mathbb{H}_3$. We compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\|\alpha_{\eth,d}^{x,y,u}(a\xi)\right\|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} &= \left\|\beta_\theta^{v_r(x,y)}(a)\alpha_{\eth,d}^{x,y,u}\xi\right\|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} \text{ by Lemma (4.6),} \\
&\leqslant \|\beta_\theta^{v_r(x,y)}a\|_{\mathcal{A}_\theta}\|\xi\|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} \text{ by Lemma (4.4).}
\end{aligned}$$

This completes our proof. □

We have checked that the actions of the Heisenberg group on Heisenberg modules, which the latter were constructed from, act by isometric module morphisms on the entire module. Note that we already observed that Heisenberg modules can be regarded as dense subspaces of $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$ spaces on which the same action of the Heisenberg group is defined, strongly continuous and isometric; however we needed to ensure that these actions are well-behaved with respect to the inner product and norm of the Heisenberg modules.

In order to define our D-norms, we shall require one more important analytic property: we want our actions to be strongly continuous for the Heisenberg C^*-Hilbert norms. This is the subject of the next proposition. We actually include

in the next proposition a somewhat more general hypothesis and estimate than needed for the strong continuity of our actions, as this stronger statement will play an important role in our study of the continuity properties of our D-norms later on.

Proposition 4.8. *Let $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, $q \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ and $d \in q\mathbb{N}$ with $d > 0$. Let $C > 0$ and $M > 0$ some constant. Let $0 < \eth_- < \eth_+$. There exists $K > 0$ such that for all $\xi \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{C}^d)$ satisfying:*

$$\max\left\{\|\xi(s)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d}, \|s\xi(s)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d}, \|\xi'(s)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d}, \|s\xi'(s)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d}, \|\xi''(s)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d}, \|s\xi''(s)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d}\right\} \leqslant \frac{M}{1+s^2}, \tag{4.0.2}$$

the following holds for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $\eth \in [\eth_-, \eth_+]$ and $(x, y, u) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ with $|x| + |y| + |u| \leqslant C$:

$$\max\left\{\left\|\alpha^{x,y,u}_{\eth,d}\xi^{(n)}(s) - \xi^{(n)}(s)\right\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} : n \in \{0,1,2\}\right\} \leqslant \frac{K(|x|+|y|+|u|)}{1+s^2}. \tag{4.0.3}$$

In particular, for all $\eth \neq 0$ and $\theta = \eth + \frac{p}{q}$:

$$\lim_{(x,y,u)\to 0}\left\|\alpha^{x,y,u}_{\eth,d}\xi - \xi\right\|_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_\theta} = 0.$$

Proof. Let $\xi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C}^d)$ and $(x, y, u) \in \mathbb{R}^3$. We note that for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, using the continuity if ξ, we of course have:

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha^{x,y,u}_{\eth,d}\xi - \xi(s) &= \exp(2i\pi(u + xs))\xi(s + \eth y) - \xi(s)\\ &\xrightarrow{(x,y,u)\to 0} 0.\end{aligned}$$

However, we wish to apply Lemma (3.4), so we seek a more precise estimate. To this end, let $f_s(t) = \alpha^{tx,ty,tu}_{\eth,d}\xi(s) = \exp(2i\pi(\eth tu + txs))\xi(s + \eth ty)$ for all $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$. We compute for all $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$f_s'(t) = \exp(2i\pi(\eth tu + txs))\left(2i\pi(\eth u + xs)\xi(s + \eth ty) + \eth y\xi'(s + \eth ty)\right).$$

Let $\|(x, y, u)\|_1 = |x| + |y| + |u|$ for all $(x, y, u) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, i.e. $\|\cdot\|_1$ is the usual 1-norm on $\mathbb{R}^3$. Let us now assume $\|(x, y, u)\|_1 \leqslant C$ — in particular, $|y| < C$. We observe that for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, using the function b introduced in Expression (3.0.2) in the proof of Lemma (3.2):

$$\left\|\alpha^{x,y,u}_{\eth,d}\xi(s) - \xi(s)\right\|_{\mathbb{C}^d}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \|f_s(1) - f_s(0)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} \\
&= \left\| \int_0^1 f_s'(t)\, dt \right\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} \\
&\leqslant \int_0^1 \left\| \exp(2i\pi(\eth tu + txs)) \left(2i\pi(\eth u + xs)\xi(s + \eth ty) + \eth y \xi'(s + \eth ty)\right) \right\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} dt \\
&= \int_0^1 \left\| 2i\pi(\eth u + xs)\xi(s + \eth ty) + \eth y \xi'(s + \eth ty) \right\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} dt \\
&\leqslant \int_0^1 \|(u,x,y)\|_1 \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \|2i\pi\eth\xi(s + \eth ty)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d}, \\ \|2i\pi s\xi(s + \eth ty)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d}, \\ \|\xi'(s + \eth ty)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} \end{array} \right\} dt \\
&\leqslant 2\pi M \max\{1, \eth_+\} \|(x,y,u)\|_1 \int_0^1 \mathrm{b}(s, ty)\, dt \\
&\leqslant 2\pi M \max\{1, \eth_+\} \|(x,y,u)\|_1 \left(\sup_{y \in [-C,C]} \mathrm{b}(s,y) \right).
\end{aligned}
$$

Since:

$$
\lim_{s \to \pm\infty} (1 + s^2) \sup_{y \in [-C,C]} \mathrm{b}(s,y) = \frac{M}{\eth_-^2}, \tag{4.0.4}
$$

we conclude that there exists $M_1 > 0$ such that, for all $s \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{[-\mathbb{C}\eth_+ - 1, C\eth_- + 1]$, we have:

$$
\|\alpha^{x,y,u}_{\eth,d}\xi - \xi\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} \leqslant \frac{M_1 \|(x,y,u)\|_1}{1 + s^2}.
$$

We note that M_1 depends only on M, $\eth_+$ and C through Expression (4.0.4), and not on ξ.

Since $s \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto \frac{1}{1+s^2}$ is continuous and strictly positive, we may adjust M_1 to a larger value if necessary such that:

$$
\min_{s \in [-C\eth_+ - 1, C\eth_- + 1]} \frac{M_1}{1 + s^2} \geqslant 2\pi M \max\{1, \eth_+\}.
$$

Therefore, we have, for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $(x,y,u) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ with $\|(x,y,u)\|_1 \leqslant C$:

$$
\|\alpha^{x,y,u}_{\eth,d}\xi(s) - \xi(s)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} \leqslant \frac{M_1 \|(x,y,u)\|_1}{1 + s^2} \leqslant \frac{M_1 C}{1 + s^2}.
$$

Now, all the above computations may be applied equally well to ξ' and ξ''. We conclude that indeed, Expression (4.0.3) holds as stated.

Let now $\xi \in \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$ be chosen. Since ξ is a Schwarz function, there exists $M > 0$ such that for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\max\left\{ \|\xi(s)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d}, \|s\xi(s)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d}, \|\xi'(s)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d}, \|s\xi'(s)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d}, \|\xi''(s)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d}, \|s\xi''(s)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} \right\} \\
&\qquad\qquad \leqslant \frac{M}{1 + s^2}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we can apply our previous work to conclude that Expression (4.0.3) holds for some $K > 0$, having chosen $C = 1$ for this last part of our proof.

Furthermore, we can apply now Lemma (3.4). For this part, we pick $\eth > 0$; we need not to worry about the uniformity in $\eth$ (we may as well assume $\eth_- = \eth_+ = \eth$ here). Thus, if $(x_n, y_n, u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to 0, Lemma (3.4) implies that:

$$\begin{cases} 0 \leqslant \|\alpha_{\eth,d}^{x_n,y_n,u_n}\xi - \xi\|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} \leqslant \sqrt{\|\left\langle \alpha_{\eth,d}^{x_n,y_n,u_n}\xi - \xi, \alpha_{\eth,d}^{x_n,y_n,u_n}\xi - \xi\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}\|_{\ell_1(\mathbb{Z}^2)}} \\ \lim_{n\to\infty} \sqrt{\|\left\langle \alpha_{\eth,d}^{x_n,y_n,u_n}\xi - \xi, \alpha_{\eth,d}^{x_n,y_n,u_n}\xi - \xi\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}\|_{\ell_1(\mathbb{Z}^2)}} = 0 \end{cases}$$

which concludes the proof of our proposition for $\eth > 0$.

To prove our result for a general $\eth \neq 0$, we simply observe that for all $(x, y, u) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ we have $\alpha_{\eth,d}^{x,y,u} = \alpha_{-\eth,d}^{x,-y,-u}$ and thus our proposition is completely proven. □

We wish to use the actions of $\mathbb{H}_3$ on Heisenberg modules to define our D-norms. The next section presents a general source of possible D-norms from actions of Lie groups satisfying the properties we have established in this section.

5. Seminorms from Lie group actions

Connes introduced a quantized differential calculus on quantum tori in [3] using the dual action of the tori, using the Lie group structure of the tori. Moreover, he introduced a noncommutative connection on Heisenberg modules, and these connections proved to be solutions of the Yang-Mills problem for quantum 2-tori [6]. These connections were also useful in Rieffel's work on the classification of modules over quantum tori [20].

Moreover, ergodic actions of metric compact groups on C*-algebras were the first example of L-seminorms constructed by Rieffel in [23]. In this section, we begin investigating how to build D-norms from Lie group actions. We will employ as assumptions the properties which we derived for the action of the Heisenberg group on Heisenberg modules. Our construction, as we shall see, lies at the intersection of the purely metric picture of Rieffel and the differential picture of Connes, and is a noncommutative version of [18, Example 3.10].

Our D-norm will be constructed using the following theorem.

Definition 5.1. Let α be a strongly continuous action of a Lie group G on a Banach space $\mathscr{E}$. Let $\mathfrak{w}$ be a nonzero subspace of the Lie algebra of G. An element $\xi \in \mathscr{E}$ is *α-differentiable with respect to* $\mathfrak{w}$ when for all $X \in \mathfrak{w}$, the limit:

$$X(\xi) = \lim_{t\to 0} \frac{\alpha^{\exp(tX)}\xi - \xi}{t}$$

exists.

In any vector space E, and for any function $f : E \to \mathbb{R}$, we denote as usual:

$$\limsup_{x\to 0} f(x) = \inf_{\delta>0} \sup\left\{f(x) : 0 < \|x\| \leqslant \delta\right\}.$$

Theorem 5.2. *Let α be a strongly continuous action by linear isometries of a Lie group G on a Banach space $\mathscr{E}$. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of G and let $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ be a nonzero subspace of $\mathfrak{g}$.*

Let $\mathscr{S} \subseteq \mathscr{E}$ be the subspace of $\mathscr{E}$ consisting of α-differentiable elements of $\mathscr{E}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{h}$. We note that $\mathscr{S}$ is dense in $\mathscr{E}$.

Let $\|\cdot\|$ be a norm on $\mathfrak{h}$. For all $\xi \in \mathscr{S}$, the norm of the linear map:

$$\nabla\xi : X \in \mathfrak{h} \mapsto \nabla_X \xi = X(\xi)$$

is denoted by $|||\nabla\xi|||$.

If $\xi \in \mathscr{S}$, then, for any $\delta > 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}
|||\nabla\xi||| &= \sup\left\{ \frac{\left\|\alpha^{\exp(X)}\xi - \xi\right\|_{\mathscr{E}}}{\|X\|} : X \in \mathfrak{h} \setminus \{0\} \right\} \\
&= \sup\left\{ \frac{\left\|\alpha^{\exp(X)}\xi - \xi\right\|_{\mathscr{E}}}{\|X\|} : X \in \mathfrak{h} \setminus \{0\}, \|X\| \leqslant \delta \right\} \\
&= \limsup_{X \to 0} \frac{\left\|\alpha^{\exp(X)}\xi - \xi\right\|_{\mathscr{E}}}{\|X\|}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. A smoothing argument [2] proves that the set:

$$\left\{ \xi \in \mathscr{E} : t > 0 \mapsto \frac{\alpha^{\exp(tX)}\xi - \xi}{t} \text{ has a limit at 0 for all } X \in \mathfrak{g} \right\}$$

is dense in $\mathscr{E}$. Therefore, since $\mathscr{S}$ contains this set, $\mathscr{S}$ is dense in $\mathscr{E}$ as well.

Fix $\xi \in \mathscr{S}$. Let $X \in \mathfrak{h}$. We define:

$$F : t \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto \alpha^{\exp(tX)}\xi.$$

The function F is continuously differentiable, and in particular, $F(0) = \xi$ and $F(1) = \alpha^{\exp(X)}\xi$.

Moreover, using the fact that $t \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto \exp(tX)$ is a continuous group homomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned}
F'(t) &= \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{\alpha^{\exp((t+s)X)}\xi - \alpha^{\exp(tX)}\xi}{h} \\
&= \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{\alpha^{\exp(tX)}\left(\alpha^{\exp(hX)}\xi - \xi\right)}{h} \\
&= \alpha^{\exp(tX)}\nabla_X\xi.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus:

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha^{\exp(X)}\xi - \xi &= \int_0^1 F'(t)\,dt \\
&= \int_0^1 \alpha^{\exp(tX)}\left(\nabla_X\xi\right)\,dt
\end{aligned}$$

so that:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\left\|\alpha^{\exp(X)}\xi-\xi\right\|_{\mathscr{E}}}{\|X\|} &= \frac{\left\|\int_0^1 F'(t)\,dt\right\|_{\mathscr{E}}}{\|X\|}\\ &\leqslant \frac{1}{\|X\|}\int_0^1 \left\|\alpha^{\exp(X)}\left(\nabla_X\xi\right)\right\|_{\mathscr{E}}\,dt\\ &= \frac{1}{\|X\|}\int_0^1 \|\nabla_X\xi\|_{\mathscr{E}}\,dt \text{ since } \alpha^{\exp(tX)} \text{ is an isometry by hypothesis,}\\ &\leqslant \frac{1}{\|X\|}\int_0^1 |||\nabla\xi|||\,\|X\|\,dt\\ &= |||\nabla\xi|||.\end{aligned}$$

This proves that:

$$\sup\left\{\frac{\left\|\alpha^{\exp(X)}\xi-\xi\right\|_{\mathscr{E}}}{\|X\|} : X\in\mathfrak{h}\setminus\{0\}\right\}\leqslant |||\nabla\xi|||.$$

On the other hand, let us now fix some $\delta>0$. let us now assume that $\|X\|=1$. We first note that:

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_X\xi &= F'(0)\\ &= \lim_{t\to 0}\frac{F(t)-F(0)}{t} \text{ where lim is used for the topology of } (\mathscr{E},\|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{E}}),\\ &= \lim_{t\to 0}\frac{\alpha^{\exp(tX)}\xi-\xi}{t\|X\|}\\ &= \lim_{t\to 0}\frac{\alpha^{\exp(tX)}\xi-\xi}{\|tX\|}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus for all $X\in\mathfrak{h}$ with $\|X\|=1$, since $\|tX\|\leqslant\delta$ for all $t\in\mathbb{R}$ with $|t|<\delta$:

$$\|\nabla_X\xi\|\leqslant\sup\left\{\frac{\left\|\alpha^{\exp(Y)}\xi-\xi\right\|_{\mathscr{E}}}{\|Y\|} : Y\in\mathfrak{h}\setminus\{0\},\|Y\|\leqslant\delta\right\}$$

and thus:

$$\begin{aligned}|||\nabla\xi||| &\leqslant \sup\left\{\frac{\left\|\alpha^{\exp(X)}\xi-\xi\right\|_{\mathscr{E}}}{\|X\|} : X\in\mathfrak{h}\setminus\{0\},\|X\|\leqslant\delta\right\}\\ &\leqslant \sup\left\{\frac{\left\|\alpha^{\exp(X)}\xi-\xi\right\|_{\mathscr{E}}}{\|X\|} : X\in\mathfrak{h}\setminus\{0\}\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

We have thus concluded our argument, as the function:

$$\delta\in(0,\infty)\mapsto\sup\left\{\frac{\left\|\alpha^{\exp(X)}\xi-\xi\right\|_{\mathscr{E}}}{\|X\|} : X\in\mathfrak{h}\setminus\{0\},\|X\|\leqslant\delta\right\}$$

has been shown to be constant. □

We now make an important and non-trivial observation. Among the seminorms constructed via Theorem (5.2), we find L-seminorms built originally by Rieffel [23] and which play the fundamental role of providing a quantum metric to quantum tori. Thus Theorem (5.2) provide a reasonable generalization of Rieffel's approach to L-seminorms to the noncompact framework.

Corollary 5.3. *Let α be a strongly continuous action by linear isometries of a compact connected Lie group G on a Banach space $\mathscr{E}$. As a compact Lie group, G admits an* Ad*-invariant inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}$ on $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\| \cdot \|$ be the norm associated with $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}$. For any $g \in G$, since G is connected and compact, we may define $\ell(g)$ as the distance from 1_G to g for the Riemannian metric induced by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}$.*

If $\xi \in \mathscr{S}$ then:

$$\sup \left\{ \frac{\|\alpha^g \xi - \xi\|_{\mathscr{E}}}{\ell(g)} : g \in G \setminus \{1_G\} \right\} = |||\nabla \xi|||.$$

Proof. As G is a compact group, it admits a right Haar probability measure μ. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be any inner product on $\mathfrak{g}$. If we set, for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$:

$$\langle X, Y \rangle_G = \int_G \langle \mathrm{Ad}_g X, \mathrm{Ad}_g Y \rangle \, d\mu(g)$$

then one easily verifies that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_G$ is an Ad-invariant inner product on $\mathfrak{g}$.

Now, we endow G with the Riemannian metric induced by left translation of the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_G$. As this metric is induced by an Ad-invariant inner product, it is in fact right invariant as well.

In particular, G, as a connected compact Riemannian manifold, is geodesically complete by Hopf-Rinow theorem. As a first application, we let $\ell(g)$ be the distance from 1_G to g in G for this Riemannian metric, for all $g \in G$. As a second application, we note that the Riemannian exponential map of G for our metric is indeed surjective.

It is now possible to check that the exponential map for the Lie group G and the exponential map for the Riemannian metric coincide. This is done by checking that the Riemannian exponential map defines a 1-parameter subgroup of G.

With this in mind, we conclude that for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, we have:

$$\ell(\exp(X)) = \inf \{ \|Y\| : \exp(X) = \exp(Y) \}.$$

We note that the Lie exponential map is certainly not injective, at least as long as G is of dimension at least one, though this does not affect our conclusion.

Moreover, since G is a compact connected Lie group, exp is surjective since the Riemannian exponential is surjective. Thus, our corollary is proven using Theorem (5.2). □

Now, Rieffel proved in [23] that the obvious necessary condition for a seminorm of the type given in Corollary (5.3) to be a L-seminorm is, remarkably, sufficient as well. This fact is highly non-trivial as well, and we record it here as it will be the source of quantum metrics we put on quantum tori.

Theorem 5.4 ([23, Theorem 1.9])**.** *Let β be a strongly continuous group action by *-automorphisms of a compact group G on a unital C*-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$. Let ℓ be a continuous*

length function on G. For all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$, we define:

$$\mathsf{L}(a) = \sup\left\{ \frac{\|\beta^g(a) - a\|_{\mathfrak{A}}}{\ell(g)} : g \in G \setminus \{e\} \right\},$$

allowing for this quantity to be infinite. Then the following are equivalent:

(1) $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathsf{L})$ *is a quantum compact metric space (which is necessarily Leibniz),*
(2) $\{a \in \mathfrak{A} : \forall g \in G \quad \beta^g(a) = a\} = \mathbb{C}1_{\mathfrak{A}}$.

We pause for two important observations. First of all, Rieffel's theorem does not place much requirement on the length function used: certainly it need not be from any sort of Lie structure, and in fact, the acting group G need not be a Lie group. None the less, if G is a Lie group and if we choose a bi-invariant Riemannian metric on G, then Corollary (5.3) applies and Rieffel's metric is also given by the differential calculus naturally induced by G on $\mathfrak{A}$. If G is a torus, i.e. a compact Abelian Lie group, then all choices of norms on the Lie algebra of G will provide bi-invariant metrics via translations in the usual manner, and thus in that case, the Lipschitz approach and the differential approaches coincide. This will be our setup in our main result.

Second of all, we note that the proof of Theorem (5.4) involves explicitly the fact that the spectral subspaces of the action β are finite dimensional under the condition of ergodicity [8]. This result is not trivial, and worse yet for our purpose, does not carry to locally compact group. In fact, besides the trivial representation, no irreducible representation of the Heisenberg group is finite dimensional — so we are as far as we can to apply the idea in [23]. In this paper, we shall focus on the Heisenberg modules, and we will prove in this case that the seminorms constructed in Theorem (5.2) have compact unit balls using quite different techniques.

The rest of this section introduces the general scheme to construct D-norms from Lie group actions which we will employ in this paper, and prove that this construction meets all our requirements except, maybe, for the compactness of the unit ball which, in the case of Heisenberg modules, will be the subject of our next section.

Theorem (5.2) thus suggests two expressions for L-seminorms and D-norms constructed from actions of Lie groups. However, only one of these expression will provide lower semicontinuous seminorms. The following proposition establishes that the inner quasi-Leibniz and modular quasi-Leibniz property hold for this choice.

Proposition 5.5. *Let β be the action of a compact connected Lie group G on a unital C*-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ via *-automorphisms. Let α be the action by isometric $\mathbb{C}$-linear isomorphisms of a Lie group H on a Hilbert module $(\mathscr{M}, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{\mathscr{M}})$ over $\mathfrak{A}$. We write $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ the respective Lie algebras of G and H, and $\exp_G : \mathfrak{g} \to G$ and $\exp_H : \mathfrak{h} \to H$ be the respective Lie exponential maps of G and H.*

Let $\mathfrak{w}$ be a nonzero subspace of $\mathfrak{h}$. Let $\|\cdot\|_\flat$ be a norm on $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\|\cdot\|^\sharp$ be a norm on $\mathfrak{w} \subseteq \mathfrak{h}$.

We set for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$:

$$\mathsf{L}(a) = \sup \left\{ \frac{\left\| \beta^{\exp(X)} a - a \right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}}{\|X\|_\flat} : X \in \mathfrak{g} \setminus \{0\} \right\},$$

and for all $\xi \in \mathscr{E}$:

$$\mathsf{D}(\xi) = \sup \left\{ \|\xi\|_{\mathscr{M}}, \frac{\left\| \alpha^{\exp(X)} \xi - \xi \right\|_{\mathscr{M}}}{\|X\|^\sharp} : X \in \mathfrak{w} \setminus \{0\} \right\}.$$

If there exist two linear maps $j : \mathfrak{w} \to \mathfrak{g}$ and $q : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{w}$ such that:

(1) for all $\xi, \omega \in \mathscr{M}$ and $X \in \mathfrak{w}$:

$$\beta^{\exp_G(X)} \langle \xi, \omega \rangle_{\mathscr{M}} = \left\langle \alpha^{\exp_H(j(X))} \xi, \alpha^{\exp_H(j(X))} \omega \right\rangle_{\mathscr{E}} \tag{5.0.1}$$

and:

$$\alpha^{\exp_H(X)}(a\xi) = \beta^{\exp_G(q(X))}(a) \alpha^{\exp_H(X)} \xi, \tag{5.0.2}$$

(2) j is an isometry from $(\mathfrak{g}, \|\cdot\|_\flat)$ to $(\mathfrak{w}, \|\cdot\|^\sharp)$,

(3) q is a surjection of norm at most 1, i.e. $\|q(X)\|_\flat \leqslant \|X\|^\sharp$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{w}$,

then:

(1) L is a seminorm on a dense subspace of $(\mathfrak{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{A}})$, and moreover:

$$\mathsf{L}(a) = 0 \iff \forall g \in G \quad \beta^g(a) = a,$$

(2) D is a norm on a dense subspace of $(\mathscr{M}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathscr{M}})$ and $\mathsf{D}(\cdot) \geqslant \|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{M}}$,

(3) L and D are lower semicontinuous,

(4) for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $\xi \in \mathscr{M}$:

$$\mathsf{D}(a\xi) \leqslant \|a\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \mathsf{D}(\xi) + \mathsf{L}(a) \|\xi\|_{\mathscr{M}},$$

(5) for all $\xi, \omega \in \mathscr{M}$:

$$\mathsf{L}\left(\langle \xi, \omega \rangle_{\mathscr{M}} \right) \leqslant \|\xi\|_{\mathscr{M}} \mathsf{D}(\omega) + \mathsf{D}(\xi) \|\omega\|_{\mathscr{M}}.$$

Proof. Let $\mathscr{S}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{A})$ be the subspace of $\mathfrak{A}$ consisting of all the β-differentiable elements with respect to $\mathfrak{g}$, and $\mathscr{S}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathscr{M})$ be the subspace of $\mathscr{M}$ consisting of all the α-differentiable elements of $\mathscr{M}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{w}$.

For any $a \in \mathscr{S}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{A})$, we define the linear map $\partial a : X \in \mathfrak{g} \mapsto X(a)$ whose norm is denoted by $|||\partial a|||_{\mathfrak{A}}^{\mathfrak{g}}$, where $\mathfrak{g}$ is endowed with $\|\cdot\|_\flat$. Since $\mathfrak{g}$ is finite dimensional, ∂a is continuous and thus has finite norm for all $a \in \mathscr{S}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{A})$.

For any $\xi \in \mathscr{S}_{\mathfrak{w}}(\mathscr{M})$, we also define $\nabla \xi : X \in \mathfrak{w} \mapsto X(\xi)$ whose norm is $|||\nabla \xi|||_{\mathscr{M}}^{\mathfrak{w}}$ where $\mathfrak{w}$ is endowed by $\|\cdot\|^\sharp$ — since $\mathfrak{w}$ is finite dimensional, the norm of $\nabla \xi$ is finite as well.

By Theorem (5.2), for all $a \in \mathscr{S}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{A})$ and for all $\xi \in \mathscr{S}_{\mathfrak{w}}(\mathscr{M})$, then:

$$\mathsf{L}(a) = |||\partial a|||_{\mathfrak{A}}^{\mathfrak{g}} < \infty \text{ and } \mathsf{D}(\xi) = |||\nabla \xi|||_{\mathscr{M}}^{\mathfrak{w}} < \infty.$$

Since $\mathscr{S}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{A})$ and $\mathscr{S}_{\mathfrak{w}}(\mathscr{E})$ are dense, we conclude that the domains of L and D are indeed dense.

Since $\mathsf{D}(\cdot) \geqslant \|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{M}}$ by construction, D is in particular a norm on its domain.

Moreover if $\mathsf{L}(a) = 0$ for some $a \in \mathfrak{A}$, we immediately conclude that $\beta^g a = a$ for all $g \in G$ since the exponential map of G is surjective.

The function $\xi \in \mathscr{M} \mapsto \frac{\alpha^{\exp(X)}\xi - \xi}{\|X\|^\sharp}$ is continuous for all $X \in \mathfrak{w} \setminus \{0\}$ and thus D is lower semi-continuous as the pointwise supremum of continuous functions. The same reasoning and conclusion applies to L.

We are left to prove the two forms of the Leibniz inequalities. We take the quickest path, which is a direct computation.

Let $\xi, \omega \in \mathscr{M}$. We compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathsf{L}\left(\langle \xi, \omega\rangle_{\mathscr{E}}\right) &= \sup\left\{ \frac{\left\| \beta^{\exp(X)}\langle \xi, \omega\rangle_{\mathscr{E}} - \langle \xi, \omega\rangle_{\mathscr{E}} \right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}}{\|X\|_\flat} : X \in \mathfrak{g} \setminus \{0\} \right\} \\
&= \sup\left\{ \frac{\left\| \left\langle \alpha^{\exp(j(X))}\xi, \alpha^{\exp(j(X))}\omega \right\rangle_{\mathscr{E}} - \langle \xi, \omega\rangle_{\mathscr{E}} \right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}}{\|j(X)\|^\sharp} : X \in \mathfrak{g} \setminus \{0\} \right\} \\
&\leqslant \sup\left\{ \frac{\left\| \left\langle \alpha^{\exp(X)}\xi, \alpha^{\exp(X)}\omega \right\rangle_{\mathscr{E}} - \langle \xi, \omega\rangle_{\mathscr{E}} \right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}}{\|X\|^\sharp} : X \in \mathfrak{w} \setminus \{0\} \right\} \\
&\leqslant \sup\left\{ \frac{\left\| \left\langle \alpha^{\exp(X)}\xi, \alpha^{\exp(X)}\omega \right\rangle_{\mathscr{E}} - \left\langle \alpha^{\exp(X)}\xi, \omega \right\rangle_{\mathscr{E}} \right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}}{\|X\|^\sharp} : X \in \mathfrak{w} \setminus \{0\} \right\} \\
&\quad + \sup\left\{ \frac{\left\| \left\langle \alpha^{\exp(X)}\xi, \omega \right\rangle_{\mathscr{E}} - \langle \xi, \omega\rangle_{\mathscr{E}} \right\|_{\mathfrak{A}}}{\|X\|^\sharp} : X \in \mathfrak{w} \setminus \{0\} \right\} \\
&\leqslant \sup\left\{ \frac{\left\| \alpha^{\exp(X)}\xi \right\|_{\mathscr{M}} \left\| \alpha^{\exp(X)}\omega - \omega \right\|_{\mathscr{E}}}{\|X\|^\sharp} : X \in \mathfrak{w} \setminus \{0\} \right\} \\
&\quad + \sup\left\{ \frac{\left\| \alpha^{\exp(X)}\xi - \xi \right\|_{\mathscr{E}}}{\|X\|^\sharp} : X \in \mathfrak{w} \setminus \{0\} \right\} \|\omega\|_{\mathscr{M}} \\
&\leqslant \|\xi\|_{\mathscr{M}} \sup\left\{ \frac{\left\| \alpha^{\exp(X)}\omega - \omega \right\|_{\mathscr{E}}}{\|X\|^\sharp} : X \in \mathfrak{w} \setminus \{0\} \right\} \\
&\quad + \sup\left\{ \frac{\left\| \alpha^{\exp(X)}\xi - \xi \right\|_{\mathscr{E}}}{\|X\|^\sharp} : X \in \mathfrak{w} \setminus \{0\} \right\} \|\omega\|_{\mathscr{M}} \\
&= \|\xi\|_{\mathscr{M}} \mathsf{D}(\omega) + \mathsf{D}(\xi) \|\omega\|_{\mathscr{M}} .
\end{aligned}$$

Now, let $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $\xi \in \mathscr{M}$. We compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup\left\{\frac{\left\|\alpha^{\exp(X)}\left(a\xi\right)-a\xi\right\|_{\mathscr{M}}}{\|X\|^{\sharp}} : X\in\mathfrak{w}\setminus\{0\}\right\}\\
&\qquad=\sup\left\{\frac{\left\|\beta^{\exp(q(X))}(a)\alpha^{\exp(X)}\left(\xi\right)-a\xi\right\|_{\mathscr{M}}}{\|X\|^{\sharp}} : X\in\mathfrak{w}\setminus\{0\}\right\}\\
&\qquad\leqslant\sup\left\{\frac{\left\|\beta^{\exp(q(X))}(a)\alpha^{\exp(X)}\left(\xi\right)-a\alpha^{\exp(X)}\xi\right\|_{\mathscr{M}}}{\|q(X)\|_{\flat}} : X\in\mathfrak{w}\setminus\ker q\right\}\\
&\qquad\quad+\sup\left\{\frac{\left\|a\alpha^{\exp(X)}\left(\xi\right)-a\xi\right\|_{\mathscr{M}}}{\|X\|^{\sharp}} : X\in\mathfrak{w}\setminus\{0\}\right\}\\
&\qquad\leqslant\sup\left\{\frac{\left\|\beta^{\exp(q(X))}(a)-a\right\|_{\mathscr{M}}}{\|X\|_{\flat}} : X\in\mathfrak{g}\setminus\{0\}\right\}\|\xi\|_{\mathscr{M}}+\|a\|_{\mathfrak{A}}\mathsf{D}(\xi)\\
&\qquad=\mathsf{L}(a)\|\xi\|_{\mathscr{M}}+\|a\|_{\mathfrak{A}}\mathsf{D}(\xi),
\end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

Thus, Proposition (5.5) shows that if we follow the scheme suggested by Theorem (5.2), then we obtain potential D-norms on modules. The missing property is the compactness of the closed unit ball for the D-norm candidate.

We conclude our section by connecting our metric framework with the noncommutative differential framework of connections on modules. Let us use the notations of Proposition (5.5). A direct computation shows that for all $X\in\mathfrak{w}$, the following holds:

$$\nabla_X(a\xi)=q(X)a\cdot\xi+a\nabla_X\xi \tag{5.0.3}$$

while for all $X\in\mathfrak{g}$, we also have:

$$X(\langle\xi,\omega\rangle_{\mathscr{M}})=\langle j(X)\xi,\omega\rangle_{\mathscr{M}}+\langle\xi,j(X)\omega\rangle_{\mathscr{M}}. \tag{5.0.4}$$

We also denote $\mathfrak{A}\otimes\mathfrak{g}^*$ by Ω_1 and the space of β-differentiable elements of $\mathfrak{A}$ by $\mathfrak{A}_1$. We define $\partial:\mathfrak{A}_1\to\Omega_1$ by setting, for all $a\in\mathfrak{A}_1$:

$$\partial a : X\in\mathfrak{g}\mapsto X(a).$$

We observe trivially that Ω_1 is an $\mathfrak{A}$-$\mathfrak{A}$-bimodule and that ∂ is a derivation, i.e. $\partial(ab)=a\partial(b)+\partial(a)b$ for all $a,b\in\mathfrak{A}_1$.

We first note that to get an interesting connection, we want q to be injective, i.e. $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{w}$ to be isomorphic. It is always possible to increase the dimension of $\mathfrak{g}$ (the Lie algebra structure is actually not involved in the computations to follow, so this is always possible), but this would amount to define $\partial_X=0$ for all vector X not in $\mathfrak{g}$, and this is rather awkward and artificial.

Since, for the differential picture, the norms $\|\cdot\|_{\flat}$ and $\|\cdot\|^{\sharp}$ do not play a role in the construction of the connection, we will for now identify $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{w}$ and j and q with the identity map.

With this assumption, Expressions (5.0.3) translates to the operator $\nabla : \mathscr{M} \to \mathscr{M} \otimes \mathfrak{g}^*$, defined by:

$$\nabla(\xi) : X \in \mathfrak{g} \mapsto \nabla_X \xi$$

for all α-differentiable $\xi \in \mathscr{M}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{g}$, to be a noncommutative connection. We indeed easily check that for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $\xi \in \mathscr{M}$:

$$\nabla(a\xi) = a\nabla(\xi) + \partial(a)\xi.$$

Expression (5.0.4) means that the connection ∇ is hermitian, i.e. it is compatible with the noncommutative equivalent of a metric on the quantum vector bundle $\mathscr{M}$. It is tempting to call ∇ a Levi-Civita connection, although we do not address here the computation of the torsion of ∇. Nonetheless, we see that our structure provides a noncommutative Riemannian geometry. This is the structure which inspired our definition of metrized quantum vector bundle, and we now can see how it is implemented through our main example.

In summary, we have constructed a natural D-norm candidate on modules carrying certain Lie group actions. The key difficulty, of course, regards the compactness of the unit ball of such a D-norm.

6. A D-norm from a connection on Heisenberg modules

We now define our D-norms on Heisenberg modules. Our method employs the idea of Theorem (5.2) and Proposition (5.5), where the actions of the Heisenberg group on Heisenberg modules defines a norm which restricts to the operator norm of a connection constructed via the associated action of the Heisenberg Lie algebra.

As noted at the end of the previous section, we want to only work with a subspace of the Heisenberg Lie algebra to build our D-norm and its associated connection, since the central element of the Heisenberg Lie algebra does not act, so to speak, as a derivation — it simply acts by multiplication by a scalar. We follow a pattern which is common in the literature on the Heisenberg group: we only consider the action of the subspace $\mathrm{span}\{P, Q\}$ in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{H}$.

We thus endow $\mathrm{span}\{P, Q\}$ with a norm. If we were to construct a metric on the Heisenberg group using this data — by defining the length of a curve whose tangent vector at (almost) every point lies in $\mathrm{span}\{P, Q\}$ in the usual manner by integrating the norm of the tangent vector along the curve, and then defining the distance between two points as the infimum of the length of all so-called horizontal curves — we would actually obtain a sub-Finslerian metric (if our choice of norm comes from a Hilbert space structure, we would have a sub-Riemannian structure and our construction would give rise to a Carnot-Carathédory distance on the Heisenberg group).

However, as discussed, we do not transport the Carnot-Carathédory metric from the Heisenberg group via its action in this paper. We prefer to carry the norm of the subspace $\mathrm{span}\{P, Q\}$ of the Heisenberg Lie algebra to our modules. This approach means that we work with a connection, and seems more natural. In essence, the Carnot-Caratheodory is the metric obtained on the group while our D-norms are the quantum metrics obtained on our modules; as the acting group is not compact, we have no reason to expect them to agree.

With this in mind, we now introduce:

Definition 6.1. Let $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, $q \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ and $d \in q\mathbb{N}$ with $d > 0$. Let $\theta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \left\{ \frac{p}{q} \right\}$. Let $\| \cdot \|$ be a norm on $\mathbb{R}^2$. We endow the Heisenberg module $\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}$ with the norm:

$$\mathsf{D}_\theta^{\|\cdot\|,p,q,d}(\xi) = \sup \left\{ \|\xi\|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}, \frac{\left\| \alpha_{\eth,d}^{\exp_{\mathbb{H}_3}(xP+yQ)} \xi - \xi \right\|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}}{2\pi|\eth|\|(x,y)\|} : (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\} \right\}$$

where $\eth = \theta - \frac{p}{q}$.

We now lighten our notation for the rest of our paper.

Convention 6.2. We endow $\mathbb{R}^2$ with a fixed norm $\| \cdot \|$ for the rest of this paper. We shall denote $\mathsf{D}_\theta^{\|\cdot\|,p,q,d}$ simply by $\mathsf{D}_\theta^{p,q,d}$, as the norm on $\mathbb{R}^2$ will not be understood. We emphasize that $\| \cdot \|$ is *independent* of any of the parameters p, q, d and θ.

The norm $\| \cdot \|$ on $\mathbb{R}^2$ provides us with a continuous length function on $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. This length function arises from the invariant Finslerian metric induced by $\| \cdot \|$. A direct computation simply shows that:

$$\ell(\exp(ix), \exp(iy)) = \inf\{\|(x + 2n\pi, y + 2m\pi)\| : n, m \in \mathbb{Z}^2\}.$$

For all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote by L_θ the L-seminorm on $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ associated with the action β_θ on $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ and the length function ℓ via [23, Theorem 1.9]. We note that since $\mathbb{T}^2$ is compact and Abelian, Corollary (5.3) implies that for all $a \in \mathcal{A}_\theta$:

$$\mathsf{L}_\theta(a) = \sup \left\{ \frac{\|\beta_\theta^{\exp_{\mathbb{T}^2}(x,y)} \xi - \xi\|_{\mathcal{A}_\theta}}{\|(x,y)\|} : (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\} \right\}$$

and L_θ agrees with the operator norm of derivative for the natural differential calculus defined by β_θ on β_θ-differentiable elements. We refer to the previous section for a discussion of these matters.

We begin by listing various equivalent expressions for our D-norm candidates, as we shall use whichever may prove useful in this paper.

Remark 6.3. We recall from Notation (2.12) that:

$$\exp_{\mathbb{H}_3}(xP + yQ) = \left(x, y, \frac{1}{2}xy \right)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

For all $p,q \in \mathbb{N}$, $d \in q\mathbb{N}$ with $d > 0$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{pq^{-1}\}$ and $\xi \in \mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}$, the following identities hold:

$$\mathsf{D}_\theta^{p,q,d}(\xi) = \sup\left\{ \|\xi\|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}, \frac{\left\|\alpha_{\eth,d}^{x,y,\frac{1}{2}xy}\xi - \xi\right\|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}}{2\pi|\eth|\|(x,y)\|} : (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\} \right\}$$
$$= \sup\left\{ \|\xi\|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}, \frac{\left\|\sigma_{\eth,d}^{x,y}\xi - \xi\right\|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}}{2\pi|\eth|\|(x,y)\|} : (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\} \right\}.$$

Proposition 6.4. *Let $p,q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $d \in q\mathbb{N}$ with $d > 0$. Let $\theta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \left\{\frac{p}{q}\right\}$.*

We endow $\mathrm{span}\{P,Q\}$ *with the norm $2\pi|\eth|\|\cdot\|$. We also define, for all $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\xi \in \mathscr{S}_\theta^{p,q,d}$:*

$$\nabla_{x,y}^{\eth}\xi = \lim_{t\to 0} \frac{\alpha_{\eth,d}^{\exp_{\mathbb{H}_3}(t(xP+yQ))}\xi - \xi}{t}$$
$$= \lim_{t\to 0} \frac{\alpha_{\eth,d}^{tx,ty,\frac{1}{2}t^2xy}\xi - \xi}{t}.$$

To ease notation, let $|||\cdot|||_{2\pi|\eth|}$ denote the operator norm for linear maps from $(\mathbb{R}^2, 2\pi|\eth|\|\cdot\|)$ to $(\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}})$.

We record:

1. *$\mathsf{D}_\theta^{p,q,d}$ is a norm on a dense subspace of $\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}$,*
2. *For all $\xi \in \mathscr{S}_\theta^{p,q,d}$ and for all $\delta > 0$, the following expressions hold:*

$$\mathsf{D}_\theta^{p,q,d}(\xi) = \max\left\{ \|\xi\|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}, |||\nabla_{\eth}\xi|||_{2\pi|\eth|} \right\}$$
$$= \sup\left\{ \frac{\|\sigma_{\eth,d}^{x,y}\xi - \xi\|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}}{2\pi|\eth|\|(x,y)\|} : (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2, 0 < \|(x,y)\| < \delta \right\}$$
$$= \limsup_{(x,y)\to 0} \frac{\left\|\sigma_{\eth,d}^{x,y}\xi - \xi\right\|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}}{2\pi|\eth|\|(x,y)\|}.$$

3. *If $a \in \mathcal{A}_\theta$ and $\xi \in \mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}$ then:*

$$\mathsf{D}_\theta^{p,q,d}(a\xi) \leqslant \|a\|_{\mathcal{A}_\theta}\mathsf{D}_\theta^{p,q,d}(\xi) + \mathsf{L}_\theta(a)\|\xi\|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}.$$

4. *If $\xi,\omega \in \mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}$ then:*

$$\mathsf{L}_\theta\left(\langle \xi,\omega\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}\right) \leqslant \|\xi\|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}\mathsf{D}_\theta^{p,q,d}(\omega) + \mathsf{D}_\theta^{p,q,d}(\xi)\|\omega\|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}.$$

Proof. The Lie algebra of $\mathbb{T}^2$ is $\mathbb{R}^2$ with the exponential map given as:

$$\exp_{\mathbb{T}^2} : (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mapsto (\exp(ix), \exp(iy)).$$

Now, the map $q : (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mapsto (2i\pi\eth x, 2i\pi\eth y)$ satisfies, according to Lemma (4.2), the relation:

$$\beta_\theta^{\exp_{\mathbb{T}^2}(q(x,y))}\langle \xi, \omega \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} = \left\langle \alpha_{\eth,d}^{\exp_{\mathbb{H}_3}(x,y,0)} \xi, \alpha_{\eth,d}^{\exp_{\mathbb{H}_3}(x,y,0)} \omega \right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}.$$

and, according to Lemma (4.6), the relation:

$$\alpha_{\eth,d}^{\exp_{\mathbb{H}_3}(x,y,0)}(a\xi) = \beta_\theta^{\exp_{\mathbb{T}^2}(q(x,y))}(a)\alpha_{\eth,d}^{\exp_{\mathbb{H}_3}(x,y,0)}(\xi).$$

In order to apply Proposition (5.5), since q is indeed a linear isomorphism, we endow $\mathrm{span}\{P, Q\}$ with the norm:

$$\|xP + yQ\|_* = 2\pi|\eth|\|(x, y)\|.$$

We now are in the setting of Proposition (5.5), which allows us to conclude all but Assertion (2) in our proposition. Assertion (2), in turn, follows from Theorem (5.2), with our choice of norm. □

We now turn to the remaining, main issue of the compactness of the closed unit balls for our D-norm candidates. The strategy we employ relies on a particular source of finite rank operators naturally associated with the Schödinger representations of $\mathbb{R}^2$ via the Weyl calculus.

Our first step is to introduce the convolution-like operators at the core of our analysis.

Lemma 6.5. *Assume Hypothesis (4.1). If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and:*

$$\sigma_{\eth,d}^f = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x, y)\alpha_{\eth,d}^{x,y,\frac{xy}{2}}\, dxdy$$

then $\sigma_{\eth,d}^f$ is a well-defined operator on $\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}$ and $\left|\left|\left|\sigma_{\eth,d}^f\right|\right|\right|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} \leqslant \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)}$.

Proof. Let $\xi \in \mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}$. Using Lemma (4.4), i.e. the fact that $\alpha_{\eth,d}^{x,y,u}$ is an isometry of $\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}$ for all $(x, y, u) \in \mathbb{H}_3$, we simply compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left\| f(x, y)\alpha_{\eth,d}^{x,y,\frac{xy}{2}}(\xi) \right\|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} dxdy &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f(x, y)| \left\| \alpha_{\eth,d}^{x,y,\frac{xy}{2}}(\xi) \right\|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} dxdy \\
&= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f(x, y)| \, \|\xi\|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} \, dxdy \\
&= \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|\xi\|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\sigma_{\eth,d}^f$ is well-defined, and moreover:

$$\left\|\sigma_{\eth,d}^f(\xi)\right\|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} = \left\| \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x, y)\sigma_{\eth,d}^{x,y}(\xi)\, dxdy \right\|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} \leqslant \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|\xi\|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}.$$

This completes our proof. □

We now prove the first of two core lemmas of this section, which provides us with a mean to approximate elements in Heisenberg modules using our convolution-type operators, in a manner which is uniform in our prospective D-norms. This lemma is an adjustment of [24] to our context.

Lemma 6.6. *Assume Hypothesis (4.1). Let $\varepsilon > 0$. If $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to [0,\infty)$ is measurable and satisfies:*

(1) $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f = 1$,
(2) $\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x,y)\|(x,y)\|\,dxdy \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi|\eth|}$,

then for all $\xi \in \mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}$:

$$\left\|\xi - \sigma^f_{\eth,d}\xi\right\|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} \leqslant \varepsilon \mathsf{D}_\theta^{p,q,d}(\xi).$$

Proof. If $\xi \in \mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}$, then:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\|\xi - \sigma^f_{\eth,d}\xi\right\|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} &= \left\|\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x,y)\xi\,dxdy - \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x,y)\alpha^{x,y,\frac{xy}{2}}_{\eth,d}\xi\,dxdy\right\|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} \\
&\leqslant \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x,y)\|\xi - \alpha^{x,y,\frac{xy}{2}}_{\eth,d}\xi\|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}\,dxdy \\
&\leqslant \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x,y)2\pi|\eth|\|(x,y)\|\frac{\|\xi - \alpha^{x,y,\frac{xy}{2}}_{\eth,d}\xi\|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}}{2\pi|\eth|\|(x,y)\|}\,dxdy \\
&\leqslant \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x,y)2\pi|\eth|\|(x,y)\|\mathsf{D}_\theta^{\rho}(\xi)\,dxdy \\
&= \mathsf{D}_\theta^{p,q,d}(\xi)\left(2\pi|\eth|\frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi|\eth|}\right) \\
&= \varepsilon\mathsf{D}_\theta^{\rho}(\xi),
\end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

We now ensure that we indeed have an ample source of functions which meet the hypothesis of Lemma (6.6).

Notation 6.7. If (E,d) is a metric space then the closed ball $\{x \in E : d(x_0,x) \leqslant r\}$ of center $x_0 \in E$ and radius $r \geqslant 0$ is denoted by $E[x_0,r]$.

The following lemma is valid for any norm on $\mathbb{R}^2$; we shall work within our context with the fixed norm $\|\cdot\|$.

Lemma 6.8. *For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\psi_n : \mathbb{R}^2 \to [0,\infty)$ be an integrable function supported on $\mathbb{R}^2\left[0,\frac{1}{n+1}\right]$ and with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\psi_n = 1$.*

If $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to [0,\infty)$ is integrable on some ball centered at 0 in $(\mathbb{R}^2,\|\cdot\|)$, and f continuous at 0, then:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2}\psi_n(x,y)f(x,y)\,dxdy = f(0).$$

Proof. Let $\delta > 0$ such that f is integrable on $\mathbb{R}^2[0,\delta]$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since f is continuous at 0, there exists $\delta_c > 0$ such that $|f(x) - f(0)| \leqslant \varepsilon$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^2[0,\delta_c]$.

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be chosen so that $\frac{1}{N+1} \leqslant \min\{\delta,\delta_c\}$. For all $n > N$, we first note that since ψ_n is supported on a subset of $\mathbb{R}^2[0,\delta]$, the function $\psi_n f$ is integrable on $\mathbb{R}^2$. Moreover for all $n \geqslant N$:

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2}\psi_n(x,y)f(x,y)\,dxdy - f(0)\right| &\leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|\psi_n(x,y)(f(x,y)-f(0))|\,dxdy\\ &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2[0,n^{-1}]}|\psi_n(x,y)||f(x,y)-f(0)|\,dxdy\\ &\leqslant \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2[0,n^{-1}]}\psi_n(x,y)\varepsilon\,dxdy \leqslant \varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have shown that $\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\psi_n(x,y)f(x,y)\,dxdy = f(0)$. □

As a quick digression, which will turn out to be useful in our last section, we note that while in general, the action of the Heisenberg groups on Heisenberg modules is not by isometry of our D-norms, we can use our approximation operators as near isometries:

Lemma 6.9. *Let $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}\setminus\{0\}$. For all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that, if $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is an integrable function supported on a $\mathbb{R}^2[0,\delta]$, and if $\eth \neq 0$, then for all $\xi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C}^d)$, we have:*

$$\mathsf{D}^{p,q,d}_{\frac{p}{q}+\eth}\left(\sigma^f_{\eth,d}\xi\right) \leqslant (1+\varepsilon)\mathsf{D}^{p,q,d}_{\frac{p}{q}+\eth}(\xi).$$

Proof. Let $\eth \in \mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$ and let $\theta = \frac{p}{q}+\eth$. We first record that for all $(x,y,u),(z,w,v) \in \mathbb{H}_3$:

$$\alpha^{x,y,u}_{\eth,d}\alpha^{z,w,v}_{\eth,d} = \exp\left(2i\pi\eth(xw-zy)\right)\alpha^{z,w,v}_{\eth,d}\alpha^{x,y,u}_{\eth,d}.$$

Next, we denote by $\|\cdot\|_2$ the standard Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^2$ and, since $\mathbb{R}^2$ is finite dimensional, we can find $k > 0$ such that $\|\cdot\|_2 \leqslant k\|\cdot\|$. For all $x,y,z,w \in \mathbb{R}$, we then compute:

$$\begin{aligned}|\exp\left(2i\pi\eth(xw-zy)\right)-1| &= 2|\sin(\eth\pi(xw-zy))|\\ &\leqslant 2\pi|\eth||xw-yz|\\ &\leqslant 2\pi|\eth|\|(x,y)\|_2\|(z,w)\|_2\\ &\leqslant 2\pi k|\eth|\|(x,y)\|\|(z,w)\|_2.\end{aligned}$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Let f be an integrable function supported on $\mathbb{R}^2[0,\delta]$.
For all $(z,w) \in \mathbb{R}^2[0,\delta]$ and $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ with $\|(x,y)\| \leqslant \delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{k}$, we compute:

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\|\alpha^{x,y,\frac{xy}{2}}_{\eth,d}\left(\iint_K f(z,w)\alpha^{z,w,\frac{zw}{2}}_{\eth,d}\xi\,dxdy\right) - \iint f(z,w)\alpha^{z,w,\frac{zw}{2}}_{\eth,d}\xi\,dxdy\right\|_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_\theta}\\ &\quad\leqslant \iint_K f(z,w)\,|\exp\left(2i\pi\eth(xy-zw)\right)-1|\left\|\alpha^{z,w,\frac{zw}{2}}_{\eth,d}\alpha^{x,y,\frac{xy}{2}}_{\eth,d}\xi\right\|_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_\theta}dzdw\\ &\quad+\iint_K f(z,w)\left\|\alpha^{z,w,\frac{zw}{2}}_{\eth,d}\left(\alpha^{x,y,\frac{xy}{2}}_{\eth,d}\xi-\xi\right)\right\|_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_\theta}dzdw\\ &\quad\leqslant 2\pi k|\eth|\delta\|(x,y)\|\iint_K f\|\xi\|_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_\theta} + \iint_K f 2\pi|\eth|\|(x,y)\|\mathsf{D}^{p,q,d}_\theta(\xi)\\ &\quad\leqslant (k\delta+1)\,2\pi|\eth|\|(x,y)\|\mathsf{D}^{p,q,d}_\theta(\xi)\\ &\quad\leqslant (\varepsilon+1)2\pi|\eth|\|(x,y)\|\mathsf{D}^{p,q,d}_\theta(\xi).\end{aligned}$$

By Definition (6.1), we now have:

$$\mathsf{D}(\sigma^f_{\eth,d}\xi) = \sup\left\{ \frac{\left\| \alpha^{x,y,\frac{xy}{2}}_{\eth,d}\sigma^f_{\eth,d}\xi - \sigma^f_{\eth,d}\xi \right\|_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_\theta}}{2\pi|\eth|\|(x,y)\|} : x,y \in \mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\} \right\}$$
$$\leqslant (\varepsilon+1)\mathsf{D}^{p,q,d}_\theta(\xi).$$

Our lemma is now proven. □

We are now ready to prove the second core lemma of this section. We begin with an explanation of the ideas and reasons behind this lemma.

By a compact operator on a Banach space $(E, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{C}^d})$, we mean as usual an operator which maps bounded subsets of E to totally bounded subsets of E.

The map $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \mapsto \sigma^f_{\eth,d}$ is a *-representation of the twisted convolution algebra $L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for the convolution product defined for all $f,g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ by:

$$f *_\eth g(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(y)g(x-y)\mathrm{e}_\eth(y,x-y)\,dy$$

and the involution:

$$f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \mapsto f^* = x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mapsto \overline{f(-x)},$$

as can be directly checked, or is established in [7]. It is an important, well-known fact [7, Theorem 1.30] that this representation is valued in the algebra of compact operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R})\otimes\mathbb{C}^d$, and is faithful; the completion of $(L^1(\mathbb{R}^2), *_\eth, *)$ for the norm $f \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2) \mapsto \|f\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathrm{e}_\eth)} = \left|\left|\left|\sigma^f_{\eth,1}\right|\right|\right|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$ is the entire algebra of compact operators.

The fact that $\sigma^f_{\eth,d}$ is compact as an operator of $L^2(\mathbb{R})\otimes\mathbb{C}^d$ does not immediately imply that it is compact for the Banach space $\left(\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_\theta, \|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_\theta}\right)$ since in general, we only know that $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(R)} \leqslant \|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_\theta}$. We thus must prove compactness of these operators for our C^*-Hilbert norm. However, we can extract the essential tools for our work from the expansive work on Laguerre expansion of functions and the study of the Moyal plane. We will prove that, at least when f is a radial function, then we can approximate $\sigma^f_{\eth,d}$ by finite rank operators, in norm. To this end, we need a supply of finite rank operators, which provide a mean to approximate any $\sigma^f_{\eth,d}$ for f radial. The theory of the quantum harmonic oscillator provides us with a well-suited family of finite rank projections, obtained as $\sigma^\psi_{\eth,d}$ for ψ a properly scaled Laguerre function [7, Ch. 1, sec. 9].

To obtain the desired approximation result, however, we need to approximate our radial functions in the norm of $L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ using functions obtained from Laguerre functions. As Laguerre functions form an orthonormal basis for some L^2 space, we certainly do have a Laguerre expansion which converges in some L^2 norm, but convergence in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is highly not trivial.

The work of Sundaram Thangaveru in [25] comes to our rescue, however, by proving that we may obtain the desired convergence if we replace the Laguerre expansion series by the sequence of its Césaro averages. We now formalize our discussion in the next key lemma.

Lemma 6.10. *If $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ is a function such that $r \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto rf(r)$ is Lebesgue integrable, and if we set:*

$$f^\circ : (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mapsto f\left(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}\right),$$

then the operator $\sigma^{f^\circ}_{\eth,d}$ is a compact operator for the Banach space $\left(\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_\theta, \|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_\theta}\right)$.

Proof. Our goal is to write $\sigma^{f^\circ}_{\eth,d}$ as a limit, in the operator norm, of finite rank operators. To this end, let us first assume that $\eth > 0$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we let $\psi^n_\eth$ be the n^{th} Laguerre function defined for all $r \in [0, \infty)$ by:

$$\psi^n_\eth(r) = \eth \exp\left(-\frac{\pi\eth r^2}{2}\right) \mathrm{L}_n\left(\pi\eth r^2\right),$$

where L_n is the n^{th} Laguerre polynomials, given for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ by:

$$\mathrm{L}_n(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{(-1)^j}{j!} \binom{n}{n-j} x^j.$$

Note that these functions are given in [25, (6.1.17)] for $\eth = \frac{1}{\pi}$. An observation which will be important for us in later proofs is that $\psi^n_\eth = \eth \psi^n_1(\sqrt{\eth}\cdot)$, i.e. we can obtain all the Laguerre functions we are considering via a simple rescaling.

By slight abuse of notation, we denote by $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, rdr)$ the p-Lebesgue space for the measure defined, for all measurable $f : [0, \infty] \to [0, \infty)$, by $\int_0^\infty f(r)\, rdr$. In particular, note that the inner product of $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, rdr)$ is given for any two $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, rdr)$, by:

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, rdr)} = \int_0^\infty f(r)\overline{g}(r)\, rdr.$$

With all these notations set, we define, for each $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, the n^{th} Césaro sum of the series given by the Laguerre expansion of f:

$$\mathrm{C}^n_\eth(f) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{n+1-j}{n+1} \left\langle f\, \psi^j_\eth, \psi^j_\eth \right\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, rdr)} \psi^j_\eth.$$

Then by the work of S. Thangavelu in [25, Theorem 6.2.1] — where our $\psi^j_\eth$ is a rescaled version of the function denoted by ψ^0_j in [25, Chapter 6] and we use the Césaro sums for "$\delta = 1$" in his notations — we conclude:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\mathrm{C}^n_\eth f - f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, rdr)} = 0.$$

Now, a quick computation shows that for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$:

$$\left\| (\mathrm{C}^j_\eth(f))^\circ - f^\circ \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} = \left\| \mathrm{C}^j_\eth(f) - f \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, rdr)},$$

and therefore:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|(\mathrm{C}^n_{\eth}(f))^\circ - f^\circ\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} = 0$$

where of course, $L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ stands for the 1-Lebesgue space with respect to the usual Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^2$.

By Lemma (6.5), writing $\kappa_n = (\mathrm{C}^n_{\eth}(f))^\circ$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we then conclude:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left|\left|\left| \sigma^{\kappa_n}_{\eth,d} - \sigma^{f^\circ}_{\eth,d} \right|\right|\right|_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_\theta} = 0.$$

By construction, $\sigma^{\kappa_n}_{\eth,d}$ is finite rank. Indeed, the operator $\sigma^{\kappa_n}_{\eth,d}$ is a linear combination of the operators $\sigma^{(\psi^j_{\eth})^\circ}_{\eth,d}$ with $j \in \{0,\ldots,n\}$. The operators $\sigma^{(\psi^j_{\eth})^\circ}_{\eth,d}$ are, in turn, projections on $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{H}^j_{\eth} \otimes \mathbb{C}^d \subseteq L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$, where $\mathcal{H}^n_{\eth}$ is the Hermite function:

$$\mathcal{H}^j_{\eth} : t \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto \frac{(2\eth)^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\sqrt{j!2^j}} \exp\left(-\frac{t^2\sqrt{2\pi\eth}}{2}\right) \mathrm{H}_j\left(t\sqrt{2\pi\eth}\right)$$

where H_j is the j^{th} Hermite polynomial, given for instance by:

$$\mathrm{H}_j : t \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto (-1)^j \exp(t^2) \frac{\mathrm{d}^j}{\mathrm{d}t^j} \exp(-t^2).$$

Indeed, by [7, p. 65], the operators $\sigma^{(\psi^j_{\eth})^\circ}_{\eth,1}$ are projections on $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{H}_j \subseteq L^2(\mathbb{R})$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. We note that reassuringly, we will not need the explicit form of the Hermite polynomials or the Laguerre polynomials in our work.

Thus the image of the unit ball $\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_\theta[0,1]$ of $\left(\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_\theta, \|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_\theta}\right)$ by $\sigma^{\kappa_n}_{\eth,d}$ is totally bounded in $\left(\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_\theta, \|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_\theta}\right)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, as a bounded subset of a finite dimensional space (as all norms are equivalent in finite dimension, this observation does not depend on $\|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_\theta}$).

Thus $\sigma^{f^\circ}_{\eth,d}$ is compact as the norm limit of compact operators.

We are left to treat the case when $\eth < 0$. We note that for all $(x,y,u) \in \mathbb{H}_3$, we have:

$$\alpha^{x,y,u}_{\eth,d} = \alpha^{x,-y,-u}_{-\eth,d}.$$

We thus proceed as above with $-\eth$ in place of $\eth$, and note that $\sigma^{\kappa_n}_{\eth,d} = -\sigma^{\kappa_n}_{-\eth,d}$ since κ_n is a radial function. The rest of the proof is left unchanged. □

With Lemma (6.10) and Lemma (6.6), we are now able to prove the desired property for our D-norms:

Lemma 6.11. *We assume Hypothesis (4.1). The set:*

$$\mathscr{D}_1\left(\mathsf{D}^{p,q,d}_\theta\right) = \left\{\xi \in \mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_\theta : \mathsf{D}^{p,q,d}_\theta(\xi) \leqslant 1\right\}$$

is compact in $\left(\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_\theta, \|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_\theta}\right)$.

Proof. Let $(\psi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of smooth functions from $[0,\infty)$ to $[0,\infty)$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the function ψ_n is supported on $\left[-\frac{1}{n+1}, \frac{1}{n+1}\right]$ and:

$$\int_0^\infty \psi_n(r)\, r dr = \frac{1}{2\pi}.$$

Thus, using the notations of Lemma (6.10), we note that:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \psi_n^\circ = \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \int_0^\infty \psi_n(r)\, r dr d\theta = \frac{2\pi}{2\pi} = 1.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. By Lemma (6.8), we have:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \psi_n^\circ(x,y) \|(x,y)\|\, dxdy = 0.$$

Thus, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geqslant N$, the following inequality holds:

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \psi_n^\circ(x,y) \|(x,y)\|\, dxdy < \frac{\varepsilon}{4\pi\eth}$$

We may thus apply Lemma (6.6) to conclude that for all $\xi \in \mathscr{D}_1\left(\mathsf{D}_\theta^{p,q,d}\right)$ and $n \geqslant N$:

$$\left\|\xi - \sigma_{\eth,d}^{\psi_n^\circ}\xi\right\|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Now, $\sigma_{\eth,d}^{\psi_n^\circ}$ is compact in $\left(\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}\right)$ by Lemma (6.10), and $\mathscr{D}_1\left(\mathsf{D}_\theta^{p,q,d}\right)$ is bounded for $\|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}$ by construction. Thus the image of $\mathscr{D}_1\left(\mathsf{D}_\theta^{p,q,d}\right)$ by $\sigma_{\eth,d}^{\psi_n^\circ}$ is totally bounded in $\left(\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}\right)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, there exists a $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$-dense subset $\mathscr{B}_\varepsilon$ in $\sigma_{\eth,d}^{\psi_N^\circ}\mathscr{D}_1\left(\mathsf{D}_\theta^{p,q,d}\right)$.

Consequently, if $\xi \in \mathscr{D}_1\left(\mathsf{D}_\theta^{p,q,d}\right)$, then there exists $\eta \in \mathscr{B}_\varepsilon$ such that:

$$\left\|\eta - \sigma_{\eth,d}^{\psi_N^\circ}\xi\right\|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Thus $\|\xi - \eta\|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} \leqslant \varepsilon$.

We thus conclude that $\mathscr{D}_1\left(\mathsf{D}_\theta^{p,q,d}\right)$ is totally bounded.

Moreover, for all $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, the map $\xi \mapsto \frac{\|\alpha_{\eth,d}^{x,y,\frac{xy}{2}}\xi - \xi\|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}}{2\pi|\eth|\|(x,y)\|}$ is continuous, and thus $\mathsf{D}_\theta^{p,q,d}$ is lower semi-continuous with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}$. Hence $\mathscr{D}_1\left(\mathsf{D}_\theta^{p,q,d}\right) = \left(\mathsf{D}_\theta^{p,q,d}\right)^{-1}((-\infty,1])$ is closed. Since $\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}$ is complete and $\mathscr{D}_1\left(\mathsf{D}_\theta^{p,q,d}\right)$ is closed and totally bounded, it is in fact compact, as desired. □

We summarize the results of this section with the following theorem announcing that indeed, we have defined D-norms on Heisenberg modules, turning them into metrized quantum vector bundles over quantum 2-tori.

Theorem 6.12. *Let $\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}$ be the Heisenberg module over $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ for some $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, $q \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ and $d \in q\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. Let $\eth = \theta - \frac{p}{q}$ and assume $\eth \neq 0$. Let $\|\cdot\|$ be a norm on $\mathbb{R}^2$. If we set, for all $\xi \in \mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}$:*

$$\mathsf{D}_\theta^\rho(\xi) = \sup\left\{ \frac{\left\| \sigma_{\eth,d}^{x,y}\xi - \xi \right\|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}}{2\pi|\eth|\|(x,y)\|} : (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\} \right\},$$

and for all $a \in \mathcal{A}_\theta$:

$$\mathsf{L}_\theta(a) = \sup\left\{ \frac{\left\| \beta_\theta^{\exp(ix),\exp(iy)}a - a \right\|_{\mathcal{A}_\theta}}{\|(x,y)\|} : (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\} \right\}$$

then $\left(\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}, \mathsf{D}_\theta^\rho, \mathcal{A}_\theta, \mathsf{L}_\theta\right)$ is a Leibniz metrized quantum vector bundle.

Proof. Proposition (6.4) proves that $\mathsf{D}_\theta^{p,q,d}$ is a norm on a dense subspace of $\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}$ which satisfies the inner and modular quasi-Leibniz inequalities and, by construction, $\mathsf{D}_\theta^{p,q,d} \geqslant \|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}$.

Lemma (6.11) moreover gives us that $\mathscr{D}_1\left(\mathsf{D}_\theta^{p,q,d}\right)$ is compact for $\|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}$. □

We are now in a position to investigate the geometry of the space of Heisenberg modules over quantum 2-tori under the modular propinquity. There are many natural questions one immediately thinks about in this context, and we choose to focus on one of them: how do Heisenberg modules, for a fixed choice of p, q, d, vary when the base quantum torus is allowed to vary continuously?

To address this question, we first prove that our D-norms actually form a continuous field of norms. This is the key step in proving our continuity result for the modular propinquity.

7. A CONTINUOUS FIELD OF D-NORMS

Our first step in establishing a continuity result for D-norms on Heisenberg modules is to reformulate the expression of our D-norms.

Lemma 7.1. *Let $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, $q \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ and $d \in q\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. Let $r : \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ be a continuous function.*

If $\xi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C}^d)$, then for all $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ with $\|(x,y)\| = 1$, $\eth \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, the function :

$$t \in (0,\infty) \mapsto \omega_{x,y,t,\eth} = \frac{\exp(i\pi\eth t^2 xy)\sigma_{\eth,d}^{tx,ty}\xi_{r(\eth)} - \xi_{r(\eth)}}{2\pi|\eth|t}$$

where $\xi_{r(\eth)} : t \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto \xi(r(\eth)t)$, can be extended by continuity at 0. Moreover, for all $\eth \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$:

$$\mathsf{D}_{\eth+\frac{p}{q}}^{p,q,d}(\xi_{r(\eth)}) = \sup\left\{ \left\|\omega_{x,y,t,\eth}\right\|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} : (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \|(x,y)\| = 1, t \in [0,1] \right\}$$

and

$$(x,y,t,\eth) \in \mathbb{R}^2[0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}) \mapsto \left\langle \omega_{x,y,t,\eth}, \omega_{x,y,t,\eth} \right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_{\eth + \frac{p}{q}}}$$

is continuous to $\left(\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2), \|\cdot\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)}\right)$.

Proof. We begin by setting a domain over which we shall study our functions ω. For our purpose, we choose some arbitrary $\eth_\infty \neq 0$ and then $0 < \eth_- < \eth_+$ such that $|\eth_\infty| \in (\eth_-, \eth_+)$. We set:

$$\Omega = \left\{(x,y,\eth) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \|(x,y)\| = 1, |\eth| \in [\eth_-, \eth_+]\right\}$$

while:

$$\Sigma = \{(x,y,t,\eth) \in \mathbb{R}^4 : (x,y,\eth) \in \Omega, t \in [0,1]\}$$

and:

$$\Sigma_* = \{(x,y,t,\eth) \in \mathbb{R}^4 : (x,y,\eth) \in \Omega, t \in (0,1]\}.$$

Let $\xi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C}^d)$ and let $M_0 > 0$ be chosen so that for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\max\{\|\xi^{(n)}(s)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d}, \|s\xi^{(n)}(s)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} : n \in \{0,1,2,3,4\}\} \leqslant \frac{M_0}{1+s^2}.$$

Now, r is continuous on $[\eth_-, \eth_+]$, and thus there exists $R_-, R_+ > 0$ such that $R_- \leqslant r(\eth) \leqslant R_+$ for all $\eth \in [\eth_-, \eth_+]$. Thus for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\max\{\|\xi^{(n)}(r(\eth)s)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} : n \in \{0,1,2,3,4\}\} \leqslant \frac{M_0}{1+R_-^2 s^2}$$

and

$$\max\left\{\|s\xi^{(n)}(r(\eth)s)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} : n \in \{0,1,2,3,4\}\right\} \leqslant \frac{M_0}{R_-(1+R_-^2 s^2)}.$$

By the same reasoning as we have already seen in our paper, we thus conclude that there exists $M > 0$ such that for all $\eth \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|\eth| \in [\eth_-, \eth_+]$ and for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\max\{\|\xi^{(n)}_{r(\eth)}(s)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d}, \|s\xi^{(n)}_{r(\eth)}(s)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} : n \in \{0,1,2,3,4\}\} \leqslant \frac{M}{1+s^2}.$$

We first extend $(x,y,t,\eth) \in \Sigma_* \mapsto \omega_{x,y,t,\eth}$ to Σ by continuity. For all $(x,y,t,\eth) \in \Sigma_*$, we observe that for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_{x,y,t,\eth}(s) &= \frac{\exp(i\pi\left(t^2\eth xy + 2txs\right))\xi_{r(\eth)}(s+\eth ty) - \xi_{r(\eth)}(s)}{2\pi|\eth|t} \\
&= \frac{\exp(i\pi(t^2\eth xy + 2txs))\xi_{r(\eth)}(s+\eth ty) - \xi_{r(\eth)}(s+\eth ty)}{2\pi|\eth|t} \\
&\quad + \frac{\xi_{r(\eth)}(s+\eth ty) - \xi_{r(\eth)}(s)}{2\pi|\eth|t} \\
&= \xi_{r(\eth)}(s+\eth yt)\frac{\exp(i\pi(\eth t^2 xy + 2txs)) - 1}{2\pi|\eth|t} + \frac{\xi_{r(\eth)}(s+\eth ty) - \xi_{r(\eth)}(s)}{2\pi|\eth|t}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since ξ is a Schwarz function, thus differentiable, we have for all $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ with $\|(x,y)\| = 1$ and $\eth \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$:

$$\lim_{t \to 0^+} \omega_{x,y,t,\eth}(s) = x\frac{is}{\eth}\xi_{r(\eth)}(s) + yr(\eth)\frac{\xi'_{r(\eth)}(s)}{2\pi}.$$

Thus we set, for all $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ with $\|(x,y)\| = 1$ and $\eth \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$:

$$\omega_{x,y,0,\eth} : s \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto x\frac{is}{\eth}\xi_{r(\eth)}(s) + yr(\eth)\frac{\xi'_{r(\eth)}(s)}{2\pi}.$$

We observe that our statement thus far is about pointwise convergence of the family of functions $(\omega_{x,y,t,\eth})_{t>0}$ to $\omega_{x,y,0,\eth}$ for fixed $x,y \in \mathbb{R}^2$ with $\|(x,y)\| = 1$ and $\eth \neq 0$. This is different from the notion of convergence in the C^*-Hilbert norm. To obtain convergence for the Heisenberg C^*-Hilbert norm, and more information, we now proceed to establish some regularity properties for ω, in order to apply Lemma (3.4).

By Proposition (4.8), we already know that there exists $M_1 > 0$ such that for all $(x,y,t,\eth) \in \Sigma_*$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\|\sigma^{tx,ty,\frac{1}{2}t^2xy}\xi_{r(\eth)}(s) - \xi_{r(\eth)}(s)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} \leqslant \frac{M_1 t\|(x,y,\frac{1}{2}txy)\|_1}{1+s^2},$$

where $\|(x,y,t)\|_1 = |x| + |y| + |t|$ is the usual 1-norm on $\mathbb{R}^3$.

The map $(x,y,t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mapsto (x,y,\frac{txy}{2})$ is continuous from $\mathbb{R}^3$ to itself. The set $K = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \|(x,y)\| = 1\} \times [0,1]$ is compact and thus there exists $M_2 > 0$ such that:

$$\sup\left\{\left\|\left(x,y,\frac{txy}{2}\right)\right\|_1 : (x,y,t) \in K\right\} = M_2.$$

Thus:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega_{x,y,t,\eth}(s)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} &\leqslant \frac{t\|(x,y,\frac{1}{2}txy)\|_1}{2\pi|\eth|t}\frac{M_1}{1+s^2}\\ &\leqslant \frac{\frac{M_1M_2}{2\pi|\eth|}}{1+s^2} \leqslant \frac{\frac{M_1M_2}{2\pi|\eth|_-}}{1+s^2}.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by assumption:

$$\|\omega_{x,y,0,\eth}(s)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} \leqslant |x|\frac{M}{|\eth|(1+s^2)} + |y|\frac{R_+M}{2\pi(1+s^2)} \leqslant \left(\frac{1}{\eth_-} + \frac{R_+}{2\pi}\right)\frac{MM_2}{1+s^2}.$$

In summary, there exists $M_3 = \max\left\{\frac{M_1M_2}{\eth_-}, MM_2\left(\frac{1}{\eth_-} + \frac{R_+}{2\pi}\right)\right\} > 0$ such that for all $(x,y,\eth,t) \in \Sigma$ and all $s \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\left\|\omega_{x,y,t,\eth}(s)\right\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} \leqslant \frac{M_3}{1+s^2}.$$

By construction, $(\omega_{x,y,t,\eth})_{t>0}$ converges pointwise to $\omega_{x,y,0,\eth}$ as $t \to 0$. We now prove that this convergence is indeed uniform.

We begin with the following computation for all $(x,y,t,\eth) \in \Sigma_*$ and for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\|\omega_{x,y,t,\eth}(s) - \omega_{x,y,0,\eth}(s)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \left| \frac{\exp(i\pi\eth t^2 xy)\sigma_{\eth,d}^{tx,ty}\xi_{r(\eth)}(s) - \xi_{r(\eth)}(s)}{2\pi t\eth} - \left(x\frac{is}{\eth}\xi_{r(\eth)}(s) + y\frac{r(\eth)}{2\pi}\xi'_{r(\eth)}(s) \right) \right| \\
&\leqslant \left| \frac{\exp(i\pi(\eth t^2 xy + 2stx)) - 1}{2\pi t\eth}\xi_{r(\eth)}(s+\eth ty) - x\frac{is}{\eth}\xi_{r(\eth)}(s+\eth ty) \right| \\
&\quad + \left| x\frac{is}{\eth}\xi_{r(\eth)}(s+\eth ty) - x\frac{is}{\eth}\xi_{r(\eth)}(s) \right| \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi}\left| \frac{\xi_{r(\eth)}(s+\eth ty) - \xi_{r(\eth)}(s)}{\eth t} - yr(\eth)\xi'_{r(\eth)}(s) \right|.
\end{aligned}
$$

We first note that for all $(x, y, t, \eth) \in \Sigma_*$, by the mean value theorem, if $g : t > 0 \mapsto \exp(i\pi(t^2 xy + 2txs))$, then there exists $t_c \in [0, t]$ such that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left| \frac{\exp(i\pi(\eth t^2 xy + 2txs)) - 1}{2\pi t\eth}\xi_{r(\eth)}(s+t\eth y) - x\frac{is}{\eth}\xi_{r(\eth)}(s+t\eth y) \right| \\
&\qquad \leqslant \frac{M}{1+(s+\eth ty)^2}\left| \frac{\exp(i\pi(t^2\eth xy + 2xts)) - 1}{2\pi t\eth} - \frac{ixs}{\eth} \right| \\
&\qquad = \frac{M}{2\pi|\eth|\,(1+(s+\eth ty)^2)}\left| \frac{g(t)-g(0)}{t} - g'(0) \right| \\
&\qquad = \frac{M}{2\pi|\eth|\,(1+(s+\eth ty)^2)}\left| \frac{t}{2}g''(t_c) \right| \\
&\qquad = \frac{M|t|}{2\pi|\eth|\,(1+(s+\eth ty)^2)}\left| (i\pi\eth xy - \frac{\pi^2}{2}(2xs + 2t_c\eth xy)^2)\exp(2i\pi s t_c x) \right| \\
&\qquad \leqslant \frac{M\pi|t|}{2\eth\,(1+(s+\eth ty)^2)}\left(|xs| + (1+|t|)\eth_+|xy|\right)^2 \\
&\qquad \leqslant \frac{M\pi|t|}{2\eth_-\,(1+(s+\eth ty)^2)}\left(|xs| + 2\eth_+|xy|\right)^2.
\end{aligned}
$$

Once again, the functions $(x, y, \eth, t) \in \Omega \times [0,1] \mapsto |x|$ and $(x, y, \eth, t) \in \Omega \times [0,1] \mapsto |ty\eth|$ are continuous on the compact $\Omega \times [0,1]$, so we conclude that there exists $M_4 > 0$ such that:

$$\max\{|x|, |\eth ty| : (x, y, \eth, t) \in \Omega \times [0,1]\} \leqslant M_4.$$

Consequently, for all $s > M_4$ we have:

$$\frac{M\pi(|xs| + 2|\eth_+||xy|)^2}{2\eth_-(1+(s+\eth ty)^2)} \leqslant \frac{\pi M M_4^2(s+2M_4)^2}{2\eth_-(1+(s-M_4)^2)}$$

while for all $s < -M_4$ we have:

$$\frac{M\pi(|xs| + |\eth_+||xy|)^2}{2\eth_-(1+(s+\eth ty)^2)} \leqslant \frac{\pi M M_4^2(s+2M_4)^2}{2\eth_-(1+(s+M_4)^2)}$$

Thus, there exists $M_5 > 0$ such that if $|s| > M_4$ then:

$$\frac{M\pi(|xs| + |\eth_+||xy|)^2}{2\eth_-(1+(s+\eth ty)^2)} \leqslant M_5.$$

The function $(x,y,t,s) \in \mathbb{R}^4 \mapsto \frac{M\pi(|xs|+|t|\eth_+|xy|)^2}{2\eth_-(1+(s+\eth ty)^2)}$ is continuous, and thus it is bounded by some $M_6 > 0$ on the compact $\Sigma \times [-M_4, M_4]$.

Letting $M_7 = \max\{M_5, M_6\}$, we conclude that for all $(x,y,\eth,t) \in \Sigma_*$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we have:

$$\left|\frac{\exp(2i\pi txs)-1}{\eth t}\xi_{r(\eth)}(s+t\eth y) - x\frac{2i\pi}{\eth}\xi_{r(\eth)}(s+t\eth y)\right| \leqslant M_7|t|.$$

Consequently, if $|t| < \delta_1 = \frac{\varepsilon}{3M_7}$, then:

$$\left|\frac{\exp(i\pi(t^2\eth xy+2txs))-1}{\eth t}\xi_{r(\eth)}(s+t\eth y) - x\frac{2i\pi}{\eth}\xi_{r(\eth)}(s+t\eth y)\right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}. \tag{7.0.1}$$

Now, since $s \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto s\xi(s)$ is uniformly continuous on $\mathbb{R}$ as Schwarz function, there exists $\delta_2 > 0$ such that for all $0 < r < \delta_2$ and for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $|(s+r)\xi(s+r) - s\xi(s)| < \frac{\varepsilon R_-\eth_-}{6M_4}$.

Moreover, since ξ is bounded on $\mathbb{R}$, we may choose $\delta_2 > 0$ small enough so that $\delta_2 \sup_{s\in\mathbb{R}} \|\xi(s)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} < \frac{\varepsilon R_-\eth_-}{6M_4}$.

Let now $\delta_3 = \frac{\delta_2}{M_4R_+}$. If $|t| < \delta_3$ then $|r(\eth)\eth ty| < \delta_2$ and therefore:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|x\frac{is}{\eth}\xi_{r(\eth)}(s+\eth ty) - x\frac{is}{\eth}\xi_{r(\eth)}(s)\right\|_{\mathbb{C}^d}\\
&\qquad\leqslant \frac{|x|}{\eth_-R_-}\left(\|r(\eth)(s+\eth ty)\xi(r(\eth)s+r(\eth)\eth ty) - r(\eth)s\xi(r(\eth)s)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d}\right.\\
&\qquad\quad\left.+|r(\eth)\eth ty|\|\xi(r(\eth)(s+\eth ty))\|_{\mathbb{C}^d}\right)\\
&\qquad\leqslant \frac{M_4}{R_-\eth_-}\left(\frac{\varepsilon R_+\eth_-}{6M_4} + \delta_2\sup_{s\in\mathbb{R}}\|\xi(s)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d}\right)\\
&\qquad\leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{6}+\frac{\varepsilon}{6} = \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.
\end{aligned}$$

We thus deduce that for all $(x,y,t,\eth) \in \Sigma$, if $|t| < \delta_3$, then:

$$\sup_{s\in\mathbb{R}}|x\frac{is}{\eth}\xi_{r(\eth)}(s+\eth ty) - x\frac{is}{\eth}\xi_{r(\eth)}(s)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}. \tag{7.0.2}$$

Last, since ξ' is also a Schwarz function and in particular, also uniformly continuous on $\mathbb{R}$, there exists $\delta_4 > 0$ such that $|\xi'(s+r)-\xi'(s)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3R_+}$ for all $0 \leqslant r < \delta_4$. Thus for all $(x,y,t,\eth) \in \Sigma$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$, if $|t| < \delta_5 = \frac{\delta_4}{R_+M_4}$, then:

$$\left|\left(\xi_{r(\eth)}(s+t\eth y) - \xi_{r(\eth)}(s)\right) - y\xi'_{r(\eth)}(s)\right| \leqslant |r(\eth)|\int_0^t |\xi'_{r(\eth)}(s+r\eth y) - \xi'_{r(\eth)}(s)|\,dr \leqslant t\frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

Thus for all $(x,y,t,\eth) \in \Sigma_*$ with $|t| < \delta_4$, we have:

$$\sup_{s\in\mathbb{R}}\left|\frac{\xi_{r(\eth)}(s+\eth ty)-\xi_{r(\eth)}(s)}{t} - \xi'_{r(\eth)}(s)\right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

In conclusion, for all $(x,y,t,\eth) \in \Sigma_*$ with $0 < t < \min\{\delta_1,\delta_3,\delta_5\}$ and for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we have established:

$$\sup_{s\in\mathbb{R}}|\omega_{x,y,t,\eth}(s) - \omega_{x,y,0,\eth}(s)| < \varepsilon.$$

In other words, setting for all $t \in (0,1]$:

$$\mathsf{f}_t : (x,y,\eth,s) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \mapsto \omega_{x,y,t,\eth}(s)$$

converges uniformly on $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$ to:

$$\mathsf{f}_0 : (x,y,\eth,s) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \mapsto \omega_{x,y,0,\eth}(s)$$

when t goes to 0.

Since $(x,y,t,\eth,s) \in \Sigma_* \times \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathsf{f}_t(x,y,\eth,s)$ and f_0 are both continuous, we deduce, in particular, that:

$$(x,y,t,\eth,s) \in \Sigma \times \mathbb{R} \mapsto \omega_{x,y,t,\eth}(s)$$

is (jointly) continuous.

The entire reasoning up to now may be applied equally well to $\xi^{(n)}$ for $n \in \{0,1,2\}$ — as one may check that $\omega^{(n)}$ is indeed obtained by substituting ξ with $\xi^{(n)}$.

Therefore, we are now able to apply Lemma (3.4) to conclude that:

$$(x,y,t,\theta) \in \Sigma \mapsto \left\langle \omega_{x,y,t,\eth}, \omega_{x,y,t,\eth} \right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} \in \left(\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2), \|\cdot\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)} \right)$$

is continuous as desired (to make notations clear: we pick a sequence $(\theta_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converging to some θ, and we choose $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$, $(y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$, and $t_n \in [0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $(x_n, y_n, t_n, \theta_n - \frac{p}{q}) \in \Sigma$, and then we set, in the notations of Lemma (3.4), the functions $\xi_n = f_{t_n}(x_n, y_n, \eth_n, \cdot)$ and $\xi_\infty = f_{t_\infty}(x_\infty, y_\infty, \eth_\infty, \cdot)$).

We conclude our proof by observing that by Theorem (5.2):

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathsf{D}_\theta^{p,q,d}(\xi_{r(\eth)}) &= \sup \left\{ \frac{\left\| \alpha_{\eth,d}^{x,y,\frac{1}{2}xy} \xi_{r(\eth)} - \xi_{r(\eth)} \right\|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}}{2\pi|\eth|\|(x,y)\|} : (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \|(x,y)\| \leqslant 1 \right\} \\
&= \sup \left\{ \left\| \omega_{x,y,t,\eth} \right\|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} : \|(x,y)\| = 1, t \in [0,1] \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

as stated. □

We now prove that D-norms on Heisenberg modules form continuous fields.

Proposition 7.2. *Let $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, $q \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ and $d \in q\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. Let $\xi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C}^d)$. Let $r : \mathbb{R} \setminus \{\frac{p}{q}\} \to \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ be a continuous function. If $(\theta_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence in $\mathbb{R}$ converging to θ_∞ and such that $\theta_k \neq \frac{p}{q}$ for all $k \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$, then:*

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \mathsf{D}_{\theta_k}^{p,q,d}(\xi_{r(\theta_k)}) = \mathsf{D}_{\theta_\infty}^{p,q,d}(\xi_{r(\theta_\infty)}),$$

where $\xi_{r(\theta)} : t \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto \xi(r(\theta)t)$ for all $\theta \neq \frac{p}{q}$.

Proof. The result is trivial if $\xi = 0$, which is equivalent to $\mathsf{D}_\vartheta^\rho(\xi) = 0$ for all $\vartheta \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\vartheta \neq \frac{p}{q}$.

Now, fix $\theta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{\frac{p}{q}\}$. We shall prove that $\vartheta \mapsto \mathsf{D}_\vartheta^\rho(\xi_{r(\vartheta)})$ is continuous at θ.

Let $\delta_1 > 0$ such that $I = [\theta - \delta_1, \theta + \delta_1] \subseteq \mathbb{R} \setminus \left\{\frac{p}{q}\right\}$.

Let:

$$\mathrm{Y} = \left\{(x,y,t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \|(x,y)\| = 1, t \in [0,1]\right\}.$$

Let $\xi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C}^d)$. We set:

$$t \in (0,\infty) \mapsto \omega_{x,y,t,\eth} = \frac{\exp(i\pi\eth t^2 xy)\sigma_{\eth,d}^{tx,ty}\xi_{r(\eth+p/q)} - \xi_{r(\eth+p/q)}}{2\pi|\eth|t}.$$

By Lemma (7.1):

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{D}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}(\xi_{r(\vartheta)})^2 &= \sup\left\{\left\|\left\langle \omega_{x,y,t,\vartheta-\frac{p}{q}}, \omega_{x,y,t,\vartheta-\frac{p}{q}}\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}}\right\|_{\mathcal{A}_\vartheta} : (x,y,t) \in \mathrm{Y}\right\}\\ &\leqslant \sup\left\{\left\|\left\langle \omega_{x,y,t,\vartheta-\frac{p}{q}}, \omega_{x,y,t,\eth,\vartheta-\frac{p}{q}}\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}}\right\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)} : (x,y,t) \in \mathrm{Y}\right\}\end{aligned}$$

where Y is a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^3$, independent of ϑ.

Now, since:

$$\nu : (x,y,t,\vartheta) \in \mathrm{Y}\times I \mapsto \left\langle \omega_{x,y,t,\vartheta-\frac{p}{q}}, \omega_{x,y,t,\vartheta-\frac{p}{q}}\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}}$$

is continuous in $\left(\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2), \|\cdot\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)}\right)$ by Lemma (7.1), it is uniformly continuous on the compact $\mathrm{Y}_2 = \mathrm{Y}\times I$.

Let $\|(z,w,s,\hbar)\|_\infty = \max\{|z|,|w|,|s|,|\hbar|\}$ for all $(z,w,s,\hbar) \in \mathbb{R}^4$.

Let $\delta_2 > 0$ be chosen so that for all $(x,y,t,\eth), (z,w,r,s) \in \mathrm{Y}_2$ with $\|(x,y,t,\eth) - (z,w,s,\hbar)\|_\infty < \delta_2$ we have:

$$|\nu(x,y,t,\eth) - \nu(z,w,r,\hbar)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.$$

Let $G \subseteq \mathrm{Y}_2$ be a δ_2-dense finite subset of Y_2 in the sense of the norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$. Let:

$$F = \left\{(z,w,r) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \exists \hbar \in \mathbb{R} \quad (z,w,r,\hbar) \in G\right\}.$$

By construction, F is finite and δ_2-dense in Y for the restriction of $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ to $\mathbb{R}^3 \sim \mathbb{R}^3 \times \{0\}$.

Fix any $\eth \in [\theta - \frac{p}{q} - \delta_1, \theta - \frac{p}{q} + \delta_1]$ and set $\vartheta = \eth + \frac{p}{q}$. Now, let $(x,y,t) \in \mathrm{Y}$. There exists $(z,w,r) \in F$ with $\max\{|x-z|,|y-w|,|t-r|\} < \delta_2$. We then observe:

$$\frac{\|\alpha_{\eth,d}^{tx,ty,\frac{t^2xy}{2}}\xi_{r(\theta)} - \xi_{r(\theta)}\|^2_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}}{2\pi|\eth|t}$$

$$\leqslant \left| \frac{\left\| \alpha_{\eth,d}^{tx,ty,\frac{t^2xy}{2}} \xi_{r(\vartheta)} - \xi_{r(\vartheta)} \right\|_{\mathscr{H}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}}^2}{2\pi|\eth|t} - \frac{\left\| \alpha_{\eth,d}^{rz,rw,\frac{r^2zw}{2}} \xi_{r(\vartheta)} - \xi_\vartheta \right\|_{\mathscr{H}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}}^2}{2\pi|\eth|r} \right| + \frac{\left\| \alpha_{\eth,d}^{rz,rw,\frac{r^2zw}{2}} \xi_{r(\vartheta)} - \xi_{r(\vartheta)} \right\|_{\mathscr{H}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}}^2}{2\pi|\eth|r}$$

$$\leqslant \left| \left\| \left\langle \omega_{x,y,t,\eth}, \omega_{x,y,t,\eth} \right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}} \right\|_{\mathcal{A}_\vartheta} - \left\| \left\langle \omega_{z,w,r,\eth}, \omega_{z,w,r,\eth} \right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}} \right\|_{\mathcal{A}_\vartheta} \right| + \frac{\left\| \alpha_{\eth,d}^{rz,rw,\frac{r^2zw}{2}} \xi_{r(\vartheta)} - \xi_{r(\vartheta)} \right\|_{\mathscr{H}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}}^2}{2\pi|\eth|r}$$

$$\leqslant \left\| \left\langle \omega_{x,y,t,\eth}, \omega_{x,y,t,\eth} \right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}} - \left\langle \omega_{z,w,r,\eth}, \omega_{z,w,r,\eth} \right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}} \right\|_{\mathcal{A}_\vartheta} + \frac{\left\| \alpha_{\eth,d}^{rz,rw,\frac{r^2zw}{2}} \xi_{r(\vartheta)} - \xi_{r(\vartheta)} \right\|_{\mathscr{H}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}}^2}{2\pi|\eth|r}$$

$$\leqslant \left\| \left\langle \omega_{x,y,t,\eth}, \omega_{x,y,t,\eth} \right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}} - \left\langle \omega_{z,w,r,\eth}, \omega_{z,w,r,\eth} \right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}} \right\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)} + \frac{\left\| \alpha_{\eth,d}^{rz,rw,\frac{r^2zw}{2}} \xi_{r(\vartheta)} - \xi_{r(\vartheta)} \right\|_{\mathscr{H}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}}^2}{2\pi|\eth|r}$$

$$\leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{4} + \frac{\left\| \alpha_{\eth,d}^{z,w,\frac{r^2zw}{2}} \xi_{r(\vartheta)} - \xi_{r(\vartheta)} \right\|_{\mathscr{H}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}}^2}{2\pi|\eth|r}.$$

Let $\mathsf{F}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}(\eta)$ be given by:

$$\mathsf{F}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}(\eta) = \max \left\{ \frac{\left\| \alpha_{\eth,d}^{rz,rw,\frac{r^2zw}{2}} \eta - \eta \right\|_{\mathscr{H}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}}}{2\pi \left(\vartheta + \frac{p}{q}\right) r} : (z,w,r) \in F \right\}$$

for all $\eta \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C}^d)$.

We thus have proven:

$$\mathsf{F}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}(\xi_{r(\vartheta)})^2 \leqslant \mathsf{D}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}(\xi_{r(\vartheta)})^2 \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{4} + \mathsf{F}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}(\xi_{r(\vartheta)})^2.$$

Therefore:

$$\left| \mathsf{D}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}(\xi_{r(\vartheta)})^2 - \mathsf{D}_\theta^{p,q,d}(\xi_{r(\theta)})^2 \right| \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + |\mathsf{F}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}(\xi_{r(\vartheta)})^2 - \mathsf{F}_\theta^{p,q,d}(\xi_{r(\theta)})^2|. \tag{7.0.3}$$

Note that for any $\eta \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C}^d)$, the quantity $\mathsf{F}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}(\eta)$ is finite as the maximum of finitely many values. Also note that the set F does not change with $\vartheta \in I$ — the only dependence of $\mathsf{F}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}$ on ϑ is via the choice of the quantum torus norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{H}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}}$.

Now the key observation is that $\vartheta \in I \mapsto \mathsf{F}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}(\xi)$ is continuous. Fix $(z,w,r) \in F$. By Proposition (3.5), the function:

$$\vartheta \in I \mapsto \left\| \frac{\alpha_{\eth,d}^{z,w,\frac{zw}{2}} \xi_{r(\vartheta)} - \xi_{r(\vartheta)}}{2\pi|\eth|r} \right\|_{\mathscr{H}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}}$$

is continuous (we note that $\vartheta \in I \mapsto \alpha_{\eth,d}^{z,w,\frac{zw}{2}} \xi_{r(\vartheta)} - \xi_{r(\vartheta)}$ satisfies the necessary hypothesis, owing to ξ being a Schwarz function and r being continuous. The details follow similar lines to our proof of Lemma (7.1) and we shall omit them this time around).

Thus $\vartheta \in I \mapsto \mathsf{F}_{\vartheta}^{p,q,d}(\xi_{r(\vartheta)})$ is the maximum of finitely many continuous functions, and is therefore continuous as well.

Thus there exists $\delta_3 > 0$ such that for all $\vartheta \in [\theta - \delta_3, \theta + \delta_3]$ we have:

$$|\mathsf{F}_{\vartheta}^{p,q,d}(\xi_{r(\vartheta)})^2 - \mathsf{F}_{\theta}^{p,q,d}(\xi_{r(\theta)})^2| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Thus if $\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_3\} > 0$ then for all $\vartheta \in [\theta - \delta, \theta + \delta]$ we have:

$$\left|\mathsf{D}_{\vartheta}^{p,q,d}(\xi_{r(\vartheta)})^2 - \mathsf{D}_{\theta}^{p,q,d}(\xi_{r(\theta)})^2\right| < \varepsilon.$$

Since $\mathsf{D}_{\vartheta}(\xi_{r(\vartheta)}) \geqslant 0$ for all $\vartheta \in [\theta - \delta, \theta + \delta]$ and $\sqrt[2]{\cdot}$ is a continuous function on $[0, \infty)$, we have shown that:

$$\vartheta \mapsto \mathsf{D}_{\vartheta}^{p,q,d}(\xi_{r(\vartheta)})$$

is continuous. □

Corollary 7.3. *Let $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, $q \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ and $d \in q\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. If $\xi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C}^d)$, then:*

$$\theta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \left\{\frac{p}{q}\right\} \mapsto \mathsf{D}_{\theta}^{p,q,d}(\xi)$$

is continuous.

Proof. This follows from Proposition (7.2) using $r : x \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto 1$. □

8. Convergence

We now present our main convergence result for the modular propinquity. Our first step consists in finding an appropriate choice of anchors. We establish two lemmas to this end. The first lemma extends Lemma (6.10) by proving that while the range of the operators involved in Lemma (6.10) depends on the parameters used to define the Heisenberg modules, its dimension does not. The second lemma then uses the particular basis of Hermite functions obtained in the first lemma to construct our anchors.

Lemma 8.1. *For all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\eth > 0$, let:*

$$\psi_{\eth}^{j} : x \in [0, \infty) \mapsto \eth \exp\left(-\frac{\pi \eth r^2}{2}\right) \mathrm{L}_j\left(\pi \eth r^2\right)$$

where $\mathrm{L}_j : t \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \binom{j}{j-k} t^k$ is the j^{th} Laguerre polynomial.

Note that $\psi_{\eth}^{j}(t) = \eth \psi_1^j(\sqrt{\eth} t)$ for all $t \geqslant 0$, with ψ_1^j the j-Laguerre function.

Let f be compactly supported continuous. For all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\eth \neq 0$, we set:

$$\mathrm{C}_{\eth}^{j}(f) = \frac{1}{j} \sum_{k=0}^{j} \frac{j+1-k}{j+1} \left\langle f \psi_{\eth}^{j}, \psi_{\eth}^{j} \right\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, r\,dr)} \psi_{\eth}^{j}.$$

For all $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\eth_0 \neq 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta \in (0, |\eth_0|)$ such that, for all $\eth \in [\eth_0 - \delta, \eth_0 + \delta]$, we have:

$$\left\| f - \sum_{j=0}^{N} \mathrm{C}_{\eth}^{j}(f) \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, rdr)} \leqslant \varepsilon.$$

Proof. We fix $\eth_0 \neq 0$. By [25, Theorem 6.2.1], as in the proof of Lemma (6.10), there exists $N > 0$ such that:

$$\left\| f - \sum_{j=0}^{N} \mathrm{C}_{\eth_0}^{j}(f) \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, rdr)} \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Let $Q = \sum_{j=0}^{N} \frac{N+1-j}{N+1}$.

Let $K_1 > 0$ be chosen so that $f(x) = 0$ whenever $x \geqslant K_1$ (as f is compactly supported by assumption). Let $M_1 = \int_0^\infty |f(r)|\, rdr$.

Let $M_2 = \max\{\|\psi_{\eth_0}^j\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, rdr)} : j \in \{0, \ldots, N\}\}$. Now, there exists $C > 0$ and $K_2 > 0$ such that for all $x \geqslant K_2$ and for all $j \in \{0, \ldots, N\}$:

$$|\psi_1^j(r)| \leqslant C \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{4}\right).$$

Indeed, one checks trivially that $\lim_{r\to\infty} \exp\left(\frac{1}{4}r^2\right) \psi_1^j(r) = 0$ and once again, we work with finitely many functions.

Let $K_3 \geqslant K_2 > 0$ be chosen so that $\int_{K_3}^\infty \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{4}\right) rdr \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{16CM_3QN}$.

Last, let $M_3 = \max\left\{ \left| \left\langle f\psi_{\eth_0}^j, \psi_{\eth_0}^j \right\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, rdr)} \right| : j \in \{0, \ldots, N\} \right\}$.

For all $j \in \{0, \ldots, N\}$, the function ψ_1^j is continuous on $\mathbb{R}_+$, so $(\psi_1^j)^2$ is continuous on $[0, K_3]$, and thus the family $\{\psi_1^j, (\psi_1^j)^2 : j \in \{0, \ldots, N\}\}$ is uniformly equicontinuous on this compact interval. Thus there exists $\delta_1 > 0$ such that if $|x - y| \leqslant \delta_1$ then $|(\psi_1^j)^2(x) - (\psi_1^j)^2(y)| \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{8Q\eth_0^2 M_1 M_2 N}$ and $|\psi_1^j(x) - \psi_1^j(y)| \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{16Q\eth_0 N M_3}$ for all $j \in \{0, \ldots, N\}$ (note that of course, it is important here that we work with finitely many functions, so we trivially have a uniformly equicontinuous family).

Using the continuity of the square root function and the square function, there exists $\delta_2 \in (0, \delta_1)$ such that if $|\eth - \eth_0| \leqslant \delta_2$ then $|\sqrt{\eth} - \sqrt{\eth_0}| \leqslant \frac{\delta_1}{K_1}$ and $|\eth^2 - \eth_0^2| \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{8QM_2^2N}$. Therefore, $|\sqrt{\eth}x - \sqrt{\eth_0}x| \leqslant \delta_1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ with $|x| \leqslant K_1$, so that for all $j \in \{0, \ldots, N\}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_0^\infty f(r) \left((\psi_{\eth}^j)^2(r) - (\psi_{\eth_0}^j(r))^2 \right) rdr \right| &\leqslant M_1 \sup_{|r| \leqslant K_1} |\eth^2 (\psi_1^j)^2(\sqrt{\eth}r) - \eth_0^2 (\psi_1^j)^2(\sqrt{\eth_0}r)| \\
&\leqslant M_1 \sup_{|r| \leqslant K_1} \eth_0^2 |(\psi_1^j)^2(\sqrt{\eth}r) - (\psi_1^j)^2(\sqrt{\eth_0}r)| \\
&\quad + 2M_2 |\eth^2 - \eth_0^2| \\
&\leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{4QM_2N}.
\end{aligned}$$

We thus conclude that for all $\eth \in [\eth_0 - \delta_2, \eth_0 + \delta_2]$ and $j \in \{0, \ldots, N\}$:

$$\left| \left\langle f\psi^j_\eth, \psi^j_\eth \right\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, rdr)} - \left\langle f\psi^j_{\eth_0}, \psi^j_{\eth_0} \right\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, rdr)} \right| \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{4QM_2N}$$

Moreover, for $\eth \in [\eth_0 - \delta_2, \eth_0 + \delta_2]$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \int_0^\infty |\psi^j_\eth(r)| - |\psi^j_{\eth_0}(r)| \, r dr \right| \\
&\quad \leqslant \int_0^{K_3} |\eth\psi^j_1(\sqrt{\eth} r) - \eth_0\psi^j_1(\sqrt{\eth_0} r)| \, r dr + \int_{K_3}^\infty |\eth\psi^j_1(\sqrt{\eth} r) - \eth_0\psi^j_1(\sqrt{\eth_0} r)| \, r dr \\
&\quad \leqslant 2\eth_0 \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{16\eth_0 QM_3N} + \int_{\complement K} 2C \exp(-Dr^2) \, r dr \right) \\
&\quad \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{4QM_3N}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for all $j \in \{0, \ldots, N\}$ and for all $\eth \in [\eth_0 - \delta_2, \eth_0 + \delta_2]$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \left\langle f\psi^j_\eth, \psi^j_\eth \right\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, rdr)} \psi^j_\eth - \left\langle f\psi^j_{\eth_0}, \psi^j_{\eth_0} \right\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, rdr)} \psi^j_{\eth_0} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, rdr)} \\
&\qquad \leqslant \left\| \left\langle f\psi^j_\eth, \psi^j_\eth \right\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, rdr)} \psi^j_\eth - \left\langle f\psi^j_{\eth_0}, \psi^j_{\eth_0} \right\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, rdr)} \psi^j_\eth \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, rdr)} \\
&\qquad\quad + \left| \left\langle f\psi^j_{\eth_0}, \psi^j_{\eth_0} \right\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, rdr)} \right| \left\| \psi^j_\eth - \psi^j_{\eth_0} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, rdr)} \\
&\qquad \leqslant \|\psi^j_\eth\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, rdr)} \left| \left\langle f\psi^j_\eth, \psi^j_\eth \right\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, rdr)} - \left\langle f\psi^j_{\eth_0}, \psi^j_{\eth_0} \right\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, rdr)} \right| \\
&\qquad\quad + M_3 \|\psi^j_\eth - \psi^j_{\eth_0}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, rdr)} \\
&\qquad \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{4QNM_2} M_2 + M_3 \frac{\varepsilon}{4QNM_3} \\
&\qquad \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{2QN}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, for all $\eth \in [\eth_0 - \delta_2, \eth_0 + \delta_2]$ and $j \in \{0, \ldots, N\}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \mathsf{C}^j_\eth(f) - \mathsf{C}^j_{\eth_0}(f) \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, rdr)} \\
&\leqslant \sum_{k=1}^j \frac{j+1-k}{j+1} \left\| \left\langle f\psi^j_\eth, \psi^j_\eth \right\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, rdr)} \psi^j_\eth - \left\langle f\psi^j_{\eth_0}, \psi^j_{\eth_0} \right\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, rdr)} \psi^j_{\eth_0} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, rdr)} \\
&\leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{2N}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus for all $\eth \in [\eth_0 - \delta_2, \eth_0 + \delta_2]$:

$$\left\| f - \sum_{j=1}^N \mathsf{C}^j_\eth(f) \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, rdr)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leqslant \left\| f - \sum_{j=1}^{N} C^j_{\eth_0}(f) \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, rdr)} + \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{N} (C^j_{\eth} - C^j_{\eth_0}) \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, rdr)} \\
&\leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + N \max\{ \| C^j_{\eth}(f) - C^j_{\eth_0}(f) \|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, rdr)} : j \in \{0, \ldots, N\} \} \\
&\leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

This concludes our lemma. □

Lemma 8.2. *Let $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, $q \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ and $d \in q\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. Let $\eth_0 \neq 0$. For all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $\delta \in \left(0, \frac{|\eth_0|}{2}\right)$ and a finite subset $\mathfrak{F}$ of $\mathscr{D}_1\left(\mathsf{D}^{p,q,d}_{\eth + \frac{p}{q}}\right) \setminus \{0\}$ for $\| \cdot \|_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_{\frac{p}{q}+\eth_0}}$ such that, for all $\eth \in [\eth_0 - \delta, \eth_0 + \delta]$, the set:*

$$\left\{ \frac{\mathsf{D}^{p,q,d}_{\frac{p}{q}+\eth_0}(\omega)}{\mathsf{D}^{p,q,d}_{\frac{p}{q}+\eth}(\omega)} \omega : \omega \in \mathfrak{F} \right\}$$

is a ε-dense subset of $\mathscr{D}_1\left(\mathsf{D}^{p,q,d}_{\frac{p}{q}+\eth}\right)$ for $\| \cdot \|_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_{\frac{p}{q}+\eth}}$.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. By Lemmas (6.8), (6.6) and (6.9), there exists a function $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ such that, if $g : (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mapsto f\left(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}\right)$ then:

(1) $\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f(r)\, rdr = \frac{1}{2\pi}$,
(2) $\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} g(x,y) \|(x,y)\|\, dxdy \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{48\pi\eth_0} = \frac{\frac{\varepsilon}{16}}{2\pi\frac{3\eth_0}{2}}$,
(3) $\mathsf{D}^{p,q,d}_{\vartheta}(\sigma^g_{\eth,d}\xi) \leqslant (1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{16})\mathsf{D}^{p,q,d}_{\vartheta}(\xi)$ for all $\vartheta \neq \frac{p}{q}$ and all $\xi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C}^d)$.

as in Lemma (6.11), for instance given by Lemma (6.8). By Lemma (6.6), we have that for all $\omega \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C}^d)$, we have:

$$\left\| \omega - \sigma^g_{\eth,d}\omega \right\|_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_{\frac{p}{q}+\eth}} \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{16} \mathsf{D}^{p,q,d}_{\frac{p}{q}+\eth}(\omega),$$

for all $\eth \in \left[\frac{\eth_0}{2}, \frac{3\eth_0}{2}\right]$.

We apply Lemma (8.1) to obtain some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta_0 \in \left(0, \frac{|\eth_0|}{2}\right)$ such that:

$$\left\| f - \sum_{j=0}^{N} \mathsf{C}^j_{\eth}(f) \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, rdr)} \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{8}.$$

We now note that thanks to a change of variable in the definition of the operator $\sigma^g_{\eth,d}$, it is sufficient to prove our result for $\eth > 0$. We shall henceforth assume $\eth > 0$.

Let $h_{\eth} : (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mapsto \sum_{j=0}^{N} \mathsf{C}^j_{\eth}(f)(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2})$ for all $\eth \neq 0$.

For each $\eth \in [\eth_0 - \delta_0, \eth_0 + \delta_0]$, we then have, in a manner similar to the proof of Lemma (6.10):

$$\left| \left| \left| \sigma^g_{\eth,d} - \sigma^{h_{\eth}}_{\eth,d} \right| \right| \right|_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_{\vartheta}} \leqslant \| f - \sum_{j=0}^{N} \mathsf{C}^j_{\eth}(f) \|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, rdr)} \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{8}.$$

Consequently, for all $\eth \in [\eth_0 - \delta_0, \eth_0 + \delta_0]$ and for all $\omega \in \mathscr{D}_1\left(\mathsf{D}^{p,q,d}_{\frac{p}{q}+\eth}\right)$, we have:

$$\left\|\omega - \sigma^{h_\eth}_{\eth,d}\omega\right\|_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}} \leqslant \frac{3\varepsilon}{16},$$

therefore:

$$\left\|\omega - \frac{1}{1+\frac{\varepsilon}{16}}\sigma^{h_\eth}_{\eth,d}\omega\right\|_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_{\eth+\frac{p}{q}}} \leqslant \frac{\frac{\varepsilon}{16}}{1+\frac{\varepsilon}{16}}\|\omega\|_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}} + \frac{3\varepsilon}{16} \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$$

while $\mathsf{D}^{p,q,d}_{\frac{p}{q}+\eth}\left(\frac{1}{1+\frac{\varepsilon}{16}}\sigma^{h_\eth}_{\eth,d}\omega\right) \leqslant 1$.

Our efforts thus far show that the range of $\sigma^{h_\eth}_{\eth,d}$ is of dimension $N+1$, spanned by:

$$\left\{\mathcal{H}^j_\eth = \eth^{\frac{1}{4}}\mathcal{H}^j_1\left(\sqrt{\eth}\cdot\right) : j \in \{0,\ldots,N\}\right\}$$

where:

$$\mathcal{H}^j_1 : t \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto \frac{(2)^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\sqrt{j!2^j}}\exp\left(-\frac{t^2\sqrt{2\pi}}{2}\right)\mathrm{H}_j\left(t\sqrt{2\pi}\right)$$

and H_j is the j^{th} Hermite polynomial, as seen in Lemma (6.10).

Let $V = \mathbb{C}^N$. For any $\eth > 0$ we define $\eta_\eth : (c_j)_{j\in\{1,\ldots,N\}} \in V \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^N c_j\mathcal{H}^j_\eth$. The map $\eta_\eth$ is a linear injection from V to $\mathcal{S}\otimes\mathbb{C}^d$. For each $\eth > 0$ and $c \in V$, we set $\|c\|_\eth = \mathsf{D}^{p,q,d}_{\frac{p}{q}+\eth}(\eta_\eth(c))$; of course $\|\cdot\|_\eth$ is a norm on V.

We now set $\|c\|_V = \sup_{\eth\in[\eth_0-\delta_0,\eth_0+\delta_0]}\|c\|_\eth$. By construction, it is sufficient to check that $\|\cdot\|_V$ is valued in $\mathbb{R}_+$ (i.e. is never infinite) to conclude that $\|\cdot\|_V$ is a norm on V.

Let $c = (c_j)_{j\in\{0,\ldots,N\}} \in V$. Note that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\eth > 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_\eth(c)(t) &= \sum_{j=0}^N c_j\mathcal{H}^j_\eth(t)\\ &= (\eth)^{\frac{1}{4}}\sum_{j=0}^N \mathcal{H}^j_1(\sqrt{\eth}t)\\ &= (\eth)^{\frac{1}{4}}\,\eta_1(c)(\sqrt{\eth}t).\end{aligned} \tag{8.0.1}$$

and of course, $\eta_1 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C}^d)$. Thus by Proposition (7.2), we conclude that $\eth \in [\eth_0-\delta_0,\eth_0+\delta_0] \mapsto \mathsf{D}^{p,q,d}_{\frac{p}{q}+\eth}(\eta_\eth(c))$ is continuous as well as the product of the two continuous functions $\eth \in [\eth_0-\delta_0,\eth_0+\delta_0] \mapsto \mathsf{D}^{p,q,d}_{\frac{p}{q}+\eth}(\eta_1(c)(\sqrt{\eth}\cdot))$ (by Proposition (7.2)), and $\eth \in [\eth_0-\delta_0,\eth_0+\delta_0] \mapsto \sqrt[4]{\eth}$.

Therefore, it reaches its maximum on the compact $[\eth_0-\delta_0,\eth_0+\delta_0]$, which is by definition the number $\|c\|_V$.

Thus $\|\cdot\|_V$ is a norm on V.

We now make another observation. We have, for all $\eth \in [\eth_0 - \delta_0, \eth_0 + \delta_0]$:

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\|c\|_{\eth} - \|d\|_{\eth_0}\right| &\leqslant \left|\|c\|_{\eth} - \|c\|_{\eth_0}\right| + \left|\|c\|_{\eth_0} - \|d\|_{\eth_0}\right| \\ &\leqslant \left|\|c\|_{\eth} - \|c\|_{\eth_0}\right| + \|c-d\|_{\eth_0} \\ &\leqslant \left|\|c\|_{\eth} - \|c\|_{\eth_0}\right| + \|c-d\|_V .\end{aligned}$$

Thus the function:

$$\mathsf{n} : (\eth, c) \in [\eth_0 - \delta_0, \eth_0 + \delta_0] \times V \mapsto \|c\|_{\eth}$$

is continuous. It is in particular continuous on the compact $[\eth_0 - \delta_0, \eth_0 + \delta_0] \times B$ where B is the closed unit ball for $\|\cdot\|_V$.

Therefore there exists $k > 0$ such that for all $c \in V$, $\eth \in [\eth_0 - \delta_0, \eth_0 + \delta_0]$, we have:

$$k\|c\|_V \leqslant \|c\|_{\eth} \leqslant \|c\|_V.$$

Let now $E = \{c \in V : \|c\|_V \leqslant \frac{1}{k}\}$. Since V is finite dimensional, E is compact. Therefore, the function n is uniformly continuous on the compact $[\eth_0 - \delta_0, \eth_0 + \delta_0] \times E$. Let $\delta_1 \in (0, \delta_0)$ be chosen so that, for all $\eth \in [\eth_0 - \delta_1, \eth_0 + \delta_1]$, and for all $c, d \in E$ with $\|c-d\|_V \leqslant \delta_1$, we have:

$$|\mathsf{n}(\eth, c) - \mathsf{n}(\eth_0, d)| \leqslant \frac{k\varepsilon}{8}.$$

In particular, for all $\eth \in [\eth_0 - \delta_1, \eth_0 + \delta_1]$ and all $c \in E$, we have:

$$\left|\|c\|_{\eth} - \|c\|_{\eth_0}\right| \leqslant \frac{k\varepsilon}{8}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{E} = \frac{1}{1+\frac{\varepsilon}{8}} \sigma^g_{\eth_0, d}\left(\mathscr{D}_1\left(\mathsf{D}^{p,q,d}_{\frac{p}{q}+\eth_0}\right)\right)$. By definition, $\mathfrak{E}$ is a bounded subset of V which is finite dimensional. Thus $\mathfrak{E}$ is totally bounded for $\|\cdot\|_V$. Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be a finite $\frac{\varepsilon}{8}$-dense subset of $\mathfrak{E}$ for $\|\cdot\|_V$. We assume $0 \notin \mathfrak{F}$ (we can simply pick a $\frac{\varepsilon}{16}$-dense subset of $\mathfrak{E}$ and then remove 0 from it if needed).

For all $c \in \mathfrak{F}$, the function:

$$\mathsf{l}_c : \eta \in [\eth_0 - \delta_1, \eth_0 + \delta_1] \mapsto \frac{\mathsf{D}^{p,q,d}_{\frac{p}{q}+\eth_0}(\eta_{\eth_0}(c)) - \mathsf{D}^{p,q,d}_{\frac{p}{q}+\eth}(\eta_{\eth_0}(c))}{\mathsf{D}^{p,q,d}_{\frac{p}{q}+\eth}(\eta_{\eth_0}(c))}$$

is continuous on a compact, and it is null at $\eth_0$; hence there exists $\delta_2 \in (0, \delta_1)$ such that for all $\eth \in [\eth_0 - \delta_2, \eth_0 + \delta_2]$ and $c \in \mathfrak{F}$, we have:

$$\mathsf{l}_c(\eth) \leqslant \frac{k\varepsilon}{4}.$$

We emphasize that in the definition of l_c, for any $c \in \mathfrak{F}$, only involves the element $\eta_{\eth_0}(c)$, and the only dependence on the variable is through the choice of D-norm.

Last, for all $d \in \mathfrak{F}$, the function $\eth \in [\eth_0 - \delta_2, \eth_0 + \delta_2] \mapsto \|\eta_{\eth}(d) - \eta_{\eth_0}(d)\|_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_{\frac{p}{q}+\eth}}$ is continuous by Proposition (3.5) and Expression (8.0.1). Therefore, since $\mathfrak{F}$ is finite, there exists $\delta_3 \in (0, \delta_2)$ such that for all $\eth \in [\eth_0 - \delta_3, \eth_0 + \delta_3]$ and for all $d \in \mathfrak{F}$:

$$\left\|\eta_{\eth}(d) - \eta_{\eth_0}(d)\right\|_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_{\frac{p}{q}+\eth}} \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.$$

Fix now $\eth \in [\eth_0 - \delta_3, \eth_0 + \delta_3]$. Let now $\eta \in \mathscr{D}_1\left(\mathsf{D}^{p,q,d}_{\frac{p}{q}+\eth}\right)$. Let $c \in V$ so that $\frac{1}{1+\frac{\varepsilon}{16}}\sigma^{h_\eth}_{\eth,d}(\eta) = \eta_\eth(c)$ and note that by construction, $\|\eta - \eta_\eth(c)\|_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_{\eth+\frac{p}{q}}} \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$ while $\mathsf{D}_{\frac{p}{q}+\eth}(\eta_\eth(c)) \leqslant 1$.

Since $\mathsf{D}^{p,q,d}_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_{\frac{p}{q}+\eth}}(\eta_\eth(c)) \leqslant 1$ so $\|c\|_V \leqslant \frac{1}{k}$. Thus, $\|\eta_{\eth_0}(c)\| \leqslant 1 + \frac{k\varepsilon}{8}$.

Thus, $\frac{1}{1+\frac{k}{8}\varepsilon}\eta_{\eth_0}(c) \in \mathfrak{E}$, and thus there exists $d \in \mathfrak{F}$ such that $\|\frac{1}{1+\frac{k}{8}\varepsilon}c - d\|_V \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{8}$. Thus:

$$\|c - d\|_V \leqslant \frac{\frac{k}{8}\varepsilon}{1+\frac{k}{8}\varepsilon}\|c\|_V + \frac{\varepsilon}{8} \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.$$

We conclude by observing that:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \frac{\mathsf{D}^{p,q,d}_{\frac{p}{q}+\eth_0}(\eta_{\eth_0}(d))}{\mathsf{D}^{p,q,d}_{\frac{p}{q}+\eth}(\eta_{\eth_0}(d))}\eta_{\eth_0}(d) - \eta \right\|_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_{\frac{p}{q}+\eth}} \\
&\qquad \leqslant \left\| \frac{\mathsf{D}^{p,q,d}_{\frac{p}{q}+\eth_0}(\eta_{\eth_0}(d))}{\mathsf{D}^{p,q,d}_{\frac{p}{q}+\eth}(\eta_{\eth_0}(d))}\eta_{\eth_0}(d) - \eta_\eth(c) \right\|_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_{\frac{p}{q}+\eth}} + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \\
&\qquad \leqslant \mathsf{l}_d(\eth)\|d\|_V + \|\eta_{\eth_0}(d) - \eta_\eth(c)\|_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_{\frac{p}{q}+\eth}} + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \\
&\qquad \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{4} + \|\eta_\eth(d) - \eta_{\eth_0}(d)\|_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_{\frac{p}{q}+\eth}} + \|\eta_\eth(d) - \eta_\eth(c)\|_{\mathscr{H}^{p,q,d}_{\frac{p}{q}+\eth}} + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \\
&\qquad \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{4} + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} + \|c - d\|_V + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \leqslant \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

This concludes our lemma. □

We now summarize, in the following lemma, all the elements of the proof of convergence for quantum tori, as worked in [12], which we will employ in the current paper.

Notation 8.3. Let μ be the probability Haar measure on the 2-torus $\mathbb{T}^2$.

For any $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^2, \mu)$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote by β^f_θ the operator on $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ defined for all $a \in \mathcal{A}_\theta$ by:

$$\beta^f_\theta(a) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} f(z)\beta^z_\theta(a)\, d\mu(z)$$

which is continuous with $\left|\left|\left|\beta^f_\theta\right|\right|\right|_{\mathcal{A}_\theta} \leqslant \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^2,\mu)}$.

Lemma 8.4. *Let ℓ be a continuous length function on $\mathbb{T}^2$. Let $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. There exists $\delta_\varepsilon > 0$, a trace-class operator T on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ with nonempty 1-level set and operator norm equals to 1, a finite dimensional subspace $V \subseteq \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ and a nonnegative continuous function $\mathsf{Fe} : \mathbb{T}^2 \mapsto [0,\infty)$ such that, for all $\vartheta \in [\theta - \delta_\varepsilon, \theta + \delta_\varepsilon]$:*

(1) if $a \in V$ then $a^* \in V$,

(2) $\beta_\theta^{\mathsf{Fe}}$ *is a finite rank operator and* $\beta_\theta^{\mathsf{Fe}}(\mathfrak{sa}(\mathcal{A}_\theta)) = V$,
(3) $1_{\mathcal{A}_\vartheta} \in V$,
(4) *the function:*

$$(\vartheta, a) \in \left(\mathbb{R} \setminus \left\{\frac{p}{q}\right\}\right) \times V \mapsto \mathsf{L}_\vartheta(a)$$

is continuous,
(5) *if* $\tau : f \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2) \mapsto f(0)$, *i.e. the restriction of the unique* β_ϑ*-invariant tracial state of* $\mathcal{A}_\vartheta$ *to* $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ *(noting* τ *does not depend on* ϑ*), and if* $E = V \cap \ker(\tau)$ *while* Σ *is the unit sphere in* E *for* $\|\cdot\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)}$, *then for any* $a \in \Sigma$, *if* $s(a,\vartheta) = \frac{\mathsf{L}_\theta(a)}{\mathsf{L}_\vartheta(a)} > 0$ *then:*

$$|||\pi_\theta(a)T - T\pi_\vartheta(s(a)a)|||_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)} \leqslant \mathsf{L}(a)\varepsilon,$$

while:

$$|1 - s(a,\vartheta)| < \varepsilon;$$

(6) *the length of the bridge* $\left(\mathfrak{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)), T, \pi_\theta, \pi_\vartheta\right)$ *is no more than* ε, *where* $\mathfrak{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2))$ *is the C*-algebra of all bounded linear operators on* $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)$.

Proof. The construction of the bridges in this lemma is the matter of [12] — including the construction of T. We will only need its existence and the properties listed here, which involve all the work in [12] to be established.

We note that Assertions (1), (2), (3) and (4) were established in [24]; a summary is presented in [12, Theorem 3.19] (all these assertions are extended to fuzzy tori in [10]).

Assertion (5) is established as part of [12, Claim 5.15]. Assertion (6) is [12, Claim 5.15]. Of course, the computation of the length of the bridges defined in Assertion (6) provides the upper bound on the quantum propinquity between quantum tori in [12]. □

Corollary 8.5. *Let* $\varepsilon > 0$; *let* $\delta > 0$ *be given by Lemma (8.4). If for all* $a \in E \setminus \{0\}$ *and* $\vartheta \in [\theta - \delta, \theta + \delta]$, *we set* $s(a,\vartheta) = \frac{\mathsf{L}_\theta(a)}{\mathsf{L}_\vartheta(a)} > 0$, *then:*

$$|||\pi_\theta(a)T - T\pi_\vartheta(s(a)a)|||_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)} \leqslant \mathsf{L}_\vartheta(a)\varepsilon.$$

Proof. Fix $\vartheta \in [\theta - \delta, \theta + \delta]$. If $\mathsf{L}_\vartheta(a) = 0$ then $a \in \mathbb{R}1_{\mathfrak{A}_\vartheta}$; as $a \in E$ we conclude that $a = 0$. Thus $s(a,\vartheta)$ is well-defined.

We then note that $s(a) = s(ra)$ for any $r > 0$ by definition. Moreover, if $a \in E$ and $a \neq 0$, then $\frac{1}{\|a\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)}} a \in \Sigma$ and thus by Lemma (8.4) :

$$\begin{aligned}
&|||\pi_\vartheta(a)T - T\pi_\vartheta(s(a)a)|||_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)} \\
&\qquad = \|a\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)} \left|\left|\left| \pi_\theta\left(\frac{1}{\|a\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)}} a\right) T - T\pi_\vartheta\left(\frac{s(\|a\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)}^{-1} a)}{\|a\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)}} a\right)\right|\right|\right|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)} \\
&\qquad \leqslant \|a\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)} \mathsf{L}_\vartheta\left(\frac{1}{\|a\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)}} a\right) \varepsilon = \mathsf{L}_\vartheta(a)\varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

This concludes our corollary. □

We now conclude our paper with the main result of its second part, which demonstrates that the modular propinquity endows the moduli space of Heisenberg modules over quantum 2-tori with a nontrival geometry.

Theorem 8.6. *Let $\|\cdot\|$ be a norm on $\mathbb{R}^2$. For all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, we equip the quantum torus $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ with the L-seminorm:*

$$\mathsf{L}_\theta : a \in \mathfrak{sa}\left(\mathfrak{A}\right) \mapsto \sup\left\{ \frac{\left\| \beta_\theta^{\exp(ix),\exp(iy)} a - a \right\|_{\mathcal{A}_\theta}}{\|(x,y)\|} : (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\} \right\}.$$

For all $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, $q \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ and $d \in q\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ and for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \left\{ \frac{p}{q} \right\}$, we endow the Heisenberg module $\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}$ with the D-norm:

$$\mathsf{D}_\theta^{p,q,d} : \xi \in \mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d} \mapsto$$
$$\sup\left\{ \|\xi\|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}, \frac{\left\| \exp\left(i\pi \left(\theta - \frac{p}{q} \right) xy \right) \sigma_{\eth,d}^{x,y} \xi - \xi \right\|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}}{2\pi \left(\theta - \frac{p}{q} \right) \|(x,y)\|} : (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\} \right\}.$$

Let $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $q \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. Let $d \in q\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. For any $\theta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \left\{ \frac{p}{q} \right\}$, we have:

$$\lim_{\vartheta \to \theta} \Lambda^{\mathsf{mod}} \left(\left(\mathscr{H}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}}, \mathsf{D}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}, \mathcal{A}_\vartheta, \mathsf{L}_\vartheta \right), \left(\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}, \mathsf{D}_\theta^{p,q,d}, \mathcal{A}_\theta, \mathsf{L}_\theta \right) \right) = 0.$$

Proof. Let $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $q \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. Let $d \in q\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. Let $X = \mathbb{R} \setminus \left\{ \frac{p}{q} \right\}$.

Let $\theta \in X$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$.

We shall apply Lemma (8.4) and use its notations for $\frac{\varepsilon}{16} > 0$ (rather than ε).

To begin with, for all $\vartheta \in \mathbb{R}$, we note that if $a \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)$, then $\beta_\vartheta^z(a) = \beta^z(a)$ does not depend on $\vartheta \in \mathbb{R}$ for any $z \in \mathbb{T}^2$. Thus, the restriction of $\beta_\vartheta^{\mathsf{Fe}}$ to $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ is independent of ϑ, valued in V, and will be denoted by β^{Fe}.

By Lemma (8.2), there exists a finite subset $F = \{\omega_j : j \in \{1, \ldots, N\}\}$ of $\mathscr{D}_1\left(\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d} \right) \setminus \{0\}$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta_0 > 0$ such that, for all $\vartheta \in [\theta - \delta_0, \theta + \delta_0]$, the set:

$$\left\{ \frac{\mathsf{D}_\theta^{p,q,d}(\omega_j)}{\mathsf{D}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}(\omega_j)} \omega_j : j \in \{1, \ldots, N\} \right\}$$

is $\frac{\varepsilon}{16}$-dense in $\mathscr{D}_1\left(\mathsf{D}_\vartheta^{p,q,d} \right)$.

We thus record:

Summary 8.7. Any modular bridge from $\left(\mathscr{H}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}}, \mathsf{D}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}, \mathcal{A}_\vartheta, \mathsf{L}_\vartheta \right)$ to $\left(\mathscr{H}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}}, \mathsf{D}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}, \mathcal{A}_\vartheta, \mathsf{L}_\vartheta \right)$ whose anchors are $(\omega_j)_{j \in \{1,\ldots,N\}}$ and co-anchors are $\left(\frac{\mathsf{D}_\theta^{p,q,d}(\omega_j)}{\mathsf{D}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}(\omega_j)} \omega_j \right)_{j \in \{1,\ldots,N\}}$, has imprint at most $\frac{\varepsilon}{16}$.

For each $\omega \in F$, the map $\vartheta \in X \mapsto \mathsf{D}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}(\omega)$ is continuous by Proposition (7.2). The function $\vartheta \mapsto \mathsf{L}_\vartheta(\langle \omega, \eta \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}})$ is also continuous for all $\omega, \eta \in F$ (see Lemma (8.4)). Last, for any $\omega \in F$, we note that the continuous function $\vartheta \in X \mapsto \mathsf{D}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}(\omega)$, reaches its minimum on the compact $[\theta - \delta_{\frac{\varepsilon}{16}}, \theta + \delta_{\frac{\varepsilon}{16}}]$, and thus in particular, since $\omega \neq 0$ and $\mathsf{D}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}$ is a norm, this minimum is not zero (note that $\delta_{\frac{\varepsilon}{16}} > 0$ is given by Lemma (8.4)).

Thus the functions:

$$\mathsf{y}_{j,k}^{\Re} : \vartheta \in X \mapsto \frac{\mathsf{L}_\theta\left(\Re\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\langle \omega_j, \omega_k \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}\right)}{\mathsf{L}_\vartheta\left(\Re\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\langle \omega_j, \omega_k \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}}\right)} - \frac{\mathsf{D}_\theta^{p,q,d}(\omega_j)\mathsf{D}_\theta^{p,q,d}(\omega_k)}{\mathsf{D}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}(\omega_j)\mathsf{D}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}(\omega_k)}$$

and

$$\mathsf{y}_{j,k}^{\Im} : \vartheta \in X \mapsto \frac{\mathsf{L}_\theta\left(\Im\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\langle \omega_j, \omega_k \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}\right)}{\mathsf{L}_\vartheta\left(\Im\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\langle \omega_j, \omega_k \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}}\right)} - \frac{\mathsf{D}_\theta^{p,q,d}(\omega_j)\mathsf{D}_\theta^{p,q,d}(\omega_k)}{\mathsf{D}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}(\omega_j)\mathsf{D}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}(\omega_k)}$$

are continuous as well for all $j, k \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$. Consequently, the function:

$$\mathsf{y} = \max_{j,k \in \{1,\ldots,N\}} \left\{ \left|\mathsf{y}_{j,k}^{\Re}\right|, \left|\mathsf{y}_{j,k}^{\Im}\right| \right\}$$

is continuous as the maximum of finitely many continuous functions. We also note that $\mathsf{y}(\theta) = 0$.

Thus there exists $\delta_2 > 0$ such that:

$$|\mathsf{y}| < \frac{\varepsilon}{16} \text{ on } [\theta - \delta_2, \theta + \delta_2].$$

For each $j \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$, let:

$$\eta_j = \frac{\mathsf{D}_\theta(\omega_j)}{\mathsf{D}_\vartheta(\omega_j)}\omega_j.$$

By construction, we have:

$$\mathsf{D}_\vartheta(\eta_j) = \mathsf{D}_\theta(\omega_j).$$

Last, by Lemma (3.4), there exists $\delta_3 > 0$ such that for all $\vartheta \in [\theta - \delta_3, \theta + \delta_3]$ we have, for all $j, k \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$:

$$\left\| \langle \eta_j, \eta_k \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} - \langle \eta_j, \eta_k \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}} \right\|_{\ell_1(\mathbb{Z}^2)} \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{16}.$$

Let $\delta_4 = \min\{\delta_{\frac{\varepsilon}{16}}, \delta_2, \delta_3\}$ and $\vartheta \in [\theta - \delta_4, \theta + \delta_4]$.

We now begin a string of inequalities for two given $j, k \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$. To begin with, we apply Lemma (8.4) to obtain for all $a \in \mathcal{A}_\vartheta$:

$$\|a - \beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}a\|_{\mathcal{A}_\vartheta} \leqslant \|\Re a - \beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\Re a\|_{\mathcal{A}_\vartheta} + \|\Im a - \beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\Im a\|_{\mathcal{A}_\vartheta} \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{16}\left(\mathsf{L}_\vartheta(\Re a) + \mathsf{L}_\vartheta(\Im a)\right) \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{8}\mathsf{L}_\vartheta(a).$$

Therefore, using the inner quasi-Leibniz inequality:

$$\left|\left|\left| \pi_\theta\left(\langle \omega_j, \omega_k \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}\right) T - T\pi_\vartheta\left(\langle \eta_j, \eta_k \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}}\right) \right|\right|\right|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)} \tag{8.0.2}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\leqslant \left\| \left\langle \omega_j, \omega_k \right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} - \beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle \omega_j, \omega_k \right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} \right\|_{\mathcal{A}_\theta} \\
&\quad + \left\| \left\langle \eta_j, \eta_k \right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} - \beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle \eta_j, \eta_k \right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} \right\|_{\mathcal{A}_\vartheta} \\
&\quad + \left\| \left| \pi_\theta \left(\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle \omega_j, \omega_k \right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} \right) T - T \pi_\vartheta \left(\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle \eta_j, \eta_k \right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}} \right) \right| \right\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)} \\
&\leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{8} \left(\mathsf{L}_\theta \left(\left\langle \omega_j, \omega_k \right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} \right) + \mathsf{L}_\vartheta \left(\left\langle \eta_j, \eta_k \right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}} \right) \right) \\
&\quad + \left\| \left| \pi_\theta \left(\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle \omega_j, \omega_k \right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} \right) T - T \pi_\vartheta \left(\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle \eta_j, \eta_k \right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}} \right) \right| \right\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)} \\
&\leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{8} (\mathsf{D}_\theta^{p,q,d}(\omega_j) \| \omega_k \|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} + \mathsf{D}_\theta^{p,q,d}(\omega_k) \| \omega_j \|_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} \\
&\quad + \mathsf{D}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}(\eta_j) \| \eta_k \|_{\mathscr{H}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}} + \mathsf{D}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}(\eta_k) \| \eta_j \|_{\mathscr{H}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}}) \\
&\quad + \left\| \left| \pi_\theta \left(\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle \omega_j, \omega_k \right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} \right) T - T \pi_\vartheta \left(\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle \eta_j, \eta_k \right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}} \right) \right| \right\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)} \\
&\leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \left\| \left| \pi_\theta \left(\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle \omega_j, \omega_k \right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} \right) T - T \pi_\vartheta \left(\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle \eta_j, \eta_k \right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}} \right) \right| \right\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Our next step is to replace $\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle \eta_j, \eta_k \right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}}$ with $\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle \eta_j, \eta_k \right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}$, because our work in [12] follows a single element in V from $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ to $\mathcal{A}_\vartheta$.

Now, noting that β^{Fe} has norm 1:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle \eta_j, \eta_k \right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}} \right\|_{\mathcal{A}_\vartheta} \\
&\qquad \leqslant \left\| \beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle \eta_j, \eta_k \right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} \right\|_{\mathcal{A}_\vartheta} + \left\| \beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle \eta_j, \eta_k \right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}} - \beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle \eta_j, \eta_k \right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} \right\|_{\mathcal{A}_\vartheta} \\
&\qquad \leqslant \left\| \beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle \eta_j, \eta_k \right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} \right\|_{\mathcal{A}_\vartheta} + \left\| \left\langle \eta_j, \eta_k \right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}} - \left\langle \eta_j, \eta_k \right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} \right\|_{\mathcal{A}_\vartheta} \\
&\qquad \leqslant \left\| \beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle \eta_j, \eta_k \right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} \right\|_{\mathcal{A}_\vartheta} + \left\| \left\langle \eta_j, \eta_k \right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}} - \left\langle \eta_j, \eta_k \right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} \right\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2)} \\
&\qquad \leqslant \left\| \beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle \eta_j, \eta_k \right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} \right\|_{\mathcal{A}_\vartheta} + \frac{\varepsilon}{16}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we conclude, as $\| |T| \|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)} = 1$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \left| \pi_\theta \left(\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle \omega_j, \omega_k \right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} \right) T - T \pi_\vartheta \left(\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle \eta_j, \eta_k \right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}} \right) \right| \right\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)} \\
&\qquad \leqslant \left\| \left| \pi_\theta \left(\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle \omega_j, \omega_k \right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} \right) T - T \pi_\vartheta \left(\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle \eta_j, \eta_k \right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} \right) \right| \right\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)} + \frac{\varepsilon}{16}.
\end{aligned} \tag{8.0.3}
$$

Inserting Inequality (8.0.3) in Inequality (8.0.2) we thus have:

$$\begin{aligned}(8.0.4)\quad &\left|\!\left|\!\left|\pi_\theta\left(\left\langle\omega_j,\omega_k\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}\right)T-T\pi_\vartheta\left(\left\langle\eta_j,\eta_k\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}}\right)\right|\!\right|\!\right|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)}\\ &\leqslant\frac{9\varepsilon}{16}+\left|\!\left|\!\left|\pi_\theta\left(\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle\omega_j,\omega_k\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}\right)T-T\pi_\vartheta\left(\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle\eta_j,\eta_k\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}\right)\right|\!\right|\!\right|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)}.\end{aligned}$$

We now insert the factor $s(\cdot)$ of Lemma (8.4) into our inequality. To make notations somewhat easier to read, we set:

$$s_{j,k}^{\Re}=s\left(\Re\left\langle\omega_j,\omega_k\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}},\vartheta\right)=\frac{\mathsf{L}_\theta\left(\Re\left\langle\omega_j,\omega_k\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}\right)}{\mathsf{L}_\vartheta\left(\Re\left\langle\omega_j,\omega_k\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}\right)}$$

and:

$$s_{j,k}^{\Im}=s\left(\Im\left\langle\omega_j,\omega_k\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}},\vartheta\right)=\frac{\mathsf{L}_\theta\left(\Im\left\langle\omega_j,\omega_k\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}\right)}{\mathsf{L}_\vartheta\left(\Im\left\langle\omega_j,\omega_k\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}\right)}.$$

We thus compute:

$$\begin{aligned}(8.0.5)\quad &\left|\!\left|\!\left|\Re\left(\pi_\theta\left(\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle\omega_j,\omega_k\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}\right)T-T\pi_\vartheta\left(\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle\eta_j,\eta_k\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}\right)\right)\right|\!\right|\!\right|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)}\\ &\leqslant\left|\!\left|\!\left|\pi_\theta\left(\Re\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle\omega_j,\omega_k\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}\right)T-T\pi_\vartheta\left(\frac{\mathsf{D}_\theta^{p,q,d}(\omega_j)\mathsf{D}_\theta^{p,q,d(\omega_k)}}{\mathsf{D}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}(\omega_j)\mathsf{D}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}(\omega_j)}\Re\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle\omega_j,\omega_k\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}\right)\right|\!\right|\!\right|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)}\\ &\leqslant\left|\!\left|\!\left|\pi_\theta\left(\Re\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle\omega_j,\omega_k\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}\right)T-T\pi_\vartheta\left(s_{j,k}^{\Re}\Re\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle\omega_j,\omega_k\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}\right)\right|\!\right|\!\right|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)}\\ &\quad+\left|\!\left|\!\left|\pi_\vartheta\left(\left(s_{j,k}^{\Re}-\frac{\mathsf{D}_\theta^{p,q,d}(\omega_j)\mathsf{D}_\theta^{p,q,d}(\omega_k)}{\mathsf{D}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}(\omega_j)\mathsf{D}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}(\omega_k)}\right)\Re\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle\omega_j,\omega_k\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}}\right)\right|\!\right|\!\right|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)}\\ &\leqslant\left|\!\left|\!\left|\pi_\theta\left(\Re\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle\omega_j,\omega_k\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}\right)T-T\pi_\vartheta\left(s_{j,k}^{\Re}\Re\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle\omega_j,\omega_k\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}\right)\right|\!\right|\!\right|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)}\\ &\quad+\left\|\left(s_{j,k}^{\Re}-\frac{\mathsf{D}_\theta^{p,q,d}(\omega_j)\mathsf{D}_\theta^{p,q,d}(\omega_k)}{\mathsf{D}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}(\omega_j)\mathsf{D}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}(\omega_k)}\right)\left\langle\omega_j,\omega_k\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}}\right\|_{qt\vartheta}.\end{aligned}$$

By assumption on ϑ, we have:

$$(8.0.6)\qquad\left\|\left(s_{j,k}^{\Re}-\frac{\mathsf{D}_\theta^{p,q,d}(\omega_j)\mathsf{D}_\theta^{p,q,d}(\omega_k)}{\mathsf{D}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}(\omega_j)\mathsf{D}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}(\omega_k)}\right)\left\langle\omega_j,\omega_k\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}}\right\|_{\mathcal{A}_\vartheta}\leqslant\mathsf{y}(\vartheta)<\frac{\varepsilon}{16},$$

and thus, plugging Inequality (8.0.6) in Inequality (8.0.5), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}(8.0.7)\quad &\left|\!\left|\!\left|\Re\left(\pi_\theta\left(\Re\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle\omega_j,\omega_k\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}\right)T-T\pi_\vartheta\left(\Re\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle\eta_j,\eta_k\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}\right)\right)\right|\!\right|\!\right|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)}\\ &\leqslant\left|\!\left|\!\left|\pi_\theta\left(\Re\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle\omega_j,\omega_k\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}\right)T-T\pi_\vartheta\left(s_{j,k}\Re\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle\omega_j,\omega_k\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}\right)\right|\!\right|\!\right|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)}+\frac{\varepsilon}{16}.\end{aligned}$$

The elements $\Re\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle \omega_j, \omega_k\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}}$, for all $\vartheta \in X$, lie in V. We now wish them to lie in $E = \ker\tau \cap V$ with $\tau : f \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^2) \mapsto f(0)$ to use Lemma (8.4). Again to ease notations, let:

$$\tau_\vartheta^{j,k} = \tau\left(\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle \omega_j, \omega_k\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}}\right).$$

Of course, $\tau_\vartheta^{j,k} = \tau\left(\Re\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle \omega_j, \omega_k\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}}\right) = \tau\left(\Im\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle \omega_j, \omega_k\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}}\right)$.

We thus evaluate:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\left|\left|\pi_\theta\left(\Re\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle \omega_j, \omega_k\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}\right) T - T\pi_\vartheta\left(s_{j,k}\Re\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle \omega_j, \omega_k\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}\right)\right|\right|\right|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)}\\
&\leqslant \left|\left|\left|\pi_\theta\left(\Re\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle \omega_j, \omega_k\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} - \tau_\theta^{j,k}\right) T - T\pi_\vartheta\left(s_{j,k}\Re\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle \omega_j, \omega_k\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} - s_{j,k}\tau_\theta^{j,k}\right)\right|\right|\right|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)}\\
&\quad + \left|\tau_\theta^{j,k} - s_{j,k}\tau_\theta^{j,k}\right|.
\end{aligned}$$

Now $\left|\tau_\theta - s_{j,k}\tau_\theta\right| \leqslant |1 - s_{j,k}|\tau_{j,k} \leqslant |1 - s_{j,k}| < \frac{\varepsilon}{16}$ since $\tau_{j,k} \leqslant \|\left\langle \omega_j, \omega_k\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}\|_{\mathcal{A}_\vartheta} \leqslant 1$. We thus have:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\left|\left|\pi_\theta\left(\Re\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle \omega_j, \omega_k\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}\right) T - T\pi_\vartheta\left(s_{j,k}\Re\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle \omega_j, \omega_k\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}\right)\right|\right|\right|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)}\\
&\leqslant \left|\left|\left|\pi_\theta\left(\Re\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle \omega_j, \omega_k\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} - \tau_\theta^{j,k}\right) T - T\pi_\vartheta\left(s_{j,k}\Re\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle \omega_j, \omega_k\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} - s_{j,k}\tau_\theta^{j,k}\right)\right|\right|\right|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)}\\
&\qquad + \frac{\varepsilon}{16}.
\end{aligned} \tag{8.0.8}$$

We are now in the position to apply Lemma (8.4) and conclude:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\left|\left|\pi_\theta\left(\Re\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle \omega_j, \omega_k\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} - \tau_\theta^{j,k}\right) T - T\pi_\vartheta\left(s_{j,k}\Re\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle \omega_j, \omega_k\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} - s_{j,k}\tau_\theta^{j,k}\right)\right|\right|\right|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)}\\
&\qquad \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{16}.
\end{aligned} \tag{8.0.9}$$

We now insert Inequality (8.0.9) into Inequality (8.0.8) and the result in Inequality (8.0.7) to conclude:

$$\left|\left|\left|\Re\left(\pi_\theta\left(\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle \omega_j, \omega_k\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}\right) T - T\pi_\vartheta\left(\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle \eta_j, \eta_k\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}\right)\right)\right|\right|\right|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)} \leqslant \frac{3\varepsilon}{16}. \tag{8.0.10}$$

We get the same inequality as Inequality (8.0.10) for $\Im$ in place of $\Re$ by the same reasoning, so we get:

$$\left|\left|\left|\pi_\theta\left(\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle \omega_j, \omega_k\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}\right) T - T\pi_\vartheta\left(\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle \eta_j, \eta_k\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}\right)\right|\right|\right|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)} \tag{8.0.11}$$

$$\leqslant \left|\left|\left| \Re\left(\pi_\theta\left(\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle \omega_j, \omega_k \right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} \right) T - T\pi_\vartheta\left(\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle \eta_j, \eta_k \right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} \right) \right) \right|\right|\right|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)}$$
$$+ \left|\left|\left| \Im\left(\pi_\theta\left(\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle \omega_j, \omega_k \right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} \right) T - T\pi_\vartheta\left(\beta^{\mathsf{Fe}}\left\langle \eta_j, \eta_k \right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} \right) \right) \right|\right|\right|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)}$$

$$\leqslant \frac{3\varepsilon}{8}.$$

Thus inserting Inequality (8.0.11) in Inequality (8.0.4), we conclude:

(8.0.12)
$$\left|\left|\left| \pi_\theta\left(\left\langle \omega_j, \omega_k \right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}} \right) T - T\pi_\vartheta\left(\left\langle \eta_j, \eta_k \right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}} \right) \right|\right|\right|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)} \leqslant \frac{9\varepsilon}{16} + \frac{3\varepsilon}{8} = \frac{15\varepsilon}{16}.$$

By construction, the following is a modular bridge (note that $|||T|||_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)} = 1$):

$$\gamma_\vartheta = \left(\mathfrak{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})), T, \pi_\theta, \pi_\vartheta, (\omega_j)_{j\in\{1,\ldots,n\}}, (\eta_j)_{j\in\{1,\ldots,n\}} \right).$$

By Lemma (8.4), the length of the basic bridge $\gamma_\flat$ is no more than $\frac{\varepsilon}{16}$, so the basic reach and the height of γ are bounded by $\frac{\varepsilon}{16}$. Now, Expression (8.0.12) states that the modular reach of γ is bounded above by $\frac{15\varepsilon}{16}$. Thus by Definition (1.7), the reach of γ is no more than $\frac{\varepsilon}{16} + \frac{15\varepsilon}{16} = \varepsilon$.

By Summary (8.7), the imprint of γ is no more than $\frac{\varepsilon}{16}$.

Thus by Definition (1.7), the length of γ is no more than $\varepsilon = \max\left\{\varepsilon, \frac{\varepsilon}{16}\right\}$. If we identify γ with the modular trek (γ), we conclude by Theorem-Definition (1.8) that:

$$\Lambda^{\mathsf{mod}}\left(\left(\mathscr{H}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}, \mathsf{D}_\vartheta^{p,q,d}, \mathcal{A}_\vartheta, \mathsf{L}_\vartheta \right), \left(\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_\theta^{p,q,d}}, \mathsf{D}_\theta^{p,q,d}, \mathcal{A}_\theta, \mathsf{L}_\theta \right) \right) \leqslant \varepsilon.$$

This concludes our proof. □

We conclude with an interesting observation. The proof of Theorem (8.6) reveals that the class of Heisenberg modules over all quantum 2-tori is actually iso-pivotal. Trivially, we can include in this class all the free modules over quantum 2-tori and keep the class iso-pivotal. Hence we conclude that the direct sum of metrized quantum vector bundles on the class of all free modules of finite rank and all Heisenberg modules over all quantum 2-tori is in fact jointly continuous with respect to the modular propinquity by [18, Theorem 8.2]. We thus get some additional convergence results from our work for our new metric.

REFERENCES

1. K. Aguilar and F. Latrémolière, *Quantum ultrametrics on af algebras and the Gromov–Hausdorff propinquity*, Studia Mathematica **231** (2015), no. 2, 149–194, ArXiv: 1511.07114.
2. O. Bratteli and D. Robinson, *Operator algebras and quantum statistical mechanics i*, Springer-Verlag, 1979.
3. A. Connes, *C*–algèbres et géométrie differentielle*, C. R. de l'academie des Sciences de Paris (1980), no. series A-B, 290.

4. A. Connes, *Compact metric spaces, Fredholm modules and hyperfiniteness*, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems **9** (1989), no. 2, 207–220.
5. ———, *Noncommutative geometry*, Academic Press, San Diego, 1994.
6. A. Connes and M. A. Rieffel, *Yang-mills for noncommutative two-tori*, Contemporary Math **62** (1987), no. Operator algebras and mathematical physics (Iowa City, Iowa, 1985), 237–266.
7. G. Folland, *Harmonic analysis in phase space*, Princteon University Press, 1989.
8. R. Hoegh-Krohn, M. B. Landstad, and E. Stormer, *Compact ergodic groups of automorphisms*, Annals of Mathematics **114** (1981), 75–86.
9. A. Kleppner, *Multipliers on Abelian groups*, Mathematishen Annalen **158** (1965), 11–34.
10. F. Latrémolière, *Approximation of the quantum tori by finite quantum tori for the quantum Gromov-Hausdorff distance*, Journal of Funct. Anal. **223** (2005), 365–395, math.OA/0310214.
11. ———, *The triangle inequality and the dual Gromov-Hausdorff propinquity*, Accepted in Indiana University Journal of Mathematics (2014), 16 Pages., ArXiv: 1404.6633.
12. ———, *Convergence of fuzzy tori and quantum tori for the quantum Gromov–Hausdorff Propinquity: an explicit approach.*, Münster Journal of Mathematics **8** (2015), no. 1, 57–98, ArXiv: math/1312.0069.
13. ———, *Curved noncommutative tori as Leibniz compact quantum metric spaces*, Journal of Math. Phys. **56** (2015), no. 12, 123503, 16 pages, ArXiv: 1507.08771.
14. ———, *The dual Gromov–Hausdorff Propinquity*, Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées **103** (2015), no. 2, 303–351, ArXiv: 1311.0104.
15. ———, *Quantum metric spaces and the Gromov-Hausdorff propinquity*, Accepted in Contemp. Math. (2015), 88 pages, ArXiv: 150604341.
16. ———, *A compactness theorem for the dual Gromov-Hausdorff propinquity*, Accepted in Indiana University Journal of Mathematics (2016), 40 Pages, ArXiv: 1501.06121.
17. ———, *Equivalence of quantum metrics with a common domain*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications **443** (2016), 1179–1195, ArXiv: 1604.00755.
18. F. Latrémolière, *The modular Gromov–Hausdorff propinquity*, Submitted (2016), 67 pages, ArXiv: 1608.04881.
19. F. Latrémolière, *The Quantum Gromov-Hausdorff Propinquity*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **368** (2016), no. 1, 365–411, electronically published on May 22, 2015, http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/tran/6334, ArXiv: 1302.4058.
20. M. A. Rieffel, *The cancellation theorem for the projective modules over irrational rotation C^*-algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **47** (1983), 285–302.
21. ———, *Projective modules over higher-dimensional non-commutative tori*, Can. J. Math. **XL** (1988), no. 2, 257–338.
22. ———, *Continuous fields of C*–algebras coming from group cocycles and actions*, Math. Ann. **283** (1989), 631–643.
23. ———, *Metrics on states from actions of compact groups*, Documenta Mathematica **3** (1998), 215–229, math.OA/9807084.
24. ———, *Gromov-Hausdorff distance for quantum metric spaces*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **168** (March 2004), no. 796, math.OA/0011063.
25. Sundaram Thangavelu, *Lectures on hermite and laguerre expansions*, Mathematical notes, vol. 42, Princteon University Press, 1993.
26. G. Zeller-Meier, *Produits croisés d'une C*-algèbre par un groupe d' Automorphismes*, J. Math. pures et appl. **47** (1968), no. 2, 101–239.

E-mail address: frederic@math.du.edu
URL: http://www.math.du.edu/~frederic

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF DENVER, DENVER CO 80208